

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
6200	1	Elfreth, Sarah	RDY	The purpose of this report language is to call attention to the potential benefits of the US Navy utilizing recently provided Other Transaction Authority (OTA) for military construction projects to enhance and accelerate the timeline for construction and delivery of new advanced.	EB 2
6213	0	McGuire, John J.	RDY	Authorizes the Secretary of the Army to transfer about 15 acres of unused federal land inside Camp Williams in Lehi, Utah, to the Utah National Guard.	EB 2
6222	1	Harrigan, Pat	RDY	Directs the Secretary of the Army to establish a pilot program collecting AI/sensor data during Combat Training Center rotations to improve training realism, unit readiness, and support agentic AI development. Terminates in 3 years.	EB 2
6236	1	Harrigan, Pat	RDY	Would require the Department of Defense to incorporate emerging food production technologies into operational ration procurement to reduce size and weight in support of contested logistics requirement.	EB 2
6261	0	McGuire, John J.	RDY	Directs the Secretary of Defense in coordination with the Secretary of Energy to provide a brief to HASC on efforts to deploy mobile substations in support of critical defense facilities' electrical grids.	EB 2
6291	0	Scott, Austin	RDY	The amendment requires DoD to develop a standardized, department-wide definition of hybrid power systems and brief Congress on associated technical parameters, inventories, applications, and commercial integration across all power ranges.	EB 2
6308	3	Moylan, Jim	RDY	Requires a report on Unexploded Ordnance and Munitions and Explosives of Concern remediation on Guam	EB 2
6317	0	Sorensen, Eric	RDY	Bans authorized Army funding from being used to close the Rock Island Arsenal Museum.	EB 2
6329	1	Courtney, Joe	RDY	Would require SECNAV and SECAF to implement GAO recommendations on Osprey aircraft safety improvements.	EB 2
6361	2	Hamadeh, Abraham J.	RDY	Requires a briefing on joint use airfield infrastructure and security modernization plans for MCAS Yuma and Libby Army Airfield, including air traffic control, fire and rescue, perimeter security, electronic monitoring, execution barriers, and project sequencing.	EB 2
6364	1	Hamadeh, Abraham J.	RDY	Directs the Army to brief the committee on cost-effective options to repair recurring pavement failures at Yuma Proving Ground, including alternatives to traditional road replacement such as full-depth reclamation and in-place recycling.	EB 2
6370	0	Hamadeh, Abraham J.	RDY	Directs the Secretary of the Navy to brief the committee on gaps and phased modernization options for Southwest Tactical Training Range threat replication systems to better support realistic peer and near-peer IADS training for WTI, VMX-1, and Fleet Marine Force units.	EB 2
6380	1	Bergman, Jack	RDY	Requires briefings and certification related to fuel services financial management contracts.	EB 2

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
<b>6381</b>	1	Gooden, Lance	RDY	Navy Wartime Sustainment and Surge Capacity in the Indo-Pacific	EB 2
<b>6405</b>	4	Kiggans, Jennifer A.	RDY	Requires a report on governance and integration of AI-enabled shipyard modernization tools.	EB 2
<b>6407</b>	1	Hamadeh, Abraham J.	RDY	Directs DoD to provide a briefing on existing government, nonprofit, and private sector resources that help deployed servicemembers lawfully rescue and transport cats and dogs to the United States, and to identify barriers, and gaps in information and resources.	EB 2
<b>6489</b>	0	Jackson, Ronny	RDY	Amends section 346 of the FY24 NDAA to initiate and extend the underlying Pilot Program on Optimization of Aerial Refueling and Fuel Management.	EB 2
<b>6492</b>	1	Scott, Austin	RDY	This amendment directs the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2027, on the feasibility of establishing a National Guard Museum of the United States.	EB 2
<b>6494</b>	0	Jackson, Ronny	RDY	Updates the required DOD depot maintenance workload revenue using a rolling average of the prior year, current year, and projected next year revenue.	EB 2
<b>6538</b>	1	Fallon, Pat	RDY	Would require the Secretary of Defense to brief on the feasibility of requiring protections for large power transformers at military installations against electromagnetic pulse, cyber, and radio frequency threats, including recommendations for regulatory standards.	EB 2
<b>6544</b>	0	Bergman, Jack	RDY	Increase of Working Capital Fund	EB 2
<b>6546</b>	1	Jacobs, Sara	RDY	Directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to Congress on how the Department of Defense is implementing the recommendations outlined in the IG report pertaining to Military Working Dogs.	EB 2
<b>6559</b>	2	Bergman, Jack	RDY	Readiness Data and System Integration	EB 2
<b>6580</b>	1	Van Orden, Derrick	RDY	Ensuring the Availability of Milk at Dining Facilities on Military Installations	EB 2
<b>6583</b>	3	Bacon, Don	RDY	Directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a briefing on interim basing and facility options to ensure uninterrupted airborne nuclear command and control capability during the transition from the legacy NAOC to the new SAOC.	EB 2
<b>6597</b>	1	Wilson, Joe	RDY	Requires briefing on a photovoltaic modules from Foreign Entities of Concern.	EB 2

