

SUBCOMMITTEE EN READINESS EN BLOC #2

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
5165	1	Messmer, Mark B.	RDY	The amendment requires a briefing on the National Energy Storage Systems (NESS) Program.	EB 2
5180	0	Tokuda, Jill N.	RDY	Increase Indo-Pacific minor military construction limit to \$50 million.	EB 2
5193	0	Vasquez, Gabe	RDY	DRL directed a report from the ARMY on USACE's efforts to streamline the NALEMP program and progress on unexploded ordnance remediation efforts.	EB 2
5194	2	Vasquez, Gabe	RDY	DRL Directing DOD to improve coordination with local governments and housing entities to address housing shortages around remote and isolated installations.	EB 2
5202	0	Vasquez, Gabe	RDY	Language striking section 313 of HR 3838.	EB 2
5210	1	Scott, Austin	RDY	This DRL directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of the Army, to provide a briefing to House Armed Services Committee on the options to establish a common digital maintenance work order system as required in the Fiscal Year 25 NDAA.	EB 2
5220	0	Vindman, Eugene Simon	RDY	Directs the Army to brief Congress on optimizing Army Prepositioned Stocks (APS) for deterrence and readiness, with focus on APS-2 in Europe and APS in the Indo-Pacific. Seeks to ensure rapid response, sustainment, and strategic flexibility amid evolving threats.	EB 2
5222	1	Hamadeh, Abraham J.	RDY	Allow DoD personnel, like State personnel can, to fly foreign airlines to and from the US if no domestic carrier is willing and able to transport up to three of their pets.	EB 2
5241	3	Fallon, Pat	RDY	Advanced Manufacturing in Depot Modernization	EB 2
5277	1	Cisneros, Gilbert	RDY	U.S. Air Force (USAF) Combat Ready Airman (CRA) Program for cold weather gear to resolve capability gaps for organizational clothing and individual equipment (OCIE)	EB 2
5283	3	Moylan, Jim	RDY	Provides guidelines for the control of information related to Nuclear Reactors in Guam	EB 2
5292	3	Hamadeh, Abraham J.	RDY	Directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report about progress and challenges in security clearance process reform, transparency, and accountability.	EB 2
5306	0	Deluzio, Christopher R.	RDY	Depot Capitol Investment Change	EB 2

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
5321	0	Harrigan, Pat	RDY	Directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a briefing to the Committee on the advisability, feasibility, and requirements for incorporating counter-small unmanned aircraft systems (C-sUAS) training into Army initial entry training.	EB 2
5330	1	Moylan, Jim	RDY	Adds to list of approved uses for O&M funds for projects conducted under 2015 Guam EAC	EB 2
5335	0	Scott, Austin	RDY	This amendment renames Fort Gordon in Augusta, GA as Fort Shugart Gordon. MSG Gary Gordon and SFC Randy Shughart were two Delta snipers that fought and died in the October 1993 Battle of Mogadishu. They were both posthumously awarded the Medal of Honor.	EB 2
5336	0	Jackson, Ronny	RDY	Examines contracting barriers that prevent small businesses from participating in the CRAF	EB 2
5340	0	Vasquez, Gabe	RDY	DRL encouraging DOD to partner with institutions of higher education to develop PFAS detection and removal technologies and to report on the Department's best practices.	EB 2
5346	0	Davis, Donald G.	RDY	The amendment would remove the \$150,000 small purchases loophole in the Berry Amendment to strengthen the domestic textile industrial base, creating jobs and ensuring the U.S. military is not purchasing textile materials from malign actors like the PRC.	EB 2
5357	4	Harrigan, Pat	RDY	Directs the Secretary of Defense to assess the feasibility of co-locating Small Modular Reactors and data centers on Department of Defense military installations to enhance energy resilience and support mission-critical digital infrastructure.	EB 2
5371	1	Graves, Sam	RDY	Inspector General of the Department of Defense Report on Freight Carrier Registration Program	EB 2
5373	2	Houlahan, Chrissy	RDY	To require SecDef to provide a feasibility study on the installation, upgrades, and maintenance of security cameras in UH and base installations where sexual assault risk is most acute.	EB 2
5375	0	Davis, Donald G.	RDY	The DRL would require an assessment of upgraded field-feeding technology for Army personnel. ensuring timely upgrades.	EB 2
5394	1	Davis, Donald G.	RDY	The DRL would improve reporting and evaluations of fuel logistics and transportation logistics.	EB 2
5402	1	Houlahan, Chrissy	RDY	Directs Secretary of Defense to establish coordination with external regulatory agencies involved in critical energy infrastructure planning.	EB 2
5404	1	Davis, Donald G.	RDY	The DRL would require a report on indoor air quality to reduce pathogen transmission in military barracks.	EB 2

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
5408	3	Davis, Donald G.	RDY	The amendment would require a report on the optimal allocation of maintenance dollars for the fighter fleet, including the best ratio and prioritization between spare parts and pilot training.	EB 2
5411	1	Mace, Nancy	RDY	Briefing on Department flood mitigation efforts	EB 2
5418	2	Mills, Cory	RDY	Directs SECARMY to brief HASC on the operational energy needs in expeditionary operations, especially in the INDO-PACOM AoR, to assess whether hybrid sources like solar-assisted distillate fuel generators could improve operational and logistical efficiency.	EB 2
5449	0	Garamendi, John	RDY	Reporting requirement of the readiness impacts of airfield infrastructure at air mobility bases	EB 2
5467	1	Khanna, Ro	RDY	Require the DOD to establish Blast Safety Officers for all Tier-1 heavy weapon live-fire ranges and explosive training sites to mitigate occupational blast overpressure exposure.	EB 2
5484	0	Vindman, Eugene Simon	RDY	Directs the Joint Chiefs to assess joint management of prepositioned stocks, airlift, and sustainment assets across services. Aims to boost readiness, interoperability, and logistics efficiency while identifying legal, policy, and resource barriers to integration.	EB 2
5496	0	Tokuda, Jill N.	RDY	Authorize DoD to enter into intergovernmental support agreements for the purpose of ordnance disposal.	EB 2
5497	0	Scott, Austin	RDY	This amendment amends 10 USC 2679 by extending the authority of the installation support services pilot program to September 30, 2030.	EB 2
5500	0	Bergman, Jack	RDY	Modification of Definition of Military Installation Resilience	EB 2
5502	1	Bergman, Jack	RDY	MHPI Financial Solvency Reporting Requirements	EB 2
5547	0	Bergman, Jack	RDY	Pilot program to implement mold remediation technologies	EB 2
5548	0	Bergman, Jack	RDY	Inspections by qualified home inspector of privatized military housing	EB 2
5549	0	Bergman, Jack	RDY	Standardization of mold remediation guidelines across military departments	EB 2

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
5553	1	Norcross, Donald	RDY	Amends Section 841 (modifying a prototype program supporting contested logistics capabilities) to ensure that commercial advanced manufacturing facilities (in addition to commercial additive manufacturing facilities) are included in the program.	EB 2
5570	1	Mace, Nancy	RDY	Prohibits the Secretary of the Army from reducing, withdrawing, or otherwise degrading APS-3 sealift capability until the Secretary of the Army provides Congress a comprehensive plan for the Army Prepositioned Stocks program.	EB 2
5593	0	Wittman, Robert	RDY	Establishes a pilot program on the use of additive construction technologies at Army installations.	EB 2
5622	1	Moylan, Jim	RDY	DRL to accompany log 5283. Guidelines on Nuclear energy in Guam	EB 2
5623	0	Fallon, Pat	RDY	LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS TO REDUCE THE NUMBER OF CIVILIAN PERSONNEL EMPLOYED AT PINE BLUFF ARSENAL, ARKANSAS, AND RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT, TEXAS	EB 2
5631	0	Bergman, Jack	RDY	Comptroller General Review of Servicemember Moves Reform Efforts	EB 2
5632	0	Bergman, Jack	RDY	Division B extensions and modifications	EB 2
5635	0	Whitesides, George	RDY	DRL directing the Department to report to Congress on the cost-savings and other benefits of innovative building materials for facility and housing construction.	EB 2
5641	1	Sorensen, Eric	RDY	Withholds funding from the Army until they submit a plan to Congress on the integration of Army Sustainment Command (ASC) and Joint Munitions Command (JMC).	EB 2
5643	0	Bergman, Jack	RDY	Report on mobile SCIFs	EB 2

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Messmer of Indiana

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

National Energy Storage Systems (NESS)

The committee is aware that U.S. dependence on adversary nations for advanced batteries undermines military readiness and economic resilience and recognizes the need for assured access through domestic production of next-generation battery systems for uncrewed aerial systems (UAS), warfighter wearables, and energy storage systems at military installations. The committee also recognizes the need for a coordinated national effort to develop next-generation energy storage technologies developed to military specifications in order to protect U.S. national and economic security interests. Today, no single effort spans the entire battery lifecycle—raw materials through recycling—while aligning innovation, commercialization, and risk mitigation with the Department’s performance requirements. This gap leaves the U.S. military vulnerable and impedes the ability of American industry to respond to defense requirements with speed and at scale.

To address this concern, the committee recommends the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment consider establishing a National Energy Storage Systems (NESS) initiative as part of the Industrial Resilience Consortium established elsewhere in this Act. If established, NESS could serve as a collaborative forum for academia, federal laboratories, and U.S.-based industry to accelerate the development, demonstration, and scaled production of mission-ready energy storage solutions. Primary battery commercialization focus areas for NESS could include extreme-environment resilience (temperature, vibration, radiation), ultralight construction, high-rate discharge, elevated energy density, rapid recharge, and minimized infrared signature.

Furthermore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment to, not later than October 1, 2026, provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services on:

- (1) an analysis covering the potential establishment of a NESS initiative;
- (2) an assessment of critical materials, equipment, and manufacturing capabilities required for each stage of the battery lifecycle—including raw material sourcing, cell fabrication, module and pack assembly, and system integration—and a plan to strengthen domestic supply chains;
- (3) recommendations related to performance metrics and test protocols for evaluating energy density, cycle life, safety, and cost-effectiveness of candidate technologies;

(4) a timeline, with cost estimates, for milestone demonstrations of advanced battery technologies at Technology Readiness Levels 4 through 7, including validation in relevant environments and integration with intended end-use applications; and

(5) recommendations for follow-on investments or authorities needed to support commercialization and scale-up of successful advanced battery technologies, including transition to full-scale domestic manufacturing and deployment.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. TOKUDA OF HAWAII

Section 2853 **【Log 82451】** is amended by striking
“\$40,000,000” and inserting “\$50,000,000”.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Vasquez

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Unexploded Ordnance Removal

The committee notes the continued discovery of munitions and unexploded ordnance (UXO) from Department of Defense and test article missile debris from National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) activities in and around native lands adjacent to Department and NNSA testing facilities, including those of Tribes, Pueblos, Native Hawaiians, and Alaskan Natives. The committee is aware that the Native American Lands Environmental Mitigation Program (NALEMP), managed by the Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), both oversees and provides funding for removal and remediation of munitions and UXO on native lands. The committee believes that removal of this potentially harmful weapons-related material should be a priority within the Department before it is inadvertently found by the civilian population.

To better understand ongoing efforts by USACE to remediate UXO, the committee directs the Secretary of the Army for Installations, Energy and Environment to submit a report to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than December 31, 2025, on the following topics, specific to native lands surrounding Department facilities:

1. An overview of USACE's 5-year plan to locate and remove munitions and UXO on native lands adjacent to Department facilities to support remediation efforts;
2. An overview of past, ongoing, and future actions to streamline NALEMP, maximize limited resources, and reduce overhead;
3. A review of USACE's coordination of UXO remediation efforts with relevant federal, state, local, and Tribal agencies, and;
4. A comprehensive overview of program needs for completion of surveying and removal of munitions and UXO on native lands.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Vasquez

In the portion of the report to accompany H.R. 3838 titled “Enhancing Access to Affordable Off-Base Housing through Local Partnerships” insert after “securing affordable housing in high cost-of-living”, the following new text: “and rural”.

In the portion of the report to accompany H.R. 3838 titled “Enhancing Access to Affordable Off-Base Housing through Local Partnerships” insert after “In many metropolitan” the following new text: “and rural”.

In the portion of the report to accompany H.R. 3838 titled “Enhancing Access to Affordable Off-Base Housing through Local Partnerships” insert after “a list of high cost-of-living locations” the following new text: “and rural locations near remote and isolated installations”.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. VASQUEZ OF NEW MEXICO

Strike section 313.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Austin Scott

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Digitization of Maintenance Work Order Management

In Section 233 of the Fiscal Year 2025 National Defense Authorization Act, the Department of Defense was required to establish a digital maintenance system. However, the Army is currently the only service with an adequate digital maintenance work order management system. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of the Army, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2026, on the plans to implement a digital maintenance work order system. The briefing should include the following information:

- (1) the current maintenance work order systems used across the Department of Defense;
- (2) an evaluation of the United States Army's maintenance work order system, the Army Maintenance Application; and
- (3) analysis to determine the considerations, costs, savings, or benefits of a common digital maintenance work order system.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Vindman

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Army Prepositioned Stocks and Deterrence Posture

The committee recognizes the critical role that Army Prepositioned Stocks (APS) play in enhancing readiness, deterrence, and strategic flexibility for the Armed Forces. APS provides forward-positioned equipment, munitions, and sustainment packages that enable rapid response to emerging threats and serve as a visible signal of U.S. commitment to security in key regions, including Europe and the Indo-Pacific.

Additionally, the committee was particularly concerned about the administration's request to put the European APS-2 into "caretaker status" starting in fiscal year 2026. The committee believes that such an action may forestall the swift response necessary to respond to aggression in this theater.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Army, in coordination with the Commander, Joint Chiefs of Staff, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services, by December 1, 2025, on the optimal composition of APS worldwide to respond to the time-phased force deployment requirements of the most stressing operational plans.

The briefing should include the following:

- (1) an assessment of how each APS set can be optimized to support deterrence, readiness, and maximize strategic flexibility;
- (2) A description of planned APS changes designed to bolster deterrence in the Indo-Pacific region;
- (3) an explanation of the Army's methodology for determining what equipment to place in APS sets worldwide to include a description of the following:
 - (a) how the Army identifies the most critical items necessary for maintaining deterrence;
 - (b) how the army chooses which APS sites to prioritize for each item;
 - (c) how the Army assesses which threats to prioritize when evaluating APS augmentation;
- (4) an explanation of the current APS sustainment plan and readiness degradation associated with "caretaker status";

(5) an identification of the barriers to meeting that sustainment plan or to any constraints that may limit the Army's ability to position or maintain prepositioned stock in locations required to support deterrence and operational readiness, including any supply chain and defense industrial base constraints, as well;

(6) an assessment of the stockpiling requirements to bridge timelines until the defense industrial base can come online to meet replenishment needs;

(7) recommendations to ensure that APS, particularly APS-2, APS-4, and APS-5 sites bolster American deterrence in Europe and the Indo-Pacific; and

(8) an analysis of resource requirements and costs to maintain and expand prepositioned stocks in a manner that supports operational objectives.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. HAMADEH OF ARIZONA

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following:

1 SEC. 3 ____ . TRANSPORTATION OF DOMESTIC ANIMALS BY
2 FOREIGN AIR CARRIER.

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding subsections (a)
4 and (c) of section 40118 of title 49, United States Code,
5 the Secretary of Defense is authorized to pay for the
6 transportation by a foreign air carrier of Department of
7 Defense personnel and any in-cabin or accompanying
8 checked baggage or cargo if—

9 (1) no air carrier holding a certificate under
10 section 41102 of such title 49 is willing and able to
11 transport up to 3 domestic animals accompanying
12 such Federal personnel; and

13 (2) the transportation is from a place—

14 (A) outside the United States to a place in
15 the United States;

16 (B) in the United States to a place outside
17 the United States; or

18 (C) outside the United States to another
19 place outside the United States.

1 (b) LIMITATION.—An amount paid pursuant to sub-
2 section (a) for transportation by a foreign carrier may not
3 be greater than the amount that would otherwise have
4 been paid had the transportation been on an air carrier
5 holding a certificate under section 41102 had that carrier
6 been willing and able to provide such transportation. If
7 the amount that would otherwise have been paid to such
8 an air carrier is less than the cost of transportation on
9 the applicable foreign carrier, the Department personnel
10 may pay the difference of such amount.

11 (c) DOMESTIC ANIMAL DEFINED.—In this section,
12 the term “domestic animal” means a dog or a cat.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Fallon

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Advanced Manufacturing in Depot Modernization

The committee believes that the military services need to change its industrial production capacity to quickly meet urgent needs, drive innovation at the speed of battle, and deliver advanced warfighting capabilities. Therefore, the committee directs the Undersecretary of Defense for Acquisitions and Sustainment to prepare a brief to the House Committee on Armed Services by February 1, 2026 that details innovations being incorporated into the organic industrial base. Specifically, this brief shall detail the military services' depot modernization funding being applied towards a comprehensive set of advanced manufacturing practices including AI-optimized robotic forming, additive and subtractive manufacturing, and advanced materials and processing practices. Additionally, such brief shall detail options to modernize the organic industrial base that better aligns of the industrial base with software-defined, artificial intelligence (AI)- driven, off-the-shelf commercial solutions to carry out different manufacturing operations in consolidated manufacturing platforms, deployable at the point of need.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Cisneros

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

U.S. Air Force Combat Ready Airman Program

The committee recognizes the U.S. Air Force (USAF) Combat Ready Airman (CRA) Program as a valuable resource to resolve capability gaps for organizational clothing and individual equipment (OCIE), including handwear and cold weather clothing. The CRA program enables the USAF to develop, acquire, field, and sustain innovative and standardized equipment for all personnel to maximize readiness and secure global air dominance.

However, the committee is concerned that there is limited standardization of items issued to Airmen and believes that the CRA program provides a viable and agile contracting process that provides flexibility to meet the dynamic OCIE needs of Airmen across the globe. USAF mission success is dependent on readiness and the availability of critical, lifesaving OCIE solutions manufactured in the United States. Recent fiscal year funding reductions have negatively impacted the effectiveness of the CRA program and affected USAF core readiness.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 31, 2026, with recommendations on how to improve the CRA program, how best to address OCIE capability gaps for Airmen, and any necessary funding requirements.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. MOYLAN OF GUAM

At the appropriate place in title XXXI, insert the following:

1 SEC. 31____. NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT WITH RESPECT
2 TO NUCLEAR POWER IN GUAM.

3 (a) NOTIFICATION.—Except as provided in sub-
4 section (b), the Secretary of Defense shall, not later than
5 180 days before any date on which the Secretary carries
6 out the placement of a nuclear reactor in Guam, submit
7 to Congress and the Governor of Guam a notification of
8 such placement.

9 (b) EXCEPTION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to
10 a nuclear reactor aboard a naval vessel.

11 (c) NUCLEAR REACTOR DEFINED.—In this section,
12 the term “nuclear reactor” has the meaning given the
13 term “advanced nuclear reactor” in section 951 of the En-
14 ergy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16271).



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Hamadeh

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Security Clearance Adjudication

The committee is aware that applicants for a security clearance are often left for months without information regarding the status of their security clearance or potential issues that may render them ineligible. The committee notes that this lack of clarity can have significant impacts on employment and readiness, particularly for members of the Armed Forces. The committee understands the importance of a robust screening process but notes that the lack of communication related to the process can cause significant distress for applicants. The committee is concerned that recent internal reforms have not yet resulted in measurable transparency or applicant-facing accountability.

Accordingly, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the House Committee on Armed Services by January 15, 2026, on the feasibility of providing increased transparency, communication, and quality control during the clearance process, including the adjudication stage. The report, at a minimum, shall contain the following:

(1) an analysis of the potential benefits and challenges of creating a security clearance ombudsman position within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security that would assist applicants prior to and during the adjudication process, including the degree to which current resources meet the informational and advisory functions typically performed by an ombudsman;

(2) the feasibility of creating a pre-decisional review process—distinct from the Statement of Reasons appeal system—prior to final clearance denial that would review proposed denials for consistency with established policies, law, and due process, while aiming to avoid rejections that are later easily won by applicants on appeal, and how many such cases could be avoided;

(3) a description of prioritization policies for applicants in deployable billets or whose military specialty qualification requires a clearance, and how interim clearances are granted in cases where a full adjudication cannot be completed prior to a need for deployment;

(4) an analysis of the factors that contribute to the delay in security clearance adjudications that have taken the longest to complete in the past two fiscal years, including whether these cases were disproportionately impacted by case complexity, lack of investigative personnel continuity, or policy ambiguity;

(5) a review of current procedures and policies that allow an individual who is subject to an adverse security clearance adjudication to appeal. This review shall include information related to average timelines for each stage of this process and process improvements currently under consideration; and

(6) an assessment of surge capacity for the clearance pipeline in wartime or national emergency conditions, including any recent stress test results and recommendations.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. DELUZIO OF PENNSYLVANIA

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 10____. MODIFICATION OF MINIMUM CAPITAL INVEST-**
2 **MENT FOR CERTAIN DEPOTS OF DEPART-**
3 **MENT OF DEFENSE.**

4 Section 2476(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code,
5 is amended by striking “the preceding three fiscal years”
6 and inserting “the preceding fiscal year, the current fiscal
7 year, and the estimated amount for the following fiscal
8 year”.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Pat Harrigan

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Counter-Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems Training

The committee is aware of the growing threat posed by small unmanned aircraft systems (sUAS) on the modern battlefield and the Department of the Army's efforts to address these threats through the development and implementation of counter-small unmanned aircraft systems (C-sUAS) capabilities.