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
6604	0	Crank, Jeff	RDY	Directs the Department to brief on the minimum technical standards applied to offerors in lowest price technically acceptable bulk fuel contract procurements.	EB 2
6605	0	Ryan, Patrick	RDY	Requires a study on the fuel procurement practices of DoD.	EB 2
6610	0	Khanna, Ro	RDY	Amends Section 845 to require the Department of Defense to release of public summaries of sustainment reviews, when feasible.	EB 2
6686	0	Scott, Austin	RDY	This amendment establishes the United States Marine Corps Museum System.	EB 2
6690	1	Bergman, Jack	RDY	Requires a briefing on innovative water and power solutions for operational and installation resilience.	EB 2
6692	0	Bergman, Jack	RDY	Execution of Projects Under the NATO Security Investment Program	EB 2
6694	1	Elfreth, Sarah	RDY	Establish pilot program to expand the use of additive manufacturing and advanced manufacturing technologies to address supply chain constraints and parts shortages affecting naval shipbuilding and ship repair	EB 2
6695	0	Scott, Austin	RDY	This amendment renames Fort Gordon in Augusta, Georgia to Fort Shughart Gordon to honor the 2 Delta Force snipers who were killed in action during the 1993 Battle of Mogadishu, Somalia. The name change honors Master Sergeant Gary Gordon and Sergeant First Class Randy Shughart.	EB 2
6737	2	Deluzio, Christopher R.	RDY	A report on the use of Synthetic Aviation Fuel	EB 2
6743	0	Sorensen, Eric	RDY	Creates a pilot program to expand the Army's online real estate inventory tool pilot program to all service branches.	EB 2
6786	0	Garamendi, John	RDY	Requires DoD to brief HASC by March 1, 2027, on the F-35 Global Sustainment Spares Reset, including how the Department plans to fix the spare parts backlog through FY2031.	EB 2
6793	0	DesJarlais, Scott	RDY	Milan Training Site Land Transfer for TN National Guard	EB 2
6804	1	Cisneros, Gilbert	RDY	Study on DOD alternative solid waste disposal technology to eliminate the need for burn pits	EB 2

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
<b>6806</b>	1	Bergman, Jack	RDY	Pilot Program on Total Replacement Value for Air Force Test Center Ground Test Infrastructure	EB 2
<b>6807</b>	0	Bergman, Jack	RDY	Modification of Approval and Notification Thresholds for Repair Projects on Military Facilities	EB 2
<b>6849</b>	0	Tran, Derek	RDY	Requires the Department of the Air Force to brief Congress on any basing decisions made outside the regular strategic basing process.	EB 2
<b>6870</b>	0	Rogers, Mike	RDY	Pilot program for secure recycling of domestic electronic waste	EB 2

## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

**Offered by: Ms. Elfreth of Maryland**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

### **Other Transaction Authority for Advanced Technology Facilities**

The committee is aware of the Department of the Navy's efforts to use Other Transaction Authority for the construction of an advanced shielded anechoic chamber to provide increased capacity for secure indoor range testing, installed systems testing, classified modeling and simulation, and advanced interoperability testing for next-generation mission systems. The committee is encouraged that the Navy is considering use of this authority for a technologically advanced and complex test and evaluation facility, but notes the limited response to the Broad Agency Announcement and indications that the Navy may be pursuing the project in a manner more consistent with traditional military construction processes.

The committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services, not later than December 1, 2026, on the Navy's plan to use Other Transaction Authority for the construction of advanced test and evaluation facilities, including:

- (1) an assessment of the Navy's current business plan for the advanced shielded anechoic chamber;
- (2) an evaluation of why the Broad Agency Announcement received limited responses from potential bidders;
- (3) options to improve industry participation and reduce cost, schedule, and performance risk for similar facilities;
- (4) an assessment of alternative business models, including leaseback arrangements or the use of existing funds to reduce project risk; and
- (5) recommendations for using this authority as a roadmap for future construction of advanced test and evaluation facilities that do not fit well within the traditional military construction process.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. MCGUIRE OF VIRGINIA**

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 28 \_\_\_\_ . LAND CONVEYANCE, STATE ARMORY BOARD,**  
2 **UTAH NATIONAL GUARD, CAMP WILLIAMS**  
3 **STATE MILITARY RESERVATION, LEHI, UTAH.**

4 (a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Army  
6 (in this section referred to as the “Secretary”) may  
7 convey to the Utah National Guard, Lehi, Utah, all  
8 right, title, and interest of the United States in and  
9 to a parcel of real property, including any improve-  
10 ments thereon, consisting of three parcels described  
11 in subsection (b).