The committee believes that as these systems proliferate among adversaries, it is imperative that Army personnel are prepared to operate in environments where sUAS threats are prevalent from the earliest stages of their military careers. The committee is particularly interested in understanding the Department's current approach to C-sUAS training and the potential for incorporating this training into initial entry training programs. Training early in a servicemember's career ensures familiarity with threat recognition, available countermeasures, and integrated force protection doctrine.

The committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by June 1, 2026, on the advisability and feasibility of including C-sUAS training in initial entry training for the Army. The briefing should include the following:

- 1) an overview of current C-sUAS training programs, including the target population and when such training is provided;
- 2) an assessment of the advisability and feasibility of incorporating C-sUAS training into initial entry training programs;
- 3) an estimate of the costs and other requirements associated with including C-sUAS training in initial entry training;
- 4) a conceptual outline or framework of what a C-sUAS training program would entail if integrated into initial entry training; and
- 5) any other matters the Secretary of the Army considers appropriate.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. MOYLAN OF GUAM

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 28____. EXPANSION OF EXCEPTIONS TO RESTRICTION**
2 **ON DEVELOPMENT OF PUBLIC INFRASTRUC-**
3 **TURE IN CONNECTION WITH REALIGNMENT**
4 **OF MARINE CORPS FORCES IN ASIA PACIFIC**
5 **REGION.**

6 Section 2844(b)(2) of the National Defense Author-
7 ization Act for Fiscal Year 2017 is amended by inserting
8 “, including operations and maintenance for the curation
9 of archeological and cultural artifacts.” after “artifacts”.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. AUSTIN SCOTT OF GEORGIA

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the
following:

1 **SEC. 28____. NAME OF DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY MILI-**
2 **TARY INSTALLATION, AUGUSTA, GEORGIA.**

3 The military installation under the jurisdiction of the
4 Department of the Army located in Augusta, Georgia,
5 shall after the date of the enactment of this Act be known
6 and designated as “Fort Shugart Gordon”. Any reference
7 to such military installation in any law, regulation, map,
8 document, record, or other paper of the United States
9 shall be considered a reference to Fort Shugart Gordon.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Jackson of Texas

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

U.S. Transportation Command Review of Civil Reserve Air Fleet Contracts

The committee recognizes the value small businesses provide to the defense industrial base and the U.S. military. Therefore, the committee directs the Commander, U.S. Transportation Command, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by February 27, 2026, on any existing contracting barriers that prevent small businesses from participating in the Civil Reserve Air Fleet (CRAF). The briefing shall include:

- (1) how existing contracting requirements may harm small businesses;
- (2) opportunities to broaden CRAF contracts to allow more small businesses to compete, particularly for freight forwarders and indirect cargo air carriers; and
- (3) any other recommendations deemed relevant by the Commander.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Vasquez

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Partnering with Institutions of Higher Education for PFAS Removal

The committee notes the continued discovery of natural resources contaminated with per- and polyfluoroalkyl (PFAS) substances, including water and soil, near Department of Defense facilities and installations. The committee commends the Department's efforts over recent years to phase out the use of PFAS-containing materials, such as aqueous film-forming foam (AFFF). The committee also encourages the Department to utilize the expertise and innovation of institutions of higher learning as it operates the Strategic Environmental Research and Development program to create modern technologies used to detect and clean up PFAS contamination.

Therefore, the committee directs the Undersecretary of Defense for Energy and Installations to submit a report to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than May 31, 2026, on best practices taken by the Department to collaborate with institutions of higher education for the purpose of PFAS detection and removal.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. DAVIS OF NORTH CAROLINA

At the appropriate place in title VIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 8 ____ . REPEAL OF EXCEPTION FOR SMALL PURCHASES**

2 **UNDER THE BERRY AMENDMENT.**

3 Section 4862 of title 10, United States Code, is
4 amended—

5 (1) in subsection (a), by striking “subsections
6 (c) through (h)” and inserting “subsections (c)
7 through (g)”; and

8 (2) by amending subsection (h) to read as fol-
9 lows:

10 “(h) OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE.—The Secretary of De-
11 fense shall establish a committee to—

12 “(1) provide oversight of the implementation of
13 the requirements of this section; and

14 “(2) ensure compliance with the requirements
15 of this section.”.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Pat Harrigan

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Co-locating Small Modular Reactors and Data Centers on Department of Defense Military Installations

The committee is aware of growing interest across the Department of Defense and the private sector in leveraging small modular reactors (SMRs) to support the expanding energy needs of digital infrastructure, particularly data centers that enable artificial intelligence, cyber operations, and cloud computing. SMRs offer resilient, low-emission baseload power that can support operational energy assurance on military installations and reduce dependence on vulnerable civilian grids.

Recent initiatives, including efforts by the Defense Innovation Unit to evaluate commercial SMR technologies for military use and private-sector investments into nuclear-powered data centers, highlight the potential benefits of integrating SMRs and digital infrastructure at a single site. The committee believes that co-locating SMRs and data centers on Department of Defense military installations could optimize land use, enhance mission assurance, and improve energy resilience in support of national defense priorities.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the House Committee on Armed Services by June 1, 2026, on the feasibility and implications of co-locating small modular reactors and data centers on Department of Defense military installations. The report should include the following:

- (1) an assessment of Department of Defense military installations with infrastructure or siting characteristics suitable for SMRs and large-scale data center operations;
- (2) an analysis of projected data center energy requirements and the extent to which SMRs could satisfy those needs, including peak and sustained load considerations;
- (3) a review of regulatory, safety, security, and environmental factors associated with co-locating nuclear and digital infrastructure;
- (4) identification of legislative, budgetary, and interagency coordination needs to enable such projects; and
- (5) an evaluation of potential partnerships with the Department of Energy and private-sector entities, including any pilot programs or existing collaboration models.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Graves

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Inspector General of the Department of Defense Report on Freight Carrier Registration Program

The committee supports a safe, secure, and efficient military transportation system. The committee believes this requires, in part, a fair and transparent shipping process, an understanding of and compliance with unique requirements regarding the shipping of certain military freight, and a collaborative partnership among users of the system. This is crucial to our national security and military readiness.

Therefore, the committee directs the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, in coordination with the Commander of the Military Surface Deployment and Distribution Command (SDDC), to submit a report on the Freight Carrier Registration Program to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 30, 2026, on the following:

(1) whether military freight shipped using the Global Freight Management System is being awarded transparently and in accordance with shipping and contracting requirements, such as bypassing or overriding the Global Freight Management System to award shipments;

(2) whether transportation service providers transport military freight without proper authority or ability to meet the service requirements, such as shipping hazardous materials using a transportation service provider that is not authorized to transport hazardous materials or using a transportation service provider without an active Department of Transportation operating authority;

(3) an overview of SDDC's authority, or lack thereof, to hold military installations and transportation officers accountable for compliance with shipping and contracting requirements; and

(4) any other information deemed relevant, including potential recommendations for the committee to consider, such as requiring SDDC to establish a dedicated process to receive information or data about possible non-compliance by users of the system.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mrs. Chrissy Houlahan

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Security Camera Feasibility Study in Unaccompanied Housing Buildings

Prior GAO findings in *GAO-23-105797*, highlighted the importance of DoD oversight and facility management improvements, particularly regarding the safety and welfare of service members living in unaccompanied housing (UH). Therefore, the committee remains concerned about ongoing reports of sexual assault and harassment occurring within UH. While the Department of Defense has taken steps to address the root causes of these incidents, the committee believes additional evaluation is needed to assess whether the installation and proper maintenance of security camera systems in these environments may enhance safety, deter misconduct, and support investigations when incidents occur.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the House Armed Services Committees not later than March 1, 2026, on the feasibility of installing, upgrading, and maintaining security cameras in unaccompanied housing and base installations where sexual assault risk is most acute. The report should include:

1. An inventory of current camera installations within barracks or UH facilities across the Services, disaggregated by installation;
2. An assessment of the types of maintenance issues reported with these systems, how frequently they occur, and how long after installation they are typically identified;
3. The annual cost of maintaining security cameras, as well as estimated costs for upgrades in areas lacking sufficient coverage;
4. The estimated costs and storage requirements for retaining security footage for one year and, if feasible, alternative retention periods;
5. The frequency with which security footage is utilized by military investigative agencies or military prosecutors in Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) cases;
6. An analysis of whether increased use of camera systems may aid in timely investigation or prosecution of sexual assault and other criminal activity within UH settings.

Amendment to H.R. 3838 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2026

Offered by: Mr. Davis of North Carolina

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

[Airtronic Burner Assessment]

The committee is aware of the need from the armed services for upgraded field feeding and soldier sustainment equipment. The need for the joint force to be able to feed troops and even civilian populations is well known but the state of readiness, regarding the Airtronic burner, which is a critical component to upgraded field feeding systems, is less well understood. Given the need to properly budget and deploy for field feeding and expeditionary sustainment, the committee requires greater visibility into the Airtronic burner's continued availability for this essential service and when its replacement, the FlexFire burner, will be qualified for deployment. The committee is concerned that more information is needed prior to directing future investments in either or both platforms.

The committee therefore directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness to provide a briefing to the House Armed Services Committee no later than January 31, 2026, on the following:

- (1) the current inventory of Airtronic burners that are both deployable and safe to use;
- (2) an assessment of whether current Airtronic burner production/sustainment rates are sufficient to satisfy the demands of projected inventory of upgraded field feeding systems across the services;
- (3) the prospective plans from the Department of Defense on how to fund and upgrade Airtronic burner manufacturing capabilities to mitigate supply chain vulnerabilities;
- (4) the cost and timeline associated with implementing such a strategy, including additional outlays required by Congress to accomplish this goal;
- (5) an assessment of the feasibility of Airtronic replacement with the upgraded FlexFire burner; and
- (6) the Department's plan to recapitalize the production line, if needed; and a qualification timeline for the FlexFire burner.

Amendment to H.R. 3838 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2026

Offered by: Mr. Davis of North Carolina

Fuel Distribution in Contested and Austere Environments

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

The committee recognizes the criticality of rapid refueling and resupply operations in modern, contested environments. Further, that petroleum and water distribution systems have not been modernized or updated in decades with organizations continuing to rely on single use, static soft fuel bladders commonly known as “fuel bags or blivits.” Doing so affects the ability of the Department of Defense to rapidly resupply combat units in expeditionary environments, especially within contested logistics scenarios. Additionally, the committee understands that units trained and capable of petroleum distribution mostly reside in the reserve components.

The committee further understands that extreme conditions, such as very low temperatures, destabilize fuel and other petroleum products and complicate the distribution of water, both of which are critical to operational readiness. Accordingly, the committee is also interested in better understanding what steps the Department of Defense is taking to ensure maximum longevity and operational capabilities in extreme conditions.