12 (2) CONTINUATION OF EXISTING EASEMENTS,  
13 RESTRICTIONS, AND COVENANTS.—The conveyance  
14 of the property under paragraph (1) shall be subject  
15 to any easement, restriction, or covenant of record  
16 applicable to the property and in existence on the  
17 date of the enactment of this Act.

18 (b) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—

1           (1) IN GENERAL.—The property, including all  
2 land, improvements, and appurtenances, described in  
3 this subsection is the following:

4           (A) FIRST PARCEL.—A strip of land of  
5 varying width situated in Section 35, Township  
6 4 South, Range 1 West, Salt Lake Base and  
7 Meridian. Such strip of land extending 25 feet  
8 each side and parallel with the following de-  
9 scribed center line: Beginning at a point in the  
10 East line of section 35, 1298 feet East and  
11 1808 feet North 44° 59'' West from the South-  
12 west corner of Section 36, Township 4 South,  
13 Range 1 West, Salt Lake Base and Meridian;  
14 thence North 45° 9'' West 1999 feet, describing  
15 a 75 foot strip of land extending 25 feet  
16 Eastwardly and 50 feet Westwardly and Par-  
17 allel with such center line; thence continuing on  
18 same bearing 1368.1 feet to the PC of a 5° spi-  
19 ralled curve to the right. Describing a 100 foot  
20 strip of land extending 50 feet each side and  
21 parallel with said center line, thence Northwest-  
22 erly along said 5° curve to the right 507.9 feet  
23 more or less to a point in the South line of the  
24 Northeast quarter of the Northwest quarter of  
25 said Section 35, describing a 100 foot strip of

1 land extending 50 feet each side and parallel  
2 with such center line.

3 (B) SECOND PARCEL.—An irregular  
4 shaped parcel of land situated in the Northeast  
5 quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section 35,  
6 Township 4 South Range 1 West, Salt Lake  
7 Base and Meridian. Beginning at the Northwest  
8 corner of the herein described land, said point  
9 bears West 194 feet from the North quarter  
10 corner of Section 35, thence South  $5^{\circ} 47''$  West  
11 587 feet more or less, thence along the line of  
12 the  $5^{\circ}$  spiralled curve to the left a distance of  
13 767.4 feet to a point in the South line of the  
14 Northeast quarter of the Northwest quarter of  
15 said Section 35; thence East 50 feet to the west  
16 right of way line of the Utah Lake Irrigation  
17 Company's canal, thence North  $1^{\circ} 24''$  East  
18 along said West right of way line in distance of  
19 1180 feet more or less; thence North  $3^{\circ} 2''$   
20 West 128 feet; thence West 115 feet to the  
21 point of beginning.

22 (C) THIRD PARCEL.—A parcel of land sit-  
23 uated in Southwest quarter of Section 26,  
24 Township 4 South, Range 1 West, Salt Lake  
25 Base and Meridian: Commencing 144 feet West

1 from South quarter corner of Section 26; a  
2 strip of land 200 feet wide extending 100 feet  
3 each side and parallel with the following de-  
4 scribed center line: Commencing from said  
5 point of beginning North 5° 47'' East 861.1  
6 feet more or less, along the line of a 7° spiral  
7 cure to left a distance of 464.4 feet more of less  
8 to a point in North line of Southeast, quarter  
9 of Southwest quarter of Section 26, extending  
10 100 feet each side and parallel with side center  
11 line.

12 (2) SURVEY.—The exact acreage and legal de-  
13 scription of the parcels described in paragraph (1)  
14 shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the  
15 Secretary.

16 (c) REVERSIONARY INTEREST.—

17 (1) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary determines  
18 at any time that the property conveyed under sub-  
19 section (a) is not being used for activities of the  
20 Utah National Guard relating to training and readi-  
21 ness, all right, title, and interest in and to the prop-  
22 erty, including any improvements thereto, may, at  
23 the option of the Secretary, revert to and become the  
24 property of the United States, and the United States

1       may have the right of immediate entry onto such  
2       property.

3               (2) DETERMINATION.—A determination by the  
4       Secretary under paragraph (1) may be made on the  
5       record after an opportunity for a hearing.

6       (d) PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—

7               (1) PAYMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary may  
8       require the State of Utah to cover all costs (except  
9       costs for environmental remediation of the property)  
10      to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the  
11      Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to  
12      carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), in-  
13      cluding costs for environmental and real estate due  
14      diligence and any other administrative costs related  
15      to the conveyance.

16              (2) REFUND OF EXCESS AMOUNTS.—If  
17      amounts are collected from the State under para-  
18      graph (1) in advance of the Secretary incurring the  
19      actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the  
20      costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out  
21      the conveyance under subsection (a), the Secretary  
22      shall refund the excess amount to the State.

23       (e) LIMITATION ON SOURCE OF FUNDS.—The State  
24      may not use Federal funds to cover any portion of the  
25      costs required to be paid by the State under this section.

1 (f) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Sec-  
2 retary may require such additional terms and conditions  
3 in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as  
4 the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the inter-  
5 ests of the United States.



**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. HARRIGAN OF NORTH**  
**CAROLINA**

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 3\_\_ . PILOT PROGRAM ON DATA COLLECTION AND**  
2 **ANALYSIS IN CONNECTION WITH ARMY COM-**  
3 **BAT TRAINING CENTER ROTATIONS.**

4 (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 180 days after  
5 the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of  
6 the Army shall establish a pilot program to collect, store,  
7 and analyze covered data generated during rotations of  
8 members of the Armed Forces at combat training centers.

9 (b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the pilot program  
10 under subsection (a) shall be to improve the realism of  
11 training for members of the Armed Forces, enhance unit  
12 readiness, collect observational data for application to ef-  
13 forts of the Department relating to agentic artificial intel-  
14 ligence, and support the development of secure systems  
15 and analytic tools that enable the responsible deployment  
16 and interoperability of the sensors specified in subsection  
17 (c)(2)(A).

1 (c) ACTIVITIES.—In carrying out the pilot program  
2 under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Army shall—

3 (1) designate not fewer than one rotation at a  
4 combat training center for the conduct of the pilot  
5 program;

6 (2) identify and evaluate technologies and proc-  
7 esses for the collection, storage, and analysis of cov-  
8 ered data from multiple sources during such rota-  
9 tion, including—

10 (A) soldier-borne artificial intelligence  
11 small arms weapon sensors and related  
12 networked systems; and

13 (B) unmanned systems;

14 (3) collect, store, and analyze covered data dur-  
15 ing such rotation using such technologies and proc-  
16 esses;

17 (4) analyze how data generated by the sensors  
18 specified in paragraph (2)(A) may contribute to im-  
19 proved lethality, survivability, and situational aware-  
20 ness in multi-domain operations; and

21 (5) evaluate the cost, feasibility, and oper-  
22 ational benefits of permanently establishing a pro-  
23 gram for the collection, storage, and analysis of cov-  
24 ered data generated during rotations of members of  
25 the Armed Forces across combat training centers.

1 (d) BRIEFING.—Not later than 180 days after the  
2 completion of the pilot program under subsection (a), the  
3 Secretary of the Army shall provide to the Committees on  
4 Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Represent-  
5 atives a briefing on the activities, findings, and rec-  
6 ommendations resulting from such pilot program, includ-  
7 ing the following:

8 (1) An assessment of the effectiveness and se-  
9 curity of incorporating data derived from sensors  
10 specified in subsection (c)(2)(A) into training and  
11 readiness analyses.

12 (2) Recommendations regarding the potential  
13 expansion or permanent establishment of a program  
14 for the collection, storage, and analysis of covered  
15 data generated during rotations of members of the  
16 Armed Forces across combat training centers and  
17 across the Armed Forces.

18 (e) TERMINATION.—Not later than three years after  
19 the date of enactment of this Act, the pilot program under  
20 subsection (a) shall terminate.

21 (f) COVERED DATA DEFINED.—In this section, the  
22 term “covered data” means data relating to the tactical  
23 performance and decision-making of, and training effec-

4

1 tiveness for, members of the Armed Forces (at the indi-  
2 vidual, team, and unit levels).



**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. HARRIGAN OF NORTH**  
**CAROLINA**

At the appropriate place in title II, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 2** \_\_\_\_. **USE OF INNOVATIVE AND EMERGING FOOD PRO-**  
2 **DUCTION TECHNOLOGIES FOR COMPONENTS**  
3 **OF MILITARY RATIONS.**

4 (a) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Con-  
5 gress that requirements for the future battlefield include  
6 dealing with contested logistics that—

7 (1) cannot be achieved with the size and weight  
8 of currently fielded rations; and

9 (2) could be mitigated by incorporating within  
10 rations, components produced with emerging and in-  
11 novative technologies developed in partnership with  
12 the Department of Defense Combat Feeding Re-  
13 search and Engineering Program.

14 (b) **ACTIVITIES REQUIRED.**—Subject to the avail-  
15 ability of appropriations for such purpose, the Secretary  
16 of Defense shall carry out the following activities with re-  
17 spect to military rations:

1           (1) The Secretary shall seek to enter into one  
2 or more contracts for the procurement of rations  
3 produced using emerging food technologies such as  
4 sonic agglomeration and vacuum microwave drying  
5 to reduce size and weight.

6           (2) The Secretary shall maximize the use of the  
7 technologies described in paragraph (1) for indi-  
8 vidual combat ration components to ensure success-  
9 ful technology transition from small business innova-  
10 tion research and research, development, test, and  
11 evaluation.

12           (3) As operational needs allow, the Secretary  
13 shall prioritize clean-label, nutrient-dense compo-  
14 nents that do not contain unhealthy fats or artificial  
15 preservatives.