To this end, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Director of the Defense Logistics Agency, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than January 15, 2026, detailing the approach to improving fuel and water logistics plans. The briefing shall include:

- (1) an overview of Department of Defense petroleum distribution units, including component, training requirements, allocation, operational readiness, and manning levels;
- (2) an overview of the Department’s plans and investments to ensure the rapid and efficient resupply of vehicles and aircraft in expeditionary environments, including details of ground refueling and resupply aspects of the Air Force Agile Combat Employment and INDOPACOM-focused distributed operations

and resilient basing efforts, as well as identification of exercises incorporating petroleum distribution training and experimentation;

- (3) identification of technologies being implemented to decrease risks by improving on legacy temporary storage and dispensation operations supporting distributed and resilient basing concepts to enable multi-modal transport, decrease spoilage, and increase efficiency and overall operational readiness in austere, expeditionary environments;
- (4) the readiness and operational impacts stemming from the inability to operate platforms in the Arctic because maintainers cannot pump or store fuel due to the environment;
- (5) the cost in time and dollars to the Department for installation, maintenance, removal, and cleanup of legacy refueling systems (also known as "fuel bags or blivits") in expeditionary and extreme environments;
- (6) the physical footprint required for legacy systems in comparison with modern alternatives;
- (7) the costs associated with long-term storage in pre-positioned stocks and employment and deterioration of legacy temporary petroleum storage and dispensation systems within the same; and
- (8) existing plans (ongoing or proposed) to notify the Director of the Advanced Research Projects Agency for Health (ARPA-H) and the Director of the Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority (BARDA) to identify which technologies may be transferred for civilian use.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. HOULAHAN OF PENNSYLVANIA

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 28 ____ . DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROCEDURES WITH**
2 **RESPECT TO PLANNING COORDINATION FOR**
3 **GRID RESILIENCY ON MILITARY INSTALLA-**
4 **TIONS.**

5 Section 2920(a) of title 10, United States Code, is
6 amended by adding at the end the following new para-
7 graphs:

8 “(5) The Secretary shall establish internal processes
9 to support coordination with external regulatory and plan-
10 ning entities involved in grid reliability, transmission in-
11 frastructure, and long-term energy planning, in order to
12 assess and mitigate risks to defense-critical installations,
13 advance the energy security objectives of the Department,
14 and comply with statutory mandates under this section.

15 “(6) Coordination under paragraph (5)—

16 “(A) shall include identification of mission-crit-
17 ical loads and infrastructure dependencies and load
18 profiles at or near military installations; and

2

1 “(B) may include consultation with relevant
2 Federal and non-Federal entities.”.



Amendment to H.R. 3838 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2026

Offered by: Mr. Davis of North Carolina

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Pathogen Transmission Reduction

The committee continues to be aware of vulnerabilities and ongoing quality-of-life challenges with respect to military housing. Despite ongoing improvements, indoor air quality remains a cause of concern for military housing tenants, as it is a factor in chronic conditions, including asthma, and it creates vulnerabilities to airborne biological pathogens, including Anthrax.

Therefore, the committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations and Environment to provide a briefing to the House Armed Services Committee no later than January 31, 2026, on indoor air quality in military family housing both government owned and privatized, to include the following:

- (1) what efforts are currently underway to incorporate indoor air quality standards that meet or exceed those set forth in the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineering Standards 61.1, 61.2, or other applicable consensus indoor air quality standards;
- (2) a description of the Department of Defense's guidance to the military departments on habitability standards related to air quality in family housing; and,
- (3) an assessment of which biological contaminants (i.e. bacteria, viruses, fungi, particulates) are of greatest concern and for which the Department of Defense is exploring mitigation or detection measures.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2026

Offered by: Mr. Davis of North Carolina

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Improving Fighter Maintenance Dollar Allocation

The committee is aware of ongoing issues with falling fighter mission capable rates, often linked to extended wait times and other maintenance-related challenges. Further, the committee is concerned that the mechanism by which the Air Force distributes maintenance dollars may be contributing to lower readiness rates. The committee notes that there may be a negative incentive, caused by the linkage between maintenance fund allocations and flight hours that punishes squadrons already struggling with maintenance availability. Further, the committee is concerned the current allocation of funds is not optimized to produce the highest yield for fighter maintenance, especially with respect to the frontline fighter force that deploys most often.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than December 1, 2025, on the strategies for optimizing maintenance dollar allocation, to include the following:

- (1) an assessment of the current formula for distributing maintenance funds that may exacerbate current mission readiness and mission capable challenges, especially for the frontline fighter force;
- (2) an assessment of whether the current prioritization amongst the Ready AirCrew (RAP) program, depot maintenance funding lines, and spare parts replacement funding lines is optimized to maximize maintenance availability, particularly for F-15E aircraft; and
- (3) whether decoupling the Flying Hours Program from maintenance dollar allocation would improve the efficient and effective use of these funds.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Ms. Mace of South Carolina

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Flood Mitigation at Department of Defense Facilities

The committee recognizes that flooding due to extreme weather events poses a growing threat to military installations, infrastructure, and readiness. Flooding can disrupt operations, damage critical assets, and increase long-term maintenance and repair costs. The committee is concerned about the adequacy of current flood mitigation strategies across the Department of Defense. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretaries of the military departments, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than February 1, 2026, on current flood mitigation strategies employed by the Department. The briefing should include an identification of installations with high flood risk, summarize existing flood mitigation efforts and infrastructure improvements, outline future plans for resilience and drainage upgrades, and describe how flood risk data are integrated into facility planning and construction decisions.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Mills

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Hybrid Energy Generation Capabilities

The committee notes that the Department of Defense has thus far made a concerted effort to increase the use of hybrid power for expeditionary operations. The committee remains concerned that operational energy will likely be a critical vulnerability in the Indo-Pacific. The committee understands that the expansion of hybrid power deployment could significantly improve readiness and sustainment capabilities, in particular to alleviate the burdens associated with moving fuel forward to carry out expeditionary operations and effectively broadening the impact of current fuel usage level resulting in reduced costs and manpower risk, as demonstrated in multiple exercises by the Army's 25th Infantry Division last year, if widely deployed across commands.

The committee therefore directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than February 1, 2026, on the operational energy needs in expeditionary operations, especially in the Indo-Pacific, to assess whether hybrid sources, such as solar-assisted distillate fuel electric generators, could improve operational and logistical efficiency. This briefing shall include:

- (1) the current scale of 2kW-10kW distillate fuel electric generators in the Army's inventory;
- (2) the current number of hybrid systems available to augment the traditional inventory;
- (3) the estimated fuel and life-cycle savings with a fully hybridized inventory of 2kW10kW generators as well as the additional savings in logistical requirements, if any;
- (4) estimates of funding required to fully hybridize the generator inventory; and
- (5) an evaluation of whether additional legislative and/or legal authority is required to undertake this hybridization.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. John Garamendi of California

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Readiness Impacts and Airfield Infrastructure at Air Mobility Bases

The committee is aware of the important role that air mobility bases play in supporting power projection worldwide. Travis Air Force Base (Travis) is one such installation and performs this critical role in the U.S. Indo-Pacific Command (USINDOPACOM) area of responsibility. The committee is concerned that there is a lack of investment in airfield infrastructure at air mobility bases generally, and at Travis in particular. The committee notes that the failure to modernize the airfield will lower Travis' sortie generation and throughput in the event of a contingency. For example, the committee notes that the closure of Runway 21R/03L through April 2026 has reduced maximum airfield capacity by 75 percent, forcing all operations onto a single runway and straining mission execution.

Additionally, the committee notes that the proposed extension of Taxiway November—essential to enhancing aircraft flow, improving safety, and supporting future operational growth—has yet to be programmed, risking prolonged throughput bottlenecks and impairing air mobility readiness in support of Indo-Pacific and global operations.

Accordingly, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2026 including at a minimum, the following:

1. a detailed plan for airfield infrastructure risk mitigation measures at air mobility bases, to include Travis Air Force Base, to restore airfield throughput;
2. infrastructure investments that are required to ensure that the air mobility bases serving the USINDOPACOM area of responsibility, including Travis Air Force Base, maintain sufficient sortie generation capacity to meet Indo-Pacific and global mobility requirements;
3. recommended legislative or budgetary actions to expedite the delivery of critical airfield infrastructure; and
4. the anticipated operational impacts to sortie-generation and throughput if necessary airfield infrastructure improvements are not funded in fiscal year 2027.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. KHANNA OF CALIFORNIA

At the appropriate place in title V, insert the following new section:

1 SEC. 5 ____ . ESTABLISHMENT OF BLAST SAFETY OFFICER
2 POSITIONS.

3 (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than September 30,
4 2026, the Secretary of Defense shall establish blast safety
5 officer positions in the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air
6 Force, and Space Force.

7 (b) DUTIES.—Duties of a blast safety officer shall in-
8 clude the following, in accordance with standards estab-
9 lished pursuant to section 735 of the James M. Inhofe
10 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023
11 (Public Law 117–263; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note):

12 (1) Monitoring and mitigating blast and over-
13 pressure exposure to members of such Armed Forces
14 during live-fire or explosive exercises, including
15 breaching exercises. A blast safety officer may order
16 the cessation of such an exercise if exposure exceeds
17 safe thresholds.

18 (2) Briefing members of such Armed Forces,
19 before an exercise, regarding the health risks of

1 blast exposure and mitigation protocols (including
2 minimum safe distances).

3 (3) Overseeing the use of personal protective
4 equipment and wearable sensors by such members
5 during such an exercise.

6 (4) Investigating blast overpressure incidents,
7 reporting findings, and coordinating with health care
8 providers to address risks to the health of affected
9 members.

10 (5) Maintaining blast overpressure exposure
11 logs to support future mitigation.

12 (6) Coordinating with range safety officers and
13 personnel.

14 (c) ASSIGNMENTS.—The Secretary of a military de-
15 partment concerned shall assign a blast safety officer to
16 each special mission unit in each such Armed Force.

17 (d) TRAINING; CERTIFICATION.—A blast safety offi-
18 cer shall receive training and maintain a certification in
19 blast safety.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Vindman

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Joint Management of Prepositioned Equipment and Stockpiles

The committee recognizes the critical role that prepositioned equipment and stockpiles play in enhancing operational readiness, strategic flexibility, and deterrence across the Armed Forces. Historically, the Department of the Army has maintained significant responsibility for the management of Army Prepositioned Stocks (APS). However, evolving operational requirements and the need for integrated joint operations have led to increased interest in exploring options for joint management of contested logistics enablers across the military services and combatant commands.

The committee believes that improved joint management of logistics enablers, such as prepositioned equipment and stockpiles, airlift and sealift capacity, and other sustainment infrastructure, may offer opportunities to improve interoperability while better aligning capabilities with operational requirements that are specific to a given theater.

Therefore, the committee directs the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, in coordination with the combatant commanders, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by December 1, 2025, on the feasibility, benefits, and risks associated with implementing a joint management structure for contested logistics enablers across the Armed Forces.