16           (c) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 120 days  
17 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
18 of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense com-  
19 mittees a report that includes the following:

20           (1) A description of any emerging and innova-  
21 tive food processing technologies that have been de-  
22 veloped or are being developed using research, devel-  
23 opment, test, and evaluation investments of the De-  
24 partment of Defense.

1           (2) The total amount of Department of Defense  
2 investments in the development of sonic agglomer-  
3 ation and vacuum microwave drying technology for  
4 military rations, disaggregated by research, develop-  
5 ment, test, and evaluation budget activity, including  
6 small business innovation research.

7           (3) The results of warfighter field testing of po-  
8 tential ration components produced with the tech-  
9 nologies described in paragraph (2).

10          (4) An explanation of quantitative and quali-  
11 tative logistical and nutritional benefits of ration  
12 components produced using such technologies.

13          (5) A description of any procurement processes  
14 for military rations that may be barriers to the ac-  
15 quisition of components produced with new and  
16 emerging food processing technologies.

17          (6) A description of any activities carried out to  
18 advance the transition and adoption of such tech-  
19 nologies to better meet the challenges of military op-  
20 erations in a contested logistics environment.



## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. McGuire**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Mobile Substations for Critical Defense Facilities**

The committee notes the growing threat posed by natural disasters, cyberattacks, physical attacks, and grid instability to the reliable supply of electricity supporting critical defense facilities (as defined in section 215A(c) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824o-1(e))). The committee further notes that many such facilities essential to strategic deterrence, homeland defense, continuity of government, and military operations remain vulnerable to prolonged outages resulting from disruptions to the bulk-power system or local electricity distribution infrastructure. The committee believes that rapidly deployable mobile substations capable of restoring or supplementing electrical service are critical to improving the resilience, redundancy, and operational continuity of defense-critical infrastructure.

The committee believes that the Department of Defense should prioritize the development of operational concepts, deployment timelines, and integration plans for mobile substations supporting critical defense facilities. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Energy, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2027, on efforts to deploy mobile substations in support of critical defense facilities. The briefing shall include the following:

(1) an overview of the current and projected risks to critical defense facilities associated with prolonged electrical outages;

(2) an assessment of the extent to which mobile substations could improve mission assurance, installation resilience, continuity of operations, and the reliable operation of defense-critical infrastructure;

(3) a description of the Department's current and planned efforts to deploy mobile substations to support critical defense facilities; and

(4) recommendations for a pilot effort to establish a program to rapidly deploy mobile substations to support critical defense facilities during prolonged electrical outages.

## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Austin Scott of Georgia**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### Definition of hybrid power systems employed by the Armed Forces

The committee recognizes the progress made by the Department of Defense to increase use of hybrid power systems employed by the Armed Forces for expeditionary and installation operations to extend operational endurance, reduce operations and maintenance, and shorten supply lines. However, the committee is concerned with the lack of standardization from the Department of Defense regarding the technological parameters defining these systems at varying levels of power delivery to be employed by the Armed Forces, impeding the commercial development of solutions.

The committee directs the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy Resilience & Optimization, in coordination with the Secretary of the Army, to submit a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 30, 2027, on a Department-wide definition of hybrid power systems as it applies to Armed Forces applications and the steps taken to standardize that definition across the military services. The briefing shall include, at a minimum, the following:

- (1) The technological parameters associated with the definition of a hybrid power system for the 0-2kW, 2-5kW, 5-30kW, 30-100kW, 100-250kW, and 500kW to multi-megawatt power range;
- (2) the current inventory of hybrid power systems for each power delivery range;
- (3) the current and anticipated future theater applications of hybrid solutions developed for each power delivery range;
- (4) the estimated operational endurance gains with a fully hybridized inventory at each power delivery range, if any; and
- (5) whether commercially available technologies for each power delivery range could be utilized and an estimated timeline for procurement and deployment.

## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Moylan**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### Unexploded Ordnance and Munitions and Explosives of Concern Remediation on Guam

The committee recognizes the ongoing costs associated with unexploded ordnance (UXO) and munitions and explosives of concern (MEC) remediation activities on Guam. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2027, on Department of Defense expenditures related to UXO and MEC identification, remediation, disposal, and environmental restoration activities on Guam during fiscal years 2020 through 2026. The briefing should include the following information:

- (1) annual obligations and expenditures for UXO and MEC activities on Guam, by military department and Defense Agency;
- (2) projected future UXO and MEC remediation requirements and associated costs on Guam; and
- (3) any additional challenges or impediments affecting the pace or cost of UXO and MEC remediation on Guam, including issues related to land access, detection technology, or interagency coordination.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. SORENSEN OF ILLINOIS**

At the appropriate place in title X, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 10 \_\_\_\_ . PROHIBITION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR**  
2 **CLOSURE OF ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL MU-**  
3 **SEUM.**

4 None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by  
5 this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2027  
6 for the Army may be obligated or expended to close the  
7 Rock Island Arsenal Museum located in Rock Island Arse-  
8 nal, Illinois.