The briefing should include the following:

- (1) an assessment of existing prepositioned equipment and stockpiles, strategic airlift and sealift capabilities, and related sustainment infrastructure managed by each military department command, including locations, capabilities and management authorities;
- (2) a description of current coordination mechanisms between the services and commands for the use, sustainment, and replenishment of contested logistics enablers;
- (3) an analysis of potential benefits and challenges associated with implementing a joint management structure for contested logistics, including impacts on readiness, interoperability, logistics, and command and control;

(4) options and recommendations regarding whether, and under what conditions, a joint management structure should be established for contested logistics enablers; and

(5) an identification of any legal, policy, resource, or organizational barriers to implementing joint management of contested logistics capabilities along with recommended actions to address those barriers.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. TOKUDA OF HAWAII

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 28____. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INTERGOVERN-**
2 **MENTAL SUPPORT AGREEMENTS FOR ORD-**
3 **NANCE DISPOSAL.**

4 Section 2679(f)(1) of title 10, United States Code,
5 is amended by adding at the end the following new sen-
6 tence: “The term does include ordnance disposal.”



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. AUSTIN SCOTT OF GEORGIA

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 28 ____ . EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT DE-**
2 **PARTMENT OF DEFENSE PILOT PROGRAM**
3 **FOR USE OF COST SAVINGS REALIZED.**

4 Section 2679(e)(4) of title 10, United States Code,
5 is amended by striking “September 30, 2025” and insert-
6 ing “September 30, 2030”.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 28____. MODIFICATION TO DEFINITION OF MILITARY**
2 **INSTALLATION RESILIENCE.**

3 Section 101(f)(8) of title 10, United States Code, is
4 amended—

5 (1) by striking “or from” before “anticipated or
6 unanticipated changes in environmental conditions”;
7 and

8 (2) by inserting “, energy or water disruptions,
9 or human-induced hazards with respect to the envi-
10 ronment” before “, that do”.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the
following new section:

1 **SEC. 28____. CONTINUATION OF CERTAIN REPORTING RE-**
2 **QUIREMENTS WITH RESPECT TO PRIVATIZED**
3 **MILITARY HOUSING.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1080(a) of the National
5 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016 (Public
6 Law 114–92; 10 U.S.C. 111 note) does not apply to the
7 reports required to be submitted to Congress under sub-
8 section (b) and subsection (c) of section 2884 of title 10,
9 United States Code.

10 (b) CONFORMING REPEAL.—Section 1061(c) of the
11 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017
12 (Public Law 114–328; 10 U.S.C. 111 note) is amended
13 by striking paragraph (52).



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following:

1 SEC. 28 ____ . PILOT PROGRAM FOR EMERGING MOLD REME-
2 DIATION TECHNOLOGIES.

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the
4 date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense
5 shall carry out a pilot program to assess and implement
6 emerging mold monitoring and remediation technologies
7 in military family housing.

8 (b) SELECTION OF LOCATIONS.—The Secretary shall
9 select not fewer than three and not more than five military
10 installations at which to carry out the pilot program estab-
11 lished under subsection (a). The Secretary shall prioritize
12 selection of military installations in regions with elevated
13 climate-related risk factors for mold growth, such as per-
14 sistent humidity, frequent rainfall, or outdated HVAC in-
15 frastructure.

16 (c) ELEMENTS.—In carrying out the pilot program
17 established under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

18 (1) install moisture detection systems with ad-
19 vanced capabilities, including sensor-based humidity

1 or spore monitoring technologies capable of gener-
2 ating early warnings for environmental risk condi-
3 tions;

4 (2) implement noninvasive or technology-en-
5 abled mold remediation tools, such as antimicrobial
6 coatings, dry fogging systems, or UV-based steriliza-
7 tion units;

8 (3) define infrastructure requirements, includ-
9 ing upgrades to HVAC systems or building mate-
10 rials, necessary to support sustained mold prevention
11 using the selected mold detection systems;

12 (4) train relevant personnel on the deployment,
13 maintenance, and data interpretation of selected
14 mold detection systems;

15 (5) designate an individual at each military in-
16 stallation selected under subsection (b) to oversee
17 the implementation of the pilot program; and

18 (6) develop a strategic implementation and eval-
19 uation plan to assess performance of the selected
20 mold detection systems and inform future decisions
21 relating to such systems.

22 (d) REPORT AND BRIEFINGS.—

23 (1) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after
24 the termination date in subsection (e), the Secretary
25 of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense

1 committees a report on the results of the pilot pro-
2 gram, including recommendations for broader imple-
3 mentation and an assessment of costs and benefits.

4 (2) BRIEFINGS.—Upon completion of the imple-
5 mentation plan required under subsection (c)(6), the
6 Secretary shall provide to the congressional defense
7 committees a briefing on the plan and any prelimi-
8 nary findings.

9 (e) TERMINATION.—The authority to carry out the
10 pilot program under this section shall terminate on the
11 date that is five years after the date of the enactment of
12 this Act.

13 (f) MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING DEFINED.—In this
14 section, the term “military family housing” means housing
15 provided under subchapter II of chapter 169 of title 10,
16 United States Code.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following:

1 SEC. 28 ____ . INSPECTIONS BY QUALIFIED HOME INSPECTOR
2 OF PRIVATIZED MILITARY HOUSING.

3 (a) ESTABLISHMENT OF INDEPENDENT INSPECTION
4 PROTOCOL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of
5 the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall
6 establish a standardized inspection and audit program for
7 privatized military housing that provides for such inspec-
8 tions and audits to be conducted by an independent quali-
9 fied home inspector.

10 (b) INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS.—Under the pro-
11 gram established by subsection (a), a qualified home in-
12 spector shall annually inspect a statistically representative
13 sample of privatized military housing units at each mili-
14 tary installation with privatized military housing. Such in-
15 spection shall include, at a minimum—

16 (1) an evaluation of HVAC systems, plumbing,
17 electrical systems, and structural integrity of the
18 privatized military housing units; and

1 (2) an inspection for signs of water intrusion,
2 visible and nonvisible mold, microbial contamination,
3 and other indoor air quality concerns.

4 (c) INSPECTION IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.—Not later
5 than February 1, 2026, the Secretary of Defense shall
6 submit to the congressional defense committees a plan to
7 implement the program established under subsection (a),
8 including—

9 (1) contracting procedures for qualified home
10 inspectors;

11 (2) inspection methodologies;

12 (3) protocols for reporting, remediation, and
13 follow-up actions; and

14 (4) integration with existing oversight and com-
15 pliance frameworks for privatized military housing.

16 (d) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—Not later than
17 March 1, 2026, and annually thereafter until March 1,
18 2031, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the con-
19 gressional defense committees a report on the results of
20 inspections conducted under this section during the pre-
21 ceding calendar year. The report shall include—

22 (1) findings and deficiencies identified;

23 (2) remediation timelines and actions taken;

24 and

1 (3) recommendations for improving housing
2 conditions and oversight.

3 (e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

4 (1) The term “privatized military housing” has
5 the meaning given in section 3001(a)(2) of the Na-
6 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
7 2020 (Public Law 116–92; 10 U.S.C. 2821 note).

8 (2) The term “qualified home inspector” means
9 an individual who—

10 (A) possesses housing inspection creden-
11 tials required by the State in which the inspec-
12 tion is performed; and

13 (B) is not an employee of, or in a fiduciary
14 relationship with—

15 (i) the Federal Government; or

16 (ii) any entity that owns or manages
17 privatized military housing.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 28____. STANDARDIZATION OF MOLD REMEDIATION**
2 **GUIDELINES ACROSS MILITARY DEPART-**
3 **MENTS.**

4 (a) REQUIREMENT TO ESTABLISH COMMON GUIDE-
5 LINES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the en-
6 actment of this Act, the Secretaries of the military depart-
7 ments shall jointly develop and implement uniform guide-
8 lines for the remediation of mold in military housing, fa-
9 cilities, and other real property under jurisdiction of each
10 such Secretary.

11 (b) CONSISTENCY WITH ESTABLISHED STAND-
12 ARDS.—The guidelines required under subsection (a) shall
13 be consistent with—

14 (1) applicable municipal and State health and
15 environmental standards; and

16 (2) third-party industry standards, including
17 the standard of the Institute of Inspection Cleaning
18 and Restoration Certification titled “S520 Standard

1 for Professional Mold Remediation”, or any suc-
2 cessor standard.

3 (c) APPLICABILITY.—The guidelines required under
4 subsection (a) shall apply—

5 (1) to contracts or task orders for mold remedi-
6 ation entered into on or after the date of the
7 issuance of such guidelines; and

8 (2) to mold remediation procedures conducted
9 on or after such date of issuance.

10 (d) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after date of
11 the issuance of the guidelines under subsection (a), the
12 Secretaries of the military departments shall jointly sub-
13 mit to the congressional defense committees a report that
14 includes the guidelines and describes plans for implemen-
15 tation of the guidelines and monitoring compliance with
16 the guidelines.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. NORCROSS OF NEW JERSEY

Section 841 **【Log 82310】** is amended, in the matter proposed to be inserted as subparagraph (B) of section 842(b)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (Public Law 118–31; 10 U.S.C. 2341 note), by inserting “advanced or” after “commercial”.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. MACE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

At the appropriate place in title I, insert the following:

1 SEC. 1____. LIMITATION ON REDUCTIONS TO ARMY
2 PREPOSITIONED STOCKS—AFLOAT PROGRAM
3 SEALIFT CAPABILITY.

4 (a) LIMITATION.—The Secretary of the Army may
5 not reduce, withdraw, or otherwise degrade the sealift ca-
6 pability of the Army Prepositioned Stocks—Afloat pro-
7 gram (referred to in this section as “APS–3”) until the
8 date on which the Secretary submits to the Committees
9 on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Rep-
10 resentatives a report that includes—

11 (1) a comprehensive plan for the Army
12 Prepositioned Stocks program through fiscal year
13 2030, addressing modernization, sustainment, and
14 capacity objectives for all subprograms and capabili-
15 ties, including APS–3;

16 (2) estimated costs, schedule projections, and
17 risk assessments for executing the plan under para-
18 graph (1);

1 (3) a detailed description of any alternative so-
2 lution or capability that the Army envisions would
3 succeed or supplement APS–3, including operational
4 employment concepts, an acquisition strategy, and a
5 strategy for integration with joint and coalition lo-
6 gistics forces;

7 (4) an assessment of the risks, costs, and bene-
8 fits associated with a potential deactivation or reduc-
9 tion in APS–3 capabilities; and

10 (5) any legislative or regulatory authorities re-
11 quired to implement—

12 (A) the plan under paragraph (1); and

13 (B) any alternative solution or capability
14 identified under paragraph (3).

15 (b) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “sealift
16 capability” means the vessels, associated equipment, and
17 any port-of-embarkation and port-of-debarkation infra-
18 structure dedicated to APS–3.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. WITTMAN OF VIRGINIA

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the
following new section:

1 **SEC. 28 ____ . PILOT PROGRAM ON USE OF ADDITIVE CON-**
2 **STRUCTION TECHNOLOGIES AT ARMY IN-**
3 **STALLATIONS.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Beginning not later than 90 days
5 after the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army,
6 acting through a designee thereof, shall carry out a pilot
7 program on the use of additive construction technologies
8 for military construction projects on covered installations.