**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. COURTNEY OF CONNECTICUT**

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 3 \_\_\_\_ . IMPROVEMENTS TO OSPREY AIRCRAFT SAFETY**

2 **EFFORTS.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the  
4 date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense  
5 shall ensure that the Secretary of the Navy and the Sec-  
6 retary of the Air Force, in coordination with the Chief of  
7 Naval Operations, the Chief of Staff of the Air Force, and  
8 the Commandant of the Marine Corps—

9 (1) refine the joint program’s process for identi-  
10 fying, analyzing, and responding to all Osprey air-  
11 craft safety risks, including incorporating and  
12 prioritizing systems and nonsystem safety risks;

13 (2) refine existing oversight structures with  
14 clearly defined roles and responsibilities for resolving  
15 known system and nonsystem safety risks in a time-  
16 ly manner and conduct periodic reviews of efforts to  
17 resolve those risks;

18 (3) assess safety-related information sharing  
19 agreements and update the agreements as needed to

1 ensure that a process exists to proactively share rel-  
2 evant safety information from hazard and accident  
3 reporting with Osprey units and unit safety per-  
4 sonnel across the Armed Forces and ensure timely  
5 receipt of such information;

6 (4) establish a routine method, such as a recur-  
7 ring multiservice conference, to share information on  
8 Osprey aircraft knowledge and emergency proce-  
9 dures across the joint force; and

10 (5) maintain a method to share information,  
11 immediately or near-immediately, on essential Os-  
12 prey aircraft safety of flight information and  
13 changes to safety processes across the joint force.

14 (b) CERTIFICATION TO CONGRESS.—Not later than  
15 60 days after the requirements under subsection (a) have  
16 been implemented, the Secretary of the Navy and the Sec-  
17 retary of the Air Force shall each provide to the Commit-  
18 tees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of  
19 representatives a certification—

20 (1) verifying that the requirements have been  
21 implemented; and

22 (2) identifying any changes made to comply  
23 with such requirements by the Armed Forces under  
24 the jurisdiction of the certifying Secretary.



## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Hamadeh**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Infrastructure and Security Modernization at Joint-Use Arizona Military Airfields**

The committee recognizes the importance of joint-use military airfields in Arizona, including Marine Corps Air Station Yuma and Libby Army Airfield at Fort Huachuca, in supporting military aviation training, unmanned aircraft systems operations, border support activities, emergency response, general aviation, and other current and emerging mission requirements. The committee is concerned that aging airfield infrastructure, including air traffic control, aircraft rescue and firefighting, perimeter security, and electronic monitoring systems, may not be sufficient to support current operational tempo, shared-use airfield activity, and projected mission growth at joint-use installations closest to the southern border.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Navy, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than January 15, 2027, on airfield infrastructure and security modernization requirements at joint-use military airfields in Arizona. The briefing should include:

(1) an assessment of airfield infrastructure deficiencies at Marine Corps Air Station Yuma and Libby Army Airfield, including air traffic control facilities, aircraft rescue and firefighting facilities, perimeter fencing, vehicle denial measures, and electronic monitoring systems;

(2) an assessment of the impact of such deficiencies on military aviation operations, joint-use airfield operations, emergency response, unmanned aircraft systems operations, installation security, and projected mission growth;

(3) a description of planned, programmed, or proposed projects to modernize airfield security and infrastructure at such installations;

(4) an assessment of barriers to timely execution, including funding, approval processes, environmental review, contractor availability, material transportation, and construction phasing; and

(5) a prioritized plan to address airfield infrastructure and security modernization requirements, including estimated cost, schedule, funding source, and sequencing.

## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Hamadeh**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### Pavement Rehabilitation at Yuma Proving Ground

The committee notes that Yuma Proving Ground, Arizona, presents unique construction challenges due to its remote and geographically isolated location, limited contractor base, and elevated mobilization and material transportation costs, which may significantly increase the cost and schedule of traditional pavement rehabilitation and replacement projects.

The committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 15, 2027, on options to accelerate durable and cost-effective pavement rehabilitation at Yuma Proving Ground, including Pole Line Road. The briefing should include:

- (1) an assessment of pavement conditions, structural deficiencies, recurring failure modes, and operational impacts on Pole Line Road;
- (2) an evaluation of conventional and alternative pavement rehabilitation approaches, including full-depth reclamation, in-place pavement recycling, rubber-modified asphalt surface courses, mill-and-overlay, and remove-and-replace methods, consistent with applicable Unified Facilities Criteria;
- (3) a comparative life-cycle cost, schedule, durability, and maintenance assessment of such approaches, including costs associated with mobilization, material transportation, contractor availability, and execution at remote installations;
- (4) an assessment of any statutory, regulatory, environmental, funding, contracting, or approval process barriers to executing alternative methods compared with traditional methods;
- (5) an assessment of the minimum project scale, segment aggregation, or phased execution needed to attract competitive industry participation and achieve cost-effective mobilization; and
- (6) recommendations, including a preferred technical approach, proposed funding source, approval pathway, and timeline for execution to address recurring pavement failures on Pole Line Road and whether similar techniques may be applicable to other arid installations in the Southwestern United States with primary roads subject to heavy vehicle traffic and repeated base failure.

## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Hamadeh**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Modernization of Threat Replication Capabilities at the Southwest Tactical Training Range**

The committee recognizes the importance of the Southwest Tactical Training Range in supporting Marine Corps aviation training, test and evaluation, and Fleet Marine Force readiness, including the Weapons and Tactics Instructor course conducted by Marine Aviation Weapons and Tactics Squadron One and operational test activities conducted by Marine Operational Test and Evaluation Squadron One. The committee is concerned that existing threat emitter and replication systems at the range may not adequately replicate modern peer and near-peer integrated air defense systems, limiting the ability of Marine aviation units to train against realistic, frequency-agile, multi-domain threat environments.

The committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than January 15, 2027, on requirements and plans to modernize threat replication capabilities at the Southwest Tactical Training Range. The briefing shall include:

- (1) an assessment of current threat emitter, visual modification, and integrated air defense replication capabilities at the range, including system age, operability, reprogrammability, mobility, integration, and training realism;
- (2) an assessment of gaps between current range capabilities and the training, test, and evaluation requirements of Marine Aviation Weapons and Tactics Squadron One, Marine Operational Test and Evaluation Squadron One, and Fleet Marine Force units;
- (3) an evaluation of options to field modern, reprogrammable, mobile, and integrated threat replication systems, including radar signal emulator capabilities, visual replicas, digital integrated air defense system architecture, and live, virtual, and constructive integration;
- (4) an assessment of whether an initial training capability could be fielded to complement existing range systems while supporting a phased modernization approach;
- (5) a cost, schedule, and acquisition strategy for fielding initial and objective threat replication capabilities, including opportunities to leverage

systems already deployed or being procured for other major tactical training ranges; and

(6) recommendations for prioritizing range modernization investments needed to improve realistic training against peer and near-peer integrated air defense threats.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN**

At the appropriate place in title VIII, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 8 \_\_\_\_. EXTENSION OF BRIEFING AND CERTIFICATION**  
2 **REQUIREMENT.**

3 Section 886 of the National Defense Authorization  
4 Act for Fiscal Year 2025 (Public Law 118–159; 138 Stat.  
5 2022) is amended—

6 (1) in the section heading, by striking “**BRIEF-**  
7 **INGS, CERTIFICATION, AND LIMITATION ON**  
8 **AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS**” and inserting “**BRIEF-**  
9 **INGS AND CERTIFICATION**”;

10 (2) by striking subsections (a) and (d) and re-  
11 designating subsections (b) and (c) as subsections  
12 (a) and (b), respectively; and

13 (3) in each of subsections (a) and (b), as so re-  
14 designated, by striking “30 days after the date of  
15 the enactment of this Act” and inserting “30 days  
16 after the date on which the Secretary awards a con-  
17 tract for financial management services for fuel con-  
18 tracts”.



## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Gooden**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Navy Wartime Sustainment and Surge Capacity in the Indo-Pacific**

The committee recognizes the importance of contested logistics, sustainment, and industrial mobilization to the success of naval operations in the Indo-Pacific region. The committee remains concerned that the Department of the Navy's ability to maintain, repair, rearm, and sustain naval forces during a prolonged conflict may be constrained by limitations in maintenance capacity, logistics infrastructure, workforce availability, supply chain resilience, and defense industrial base surge capability. The committee further notes the importance of validating wartime sustainment assumptions through realistic exercises, demonstrations, and assessments involving both the Department and industry partners.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy, in coordination with the Chief of Naval Operations, the Commandant of the Marine Corps, the Commander of United States Indo-Pacific Command, and the Navy Wartime Acquisition Sustainment Support Plan Capacity Expansion – Lethality Link (WASSP-CELL), to provide a report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2027, on the Department's readiness to sustain naval combat operations during a prolonged Indo-Pacific contingency.