9 (b) SELECTION OF INSTALLATIONS.—The Secretary
10 shall—

11 (1) select one or more covered installations at
12 which to carry out the pilot program under sub-
13 section (a); and

14 (2) take such steps as may be necessary to min-
15 imize any disruption from such pilot program on the
16 operations of any covered installation so selected.

17 (c) ELEMENTS.—In carrying out the pilot program
18 under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

1 (1) generate a standardized design of one or
2 more military housing products compatible with ad-
3 ditive construction methods;

4 (2) using such additive construction methods,
5 build the military housing product or products, as
6 the case may be, pursuant to the respective design
7 generated under paragraph (1)—

8 (A) at each covered installation selected
9 under subsection (b); and

10 (B) in sufficient quantities, as determined
11 by the Secretary, to demonstrate the advan-
12 tages and disadvantages of additive construc-
13 tion if adopted across all military installations;
14 and

15 (3) prescribe data collection requirements for
16 the activities under paragraphs (1) and (2), includ-
17 ing with respect to design and building schedule,
18 cost information, and effect on quality of life, and
19 collect data pursuant to such requirements.

20 (d) REPORTS.—

21 (1) INTERIM REPORT.—Not later than 18
22 months after the date of the enactment of this Act,
23 the Secretary shall submit to the congressional de-
24 fense committees a report on the implementation of
25 the pilot program under subsection (a), including—

1 (A) a summary of any impediments to
2 such implementation identified by the Sec-
3 retary, including any statutory or resource limi-
4 tations;

5 (B) a summary of the recommendations of
6 the Secretary to address any impediment so
7 identified; and

8 (C) any other recommendation of the Sec-
9 retary for improving the pilot program, includ-
10 ing whether to extend or make the pilot pro-
11 gram permanent, and a strategic sequencing
12 plan for any extension or permanent adoption
13 so recommended.

14 (2) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than 180 days
15 after the termination of the pilot program under
16 subsection (e), the Secretary shall submit to the con-
17 gressional defense committees a report on the results
18 of the pilot program, taking into account data col-
19 lected pursuant to subsection (c)(3).

20 (e) TERMINATION.—The authority to carry out the
21 pilot program under subsection (a) shall terminate on the
22 date that is three years after the date of the enactment
23 of this Act.

24 (f) COVERED INSTALLATION DEFINED.—In this sec-
25 tion, the term “covered installation” means any installa-

1 tion under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Army,
2 without regard to whether the covered installation is lo-
3 cated in or outside of the continental United States.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. MOYLAN

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Small Modular Reactors in Guam

The committee is not aware of imminent plans to place small modular reactors in Guam, and notes that should such systems be placed in Guam it is in the interest of the public to know what systems would be present on the island. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2026, on:

- (1) steps the Department would take to ensure information of public interest is not designated classified or controlled unclassified information;
- (2) how the department would receive public feedback on any plans to place small modular reactors in Guam;
- (3) what steps would be taken to notify Congress and relevant political leadership in Guam; and
- (4) other matters deemed relevant by the Secretary.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. FALLON OF TEXAS

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 3 ____ . LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS TO REDUCE THE**
2 **NUMBER OF CIVILIAN PERSONNEL EM-**
3 **PLOYED AT PINE BLUFF ARSENAL, ARKAN-**
4 **SAS, AND RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT, TEXAS.**

5 None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by
6 this Act or otherwise made available for the Department
7 of Defense for fiscal year 2026 may be used to reduce
8 the number of civilian personnel employed at the Pine
9 Bluff Arsenal, Arkansas, or Red River Army Depot,
10 Texas, until the date on which the Secretary of the
11 Army—

12 (1) certifies to the congressional defense com-
13 mittees that such a reduction complies with—

14 (A) civilian personnel reduction limitations
15 required by section 2687 of title 10, United
16 States Code;

17 (B) the requirement under section 7532 of
18 title 10, United States Code, to have supplies
19 needed for the Department of the Army made

1 in factories or arsenals owned by the United
2 States; and

3 (C) overall limitations on depot-level main-
4 tenance and repair workload that may be per-
5 formed by non-Federal Government personnel
6 under a contract pursuant to section 2466 of
7 title 10, United States Code; and

8 (2) submits to the congressional defense com-
9 mittees a report that includes—

10 (A) a detailed cost analysis associated with
11 each of subparagraphs (A) through (C) of para-
12 graph (1);

13 (B) detailed estimates of the costs that will
14 be incurred if the Army moves the white phos-
15 phorus ammunition mission away from Pine
16 Bluff Arsenal, including the cost and time asso-
17 ciated with acquiring the necessary environ-
18 mental permits; and

19 (C) current capability gaps within the
20 Army that could be filled through the Army or-
21 ganic industrial base, including with respect to
22 s-UAS, battery technology, and brushless mo-
23 tors.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Bergman

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Comptroller General Review of Servicemember Moves Reform Efforts

The committee notes the Department of Defense has struggled with effectively and efficiently managing the approximately 300,000 household goods shipments each year as servicemembers experience permanent changes of station. Globally, the Department spends approximately \$2 billion each year on such shipments for the military departments, defense agencies, and the U.S. Coast Guard. Widespread dissatisfaction with the Department's program and calls for change from military families and congressional leaders in 2018 drove efforts to reform the Defense Personal Property Program (DP3). As part of those efforts, in November 2021, U.S. Transportation Command awarded the Global Household Goods Contract (GHC), worth up to \$16.8 billion over nine years, to a single commercial move manager—HomeSafe Alliance. HomeSafe Alliance was to oversee activities related to the worldwide movement and storage-in-transit of household goods for military service members. However, significant implementation challenges of the GHC led to the Department canceling the multi-billion dollar contract for cause in June 2025 after it spent millions on transition costs. The Secretary of Defense has directed a task force to develop new recommendations to manage household goods shipments. The committee is concerned that the Department did not have sufficient oversight and access to information about contractor capacity, costs, and performance under the GHC. Additionally, the committee is concerned that the remaining DP3 program continues to have persistent capacity, claims, and customer satisfaction issues. Without considering lessons learned from both programs, the Department may not be positioned to correct past mistakes with its future reform efforts.

Accordingly, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to assess how the Department is managing household goods shipments and reform efforts. Specifically, the review should examine:

- (1) the costs the Department has incurred to transition to GHC and how it is monitoring costs to transition back to full DP3 implementation as it weighs reform considerations;
- (2) how the Department is addressing negative customer feedback that led to its move to the single move manager approach through the GHC;
- (3) what reform efforts the Department is considering with its household good shipment program, and the extent to which the Department will ensure it

- can have access to information on capacity, cost, claims, and performance to effectively oversee any new approach; and
- (4) any other related matters deemed of importance by the Comptroller General.

The committee further directs the Comptroller General to provide periodic briefings to the House Committee on Armed Services on its preliminary findings as it conducts its work, to be followed with a report by a mutually agreed-upon timeframe.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN

At the appropriate place in title XXI, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 21____. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT FIS-**
2 **CAL YEAR 2021 PROJECT AT FORT GILLEM,**
3 **GEORGIA.**

4 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
6 Year 2021 (division B of Public Law 116–283; 134 Stat.
7 4294), the authorization set forth in the table in sub-
8 section (b) , as provided in section 2101(a) of that Act
9 (134 Stat. 4295) and most recently extended by section
10 2107 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for
11 Fiscal Year 2025 (division B of Public Law 118–159; 138
12 Stat. 2216), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2026,
13 or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds
14 for military construction for fiscal year 2027, whichever
15 is later.

16 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
17 is as follows:

Army: Extension of 2021 Project Authorization

State	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Georgia	Fort Gillem	Forensic Laboratory	\$71,000,000

At the appropriate place in title XXI, insert the following:

1 SEC. 21____. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT
2 CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2022 PROJECTS.

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
5 Year 2022 (division B of Public Law 117–81; 135 Stat.
6 2161), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
7 section (b), as provided in section 2101 of that Act (135
8 Stat. 2163) and extended by section 2108 of the Military
9 Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025 (di-
10 vision B of Public Law 118–159; 138 Stat. 2216), shall
11 remain in effect until October 1, 2026, or the date of the
12 enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military con-
13 struction for fiscal year 2027, whichever is later.

14 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
15 is as follows:

Army: Extension of 2022 Project Authorizations

State/ Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Georgia	Fort Stewart	Barracks	\$105,000,000
Germany	Smith Barracks	Live Fire Exercise Shoothouse	\$16,000,000

Army: Extension of 2022 Project Authorizations—Continued

State/ Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Au- thorized Amount
Hawaii	West Loch Naval Mag- azine Annex.	Ammunition Storage	\$51,000,000
Texas	Fort Bliss	Defense Access Roads	\$20,000,000

At the appropriate place in title XXI, insert the following:

1 SEC. 21____. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT
2 CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2023 PROJECTS.

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
5 Year 2023 (division B of Public Law 117–263; 136 Stat.
6 2970), the authorization set forth in the table in sub-
7 section (b), as provided in section 2101 of that Act (136
8 Stat. 2971), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2026,
9 or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds
10 for military construction for fiscal year 2027, whichever
11 is later.

12 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
13 is as follows:

Army: Extension of 2023 Project Authorizations

State/ Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Au- thorized Amount
Alabama	Redstone Arsenal	Physics Lab	\$44,000,000
Hawaii	Fort Shafter	Water System Up- grade	\$33,000,000
	Schofield Barracks	Company Operations Facility	\$159,000,000

Army: Extension of 2023 Project Authorizations—Continued

State/ Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Au- thorized Amount
Germany	Tripler Army Medical Center.	Water System Up- grade	\$38,000,000
	East Camp Grafenwoehr.	EDI: Battalion Trng Cplx1 (Brks/Veh Maint).	\$104,000,000
Japan	Kadena Air Force Base	EDI: Battalion Trng Cplx2 (OPS/Veh Maint).	\$64,000,000
		Vehicle Maintenance Shop	\$80,000,000

At the appropriate place in title XXI, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 21____. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT**
2 **FISCAL YEAR 2025 PROJECT AT SMITH BAR-**
3 **RACKS, GERMANY.**

4 In the case of the authorization contained in the table
5 in section 2101(b) of the Military Construction Authoriza-
6 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2025 (division B of Public Law
7 118–159; 138 Stat. 2213) for Hohenfels Training Area,
8 for construction of a barracks as specified in the funding
9 table in section 4601 of such Act, the Secretary of the
10 Army may construct a barracks at Smith Barracks, Ger-
11 many.

At the appropriate place in title XXII, insert the following:

1 SEC. 22____. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT
2 CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2022 PROJECTS.

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
5 Year 2022 (division B of Public Law 117–81; 135 Stat.
6 2161), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
7 section (b), as provided in sections 2201 and 2202 of that
8 Act (135 Stat. 2166, 2167) and extended by section 2207
9 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
10 Year 2025 (division B of Public Law 118–159; 138 Stat.
11 2221), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2026, or the
12 date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for mili-
13 tary construction for fiscal year 2027, whichever is later.
14 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
15 is as follows:

Navy: Extension of 2022 Project Authorizations

State/Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
California	Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton.	CLB MEU Complex	\$83,900,000
District of Columbia.	Marine Barracks Washington.	Family Housing Improvements.	\$10,415,000
Florida	Marine Corps Support Facility Blount Island.	Lighterage and Small Craft Facility.	\$69,400,000
Hawaii	Marine Corps Base Kaneohe.	Electrical Distribution Modernization.	\$64,500,000
South Carolina	Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort.	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar.	\$122,600,000

At the appropriate place in title XXII, insert the following

1 **SEC. 22____. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT**
2 **CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2023 PROJECTS.**

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
5 Year 2023 (division B of Public Law 117–263; 136 Stat.
6 2970), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
7 section (b), as provided in section 2201 of that Act (136
8 Stat. 2975), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2026,
9 or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds
10 for military construction for fiscal year 2027, whichever
11 is later.

12 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
13 is as follows:

Navy: Extension of 2022 Project Authorizations

State/Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Florida	Naval Air Station Jacksonville.	Engine Test Cells Modifications.	\$100,570,000
Hawaii	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam.	Missile Magazines	\$142,783,000
Nevada	Naval Air Station Fallon.	F–35C Aircraft Maintenance Hangar.	\$111,566,000
North Carolina	Marine Corps Air Station Cherry Point.	CH–53K Gearbox Repair and Test Facility.	\$44,830,000
South Carolina	Marine Corps Recruit Depot Parris Island.	Recruit Barracks	\$81,890,000
Spain	Naval Station Rota.	Recruit Barracks EDI: Missile Magazines	\$85,040,000 \$92,323,000

At the appropriate place in title XXIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 23____. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT FIS-**
2 **CAL YEAR 2017 PROJECT AT SPANGDAHLEM**
3 **AIR BASE, GERMANY.**

4 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
6 Year 2017 (division B of Public Law 114–328; 130 Stat.
7 2688), the authorization set forth in the table in sub-
8 section (b), as provided in section 2902 of that Act (130
9 Stat. 2743) and most recently extended by section 2304
10 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
11 Year 2025 (division B of Public Law 118–159; 138 Stat.
12 2224), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2026, or the
13 date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for mili-
14 tary construction for fiscal year 2027, whichever is later.

15 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
16 is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2017 Project Authorization

Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Germany	Spangdahlem Air Base	ERI: F/A–22 Low Observable/Composite Repair Fac	\$12,000,000

At the appropriate place in title XXIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 23____. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT**
2 **CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECTS.**

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
5 Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115–232; 132 Stat.
6 2240), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
7 section (b), as provided in section 2903 of that Act (132
8 Stat. 2287) and most recently extended by section 2306
9 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
10 Year 2025 (division B of Public Law 118–159; 138 Stat.
11 2225), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2026, or the
12 date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for mili-
13 tary construction for fiscal year 2027, whichever is later.
14 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
15 is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2019 Project Authorizations

Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
United Kingdom	Royal Air Force Fairford	EDI: Construct DABS-FEV Storage	\$87,000,000
		EDI: Munitions Holding Area	\$19,000,000

At the appropriate place in title XXIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 23____. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT**
2 **CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2020 PROJECTS.**

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
5 Year 2020 (division B of Public Law 116–92; 133 Stat.
6 1862), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
7 section (b), as provided in sections 2301(a) and 2912(a)
8 of that Act (133 Stat. 1867, 1913), and extended by sec-
9 tion 2307 of the Military Construction Authorization Act
10 for Fiscal Year 2025 (division B of Public Law 118–159;
11 138 Stat. 2226), shall remain in effect until October 1,
12 2026, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing
13 funds for military construction for fiscal year 2027, which-
14 ever is later.

15 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
16 is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2020 Project Authorizations

State	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Florida	Tyndall Air Force Base	Deployment Center/ Flight Line Din- ing/AAFES	\$43,000,000
Georgia	Moody Air Force Base	41 RQS HH–60W Apron	\$12,500,000

At the appropriate place in title XXIII, insert the
following:

1 SEC. 23____. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT
2 CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2022 PROJECTS.

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
 4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
 5 Year 2022 (division B of Public Law 117–81; 135 Stat.
 6 2161), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
 7 section (b), as provided in section 2301 of that Act (135
 8 Stat. 2168) and extended by section 2309 of the Military
 9 Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025 (di-
 10 vision B of Public Law 118–159; 138 Stat. 2227), shall
 11 remain in effect until October 1, 2026, or the date of the
 12 enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military con-
 13 struction for fiscal year 2027, whichever is later.

14 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
 15 is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2022 Project Authorizations

State/ Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Massachusetts	Hanscom Air Force Base	NC3 Acquisitions Management Fa- cility	\$66,000,000
United King- dom	Royal Air Force Lakenheath	F–35A Child Devel- opment Center	\$24,000,000
		F–35A Munition In- spection Facility ..	\$31,000,000
		F–35A Weapons Load Training Facility	\$49,000,000

At the appropriate place in title XXIII, insert the
 following:

1 **SEC. 23____. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT**
2 **CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2023 PROJECTS.**

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
5 Year 2023 (division B of Public Law 117–263; 136 Stat.
6 2970), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
7 section (b), as provided in section 2301 of that Act (136
8 Stat. 2978), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2026,
9 or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds
10 for military construction for fiscal year 2027, whichever
11 is later.

12 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
13 is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2023 Project Authorizations

State/ Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Florida	Patrick Space Force Base	Consolidated Com- munications Cen- ter	\$97,000,000
Norway	Rygge Air Station	EDI: Base Perim- eter Security Fence	\$8,200,000
Oklahoma	Tinker Air Force Base	Facility And Land Acquisition (MROTC)	\$30,000,000
Texas	Joint Base San Anto- nio-Randolph	Child Development Center	\$29,000,000

At the appropriate place in title XXIII, insert the
following:

1 **SEC. 23____. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT**
2 **FISCAL YEAR 2025 PROJECT AT F.E. WARREN**
3 **AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING.**

4 In the case of the authorization contained in the table
5 in section 2301(a) of the Military Construction Authoriza-
6 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2025 (division B of Public Law
7 118–159; 138 Stat. 2222) for F.E. Warren Air Force
8 Base, Wyoming, for the Ground Based Strategic Deter-
9 rent Utility Corridor, the Secretary of the Air Force may
10 construct 3,219 kilometers of telephone duct facility.

At the appropriate place in title XXIV, insert the
following:

11 **SEC. 24____. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT FIS-**
12 **CAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT AT IWAKUNI, JAPAN.**

13 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
14 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
15 Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115–232; 132 Stat.
16 2240), the authorization set forth in the table in sub-
17 section (b), as provided in section 2401(b) of that Act
18 (132 Stat. 2249) and most recently extended by section
19 2405 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for
20 Fiscal Year 2025 (division B of Public Law 118-159; 138
21 Stat. 2232), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2026,
22 or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds

1 for military construction for fiscal year 2027, whichever
2 is later.

3 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
4 is as follows:

Defense Agencies: Extension of 2019 Project Authorization

Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Japan	Iwakuni	Fuel Pier	\$33,200,000

At the appropriate place in title XXIV, insert the
following:

5 **SEC. 24____. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT**
6 **CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2022 PROJECTS.**

7 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
8 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
9 Year 2022 (division B of Public Law 117–81; 135 Stat.
10 2161), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
11 section (b), as provided in sections 2401 and 2402 of that
12 Act (135 Stat. 2173, 2174), shall remain in effect until
13 October 1, 2026, or the date of the enactment of an Act
14 authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year
15 2027, whichever is later.

16 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
17 is as follows:

**Defense Agencies and ERCIP Projects: Extension of 2022
Project Authorizations**

State	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Alabama	Fort Novosel	10 MW RICE Generator Plant and Microgrid Controls	\$24,000,000
Georgia	Fort Benning	4.8 MW Generation and Microgrid	\$17,593,000
	Fort Stewart	10 MW Generation Plant, with Microgrid Controls	\$22,000,000
New York	Fort Drum	Wellfield Field Expansion Project	\$27,000,000
North Carolina	Fort Bragg	Emergency Water System	\$7,705,000
Ohio	Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport	Base-Wide Microgrid With Natural Gas Generator, Photovoltaic and Battery Storage	\$4,700,000
Tennessee	Memphis International Airport	PV Arrays and Battery Storage	\$4,780,000

At the appropriate place in title XXIV, insert the following:

1 SEC. 24____. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT
2 CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2023 PROJECTS.

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
5 Year 2023 (division B of Public Law 117–263; 136 Stat.
6 2970), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
7 section (b), as provided in sections 2401(a) and 2402(a)
8 of that Act (136 Stat. 2982, 2983), shall remain in effect
9 until October 1, 2026, or the date of the enactment of
10 an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fis-
11 cal year 2027, whichever is later.

1 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)

2 is as follows:

**Defense Agencies and ERCIP Projects: Extension of 2023
Project Authorizations**

State/Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Alabama	Redstone Arsenal	MSIC Advanced Analysis Facility Phase 2 (INC)	\$151,000,000
California	Marine Corps Mountain Warfare Training Center	Microgrid and Backup Power	\$25,560,000
Florida	Naval Air Station Jacksonville	Facility Energy Operations Center Renovation	\$2,400,000
Georgia	Fort Stewart-Hunter Army Airfield	Power Generation and Microgrid	\$25,400,000
	Naval Submarine Base Kings Bay	SCADA Modernization	\$11,200,000
Hawaii	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	Primary Electrical Distribution	\$25,000,000
Kansas	Fort Riley	Power Generation and Microgrid	\$25,780,000
Texas	Fort Cavazos	Power Generation and Microgrid	\$31,500,000
	U.S. Army Reserve Center, Conroe	Power Generation and Microgrid	\$9,600,000
Virginia	Dam Neck	SOF Operations Building Addition	\$26,600,000

At the appropriate place in title XXIV, insert the following:

3 **SEC. 24____. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT**

4 **FISCAL YEAR 2024 PROJECT AT REDSTONE**

5 **ARSENAL, ALABAMA.**

6 In the case of the authorization contained in the table

7 in section 2401 of the Military Construction Authorization

8 Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (division B of Public Law 118–

1 31; 137 Stat. 726) for Redstone Arsenal, Alabama, for
2 construction of a ground test facility infrastructure project
3 at that location, the Missile Defense Agency may renovate
4 additional square footage and convert administrative space
5 to classified space.

At the appropriate place in title XXIV, insert the
following:

6 **SEC. 24____. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT**
7 **FISCAL YEAR 2024 PROJECT AT LAKE CITY**
8 **ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT, MISSOURI.**

9 (a) MODIFICATIONS OF PROJECT AUTHORITY.—In
10 the case of the authorization contained in the table in sec-
11 tion 2402(a) of the Military Construction Authorization
12 Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (division B of Public Law 118–
13 31; 137 Stat. 727) for Lake City Army Ammunition
14 Plant, Missouri, for construction of a microgrid and
15 backup power, the Secretary of Defense may construct a
16 microgrid and backup power, including the installation of
17 liquid propane gas tanks and associated piping, founda-
18 tions, pumps, saddles, propane vaporizers and controls.

19 (b) MODIFICATION OF PROJECT AMOUNTS.—

20 (1) PROJECT AUTHORIZATION.—The authoriza-
21 tion table in section 2402(a) of the Military Con-
22 struction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024
23 (division B of Public Law 118–31; 137 Stat. 727)

1 is amended in the item relating to Lake City Army
2 Ammunition Plant, Missouri, by striking the dollar
3 amount and inserting “\$86,500,000”.

4 (2) FUNDING AUTHORIZATION.—The funding
5 table in section 4601 of the National Defense Au-
6 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (Public Law
7 118–31; 137 Stat. 901) is amended in the items re-
8 lating to Lake City Army Ammunition Plant, Mis-
9 souri, by striking the dollar amount and inserting
10 “\$86,500”.

At the appropriate place in title XXIV, insert the
following:

11 **SEC. 24____. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT**
12 **FISCAL YEAR 2025 PROJECT AT JOINT BASE**
13 **ANDREWS, MARYLAND.**

14 In the case of the authorization contained in the table
15 in section 2402 of the Military Construction Authorization
16 Act for Fiscal Year 2025 (division B of Public Law 118–
17 159; 138 Stat. 2229) for Joint Base Andrews, Maryland,
18 for construction of a microgrid with electric vehicle charg-
19 ing infrastructure, the Secretary of the Air Force may
20 construct a new power generation and microgrid facility.

At the appropriate place in title XXIV, insert the
following:

1 SEC. 24____. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT
2 FISCAL YEAR 2025 PROJECT AT JOINT BASE
3 MCGUIRE-DIX-LAKEHURST, NEW JERSEY.

4 In the case of the authorization contained in the table
 5 in section 2402 of the Military Construction Authorization
 6 Act for Fiscal Year 2025 (division B of Public Law 118–
 7 159; 138 Stat. 2229) for Joint Base McGuire-Dix-
 8 Lakehurst, New Jersey, for construction of a microgrid
 9 with electric vehicle charging infrastructure, the Secretary
 10 of the Air Force may construct a new power generation
 11 and microgrid facility.

At the appropriate place in title XXV, insert the fol-
 lowing:

12 SEC. 25____. REPUBLIC OF KOREA FUNDED CONSTRUCTION
13 PROJECTS.

14 Pursuant to agreement with the Republic of Korea
 15 for required in-kind contributions, the Secretary of De-
 16 fense may accept military construction projects for the in-
 17 stallations or locations in the Republic of Korea, and in
 18 the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Republic of Korea Funded Construction Projects

Component	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
Army	Camp Humphreys	Access Control Point	\$24,000,000
Army	Camp Humphreys	Runway	\$180,000,000
Navy	Pohang Air Base	Replace Concrete Apron	\$22,000,000
Navy	Yechon Air Base	Replace Magazine Muni- tions Supply Area.	\$59,000,000
Air Force	Gimhae Air Base	Repair Contingency Hos- pital.	\$86,000,000

Republic of Korea Funded Construction Projects—Continued

Component	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
Air Force	Gwangju Air Base.	Hydrant Fuel System	\$57,000,000
Air Force	Osan Air Base	Aircraft Corrosion Control Facility Part 3.	\$25,000,000

At the appropriate place in title XXV, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 25____. REPUBLIC OF POLAND FUNDED CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**

2 Pursuant to agreement with the Republic of Poland

3 for required in-kind contributions, the Secretary of De-

4 fense may accept military construction projects for the in-

5 stallations or locations in the Republic of Poland, and in

6 the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Republic of Poland Funded Construction Projects

Component	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
Army	Drawsko Pomorskie Training Area (DPTA).	Information Systems Facility.	\$6,200,000
Army	Powdiz	Barracks and Dining Facility—Phase 2.	\$199,000,000
Army	Powdiz	Rotary Wing Aircraft Maintenance Hangar.	\$91,000,000
Air Force	Lask	Communication Infrastructure.	\$18,000,000
Air Force	Wroclaw	Combined Aerial Port Facilities.	\$111,000,000
Air Force	Wroclaw	Contingency Beddown Area.	\$13,000,000
Air Force	Wroclaw	Hot Cargo Pad/Munition Handling/Holding Area.	\$44,000,000
Air Force	Wroclaw	Railhead and Rail Extension.	\$22,000,000

At the appropriate place in title XXVI, insert the following:

1 SEC. 26____. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT
2 CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2023 PROJECTS.

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
5 Year 2023 (division B of Public Law 117–263; 136 Stat.
6 2970), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
7 section (b), as provided in sections 2601, 2602, 2603 and
8 2604 of that Act (136 Stat. 2986, 2987), shall remain
9 in effect until October 1, 2026, or the date of the enact-
10 ment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction
11 for fiscal year 2027, whichever is later.

12 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
13 is as follows:

**National Guard and Reserve: Extension of 2023 Project
Authorizations**

State	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Alaska	Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	\$63,000,000
Arizona	Morris Air National Guard Base	Base Entry Complex	\$12,000,000
	Tucson International Airport	Land Acquisition	\$11,700,000
Arkansas	Camp Robinson ..	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	\$9,500,000
Florida	Gainesville	National Guard Readiness Center	\$21,000,000
	Perrine	Army Reserve Center/AMSA	\$46,000,000
Hawaii	Marine Corps Base Kaneohe Bay	C-40 Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	\$116,964,000
Indiana	Fort Wayne International Airport	Munitions Maintenance and Storage Complex	\$16,500,000

**National Guard and Reserve: Extension of 2023 Project
Authorizations—Continued**

State	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Ohio	Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base	Small Arms Range	\$8,000,000
Puerto Rico	Camp Santiago Joint Maneuver Training Center	Engineering/Housing Maintenance Shops (DPW)	\$14,500,000
West Virginia	McLaughlin Air National Guard Base	C-130J Apron Expansion	\$10,000,000

At the appropriate place in title XXVI, insert the following:

1 SEC. 26____. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT
2 FISCAL YEAR 2023 PROJECT AT TUCSON
3 INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, ARIZONA.

4 In the case of the authorization contained in the table
5 in section 2604 of the Military Construction Authorization
6 Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (division B of Public Law 117–
7 263; 136 Stat. 2987) for Tucson International Airport,
8 Arizona, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire a par-
9 cel of real property consisting of approximately 10 acres
10 of land located in the northwest quarter of section 18,
11 township 15 south, range 14 east, Gila and Salt River Me-
12 ridian, City of Tucson, Pima County, Arizona.

At the appropriate place in title XXVI, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 26____. AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2026**

2 **PROJECT AT ARMY RESERVE CENTER CON-**

3 **ROE, TEXAS.**

4 (a) PROJECT AUTHORIZATION.—The Secretary of
5 the Army may carry out a military construction project
6 to construct a rotary-wing landing pad and taxiway at
7 Army Reserve Center, Conroe, Texas, in an amount not
8 to exceed \$12,000,000.

9 (b) USE OF UNOBLIGATED PRIOR-YEAR FUNDS.—To
10 carry out the project described in subsection (a), the Sec-
11 retary of the Army may use unobligated funds—

12 (1) that have been appropriated for a fiscal
13 year that precedes fiscal year 2026; and

14 (2) that remain available under the heading
15 “Military Construction, Army Reserve”.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Whitesides

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Innovative Materials for Housing and Facilities Construction

The committee recognizes the need for new and innovative approaches to housing and facilities construction for servicemembers and installations alike. Accordingly, the committee has provided robust support year-over-year for the development of next-generation building materials to enable the Department of Defense and its industry partners to accelerate building and extend housing service life.

The committee notes that in the process of developing new and innovative building solutions, the Department of Defense and the military departments have learned valuable lessons that could address critical infrastructure needs for the nation. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a publicly available report to the House Committee on Armed Services, not later than March 1, 2026, outlining the Department's efforts to develop new and innovative building materials. The report shall include the following:

- (1) An update on the Department's efforts to field alternative building materials for housing, facilities, and other physical infrastructure needs;
- (2) A description of the projected time and cost savings gained through use of innovative and advanced building materials; and
- (3) A description of the environmental sustainability and service-life extension benefits posed by innovative and advanced building materials.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. SORENSEN OF ILLINOIS

At the appropriate place in title IX, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 9 ____ . LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR**
2 **THE ARMY PENDING SUBMITTAL OF PLAN ON**
3 **THE PROPOSED INTEGRATION OF THE JOINT**
4 **MUNITIONS COMMAND AND THE ARMY**
5 **SUSTAINMENT COMMAND.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—None of the funds authorized to
7 be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available
8 for fiscal year 2026 for the Army may be obligated or ex-
9 pended to restructure the Joint Munitions Command and
10 the Army Sustainment Command (referred to in this sec-
11 tion collectively as the “Commands”) until the Secretary
12 of the Army submits to the Committees on Armed Services
13 of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report
14 regarding the proposed plan of the Secretary to integrate
15 the Commands.

16 (b) ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection
17 (a) shall include the following:

18 (1) A detailed comparison of the old organiza-
19 tional structures of the Commands compared with

1 the proposed new integration construct for such or-
2 ganizational structures, including any changes to re-
3 porting chains, leadership roles, and workforce.

4 (2) The planned timeline for implementation of
5 such integration.

6 (3) Any plans for changing the numbers, duty
7 locations, or responsibilities of personnel under the
8 Commands

9 (4) A mission justification for the proposed in-
10 tegration.

11 (5) An assessment of the short-term and long-
12 term impacts of the proposed integration on the
13 readiness of the Army and the Department of De-
14 fense to conduct the missions of the Commands and
15 the plan of the Army for mitigating those impacts.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Bergman

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Mobile SCIFs

The committee notes that mobile SCIFs (Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility) are needed when a secure space for handling classified information needs to be rapidly deployed and moved between different locations, particularly in situations where a fixed facility isn't practical due to the temporary nature of the mission or the need to operate in various areas without disrupting operations. Forward deploying assets in the United States Indo-Pacific Command theater is one example of a U.S. Department of Defense need.

The committee notes that utilizing a mobile SCIF can be more cost-effective than constructing a permanent facility in multiple locations, especially when considering construction time and ongoing maintenance. Mobile SCIFs must still adhere to the same security standards as fixed facilities, and they must be fabricated in accordance with the standards outlined in the ICD 705 (Intelligence Community Directive 705) current version specifically meeting the sound attenuation and TEMPEST requirements. Mobile and temporary SCIFs are most often more secure, and less expensive, than constructing to-standard facilities in deployed settings. Also, certified mobile SCIFs can be used for enroute mission planning in various forms of transport nodes including land, maritime, and air transport.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to prepare a brief to the House Committee on Armed Services by March 1, 2026, as to the plan for acquisition of mobile SCIFs and whether preference will be given to U.S.-based small businesses as certified by the U.S. Small Business Administration.