The report should include the assessment and recommendations of the WASSP-CELL regarding:

- (1) the capacity of Navy shipyards, regional maintenance centers, aviation depots, logistics facilities, private industry partners, and supporting supply chains to maintain, repair, rearm, and sustain naval and aviation forces during a major Indo-Pacific contingency;
- (2) the Department's ability to surge maintenance, repair, logistics, munitions replenishment, contracting, and workforce capacity to meet wartime requirements;

- (3) whether the Department has conducted realistic exercises, demonstrations, pilot efforts, or other activities to validate wartime sustainment assumptions, including the responsiveness of industry partners, supply chains, contracting authorities, and workforce availability under surge conditions;
- (4) identifying key bottlenecks, single points of failure, and other risks within the naval sustainment enterprise, including maintenance, logistics, transportation networks, workforce availability, and critical supply chains;
- (5) vulnerabilities associated with disruptions to critical maritime routes and chokepoints in the Indo-Pacific region;
- (6) the opinion of the WASSP-CELL regarding the Department's ability to sustain combat operations during a prolonged Indo-Pacific conflict, including any significant capability gaps, risks, or recommendations to improve wartime readiness and sustainment capacity.

## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: MRS. KIGGANS OF VIRGINA**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Governance and Integration of AI-Enabled Shipyard Modernization Tools**

The committee recognizes the Department of the Navy's increasing use of artificial intelligence, digital engineering, data analytics, and digital workflow tools to improve shipyard planning, maintenance execution, and infrastructure modernization activities associated with public and private shipyard operations.

The committee further recognizes that effective implementation of digital modernization capabilities requires disciplined engineering integration, cybersecurity compliance, interoperability, and government control of technical baselines and operational data in order to translate digital recommendations into measurable fleet readiness outcomes.

The committee is concerned that rapid adoption of enterprise digital platforms absent clear governance and integration discipline may increase cybersecurity risks, reduce transparency into decision processes, complicate sustainment activities, and create vendor lock-in that limits future competition and government oversight.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2027, on the Department's governance and integration framework supporting AI-enabled shipyard modernization initiatives.

The briefing shall include:

- (1) the Department's approach to maintaining government control of data rights, interfaces, and technical baselines associated with shipyard modernization efforts;
- (2) efforts to ensure interoperability and modular open systems approaches across shipyard digital modernization initiatives;
- (3) cybersecurity and Risk Management Framework considerations associated with AI-enabled shipyard tools integrated into shipyard, shipboard, and fleet sustainment networks;
- (4) measures being taken to mitigate vendor lock-in and preserve future competition for digital shipyard capabilities;
- (5) methods used to ensure traceability between AI-assisted recommendations and certified engineering actions; and
- (6) metrics used to assess the contribution of digital modernization initiatives to fleet readiness, maintenance performance, and ship availability outcomes.

## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Hamadeh**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Resources Available to Servicemembers for Rescue and Transportation of Animals Encountered During Deployment**

The committee recognizes that some members of the Armed Forces serving outside the United States develop bonds with cats and dogs encountered during deployment and may seek assistance with the rescue, care, documentation, importation, and transportation of such animals to the United States. The committee further recognizes that nongovernmental and private-sector organizations currently perform much of this work, but is concerned that servicemembers may not have clear, consistent information regarding available resources, applicable public health requirements, and potential sources of assistance.

The committee therefore directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than January 15, 2027, on resources available to members of the Armed Forces serving outside the United States who seek assistance with the lawful rescue and transportation of cats and dogs to the United States. The briefing shall include:

(1) an assessment of existing Department, military service, installation, veterinary, transportation, legal assistance, public health and family support resources relevant to servicemembers seeking information on animal rescue, care, documentation, importation, or transportation;

(2) an assessment of nongovernmental and private sector organizations that commonly provide servicemembers assistance with veterinary care, medical documentation, quarantine or boarding, importation requirements, transportation, fostering, adoption, or reunion with animals befriended during deployment;

(3) an assessment of how information regarding available resources, public health requirements, and lawful importation processes is communicated to servicemembers before, during, and after deployment; and

(4) an identification of gaps, inconsistencies, or barriers that may prevent servicemembers from identifying or accessing lawful assistance, including documentation, public health, transportation, host-nation, cost, command approval, and timing challenges.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. JACKSON OF TEXAS**

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 3 \_\_\_\_ . MODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF PILOT PRO-**  
2 **GRAM ON OPTIMIZATION OF AERIAL REFUEL-**  
3 **ING AND FUEL MANAGEMENT IN CONTESTED**  
4 **LOGISTICS ENVIRONMENTS THROUGH USE**  
5 **OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE.**

6 Section 346 of the National Defense Authorization  
7 Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (Public Law 118–31) is amend-  
8 ed—

9 (1) by striking subsection (e) and inserting the  
10 following:

11 “(c) DEADLINE FOR IMPLEMENTATION.—The Under  
12 Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment, in  
13 coordination with the Chief of Staff of the Air Force, shall  
14 implement the pilot program under this section, by not  
15 later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of  
16 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year  
17 2027.”;

18 (2) by redesignating subsection (e) as sub-  
19 section (g);

1 (3) in subsection (g) as so redesignated, by  
2 striking “January 1, 2027” and inserting “January  
3 1, 2030”; and

4 (4) by inserting after subsection (d) the fol-  
5 lowing new subsections (e) and (f):

6 “(e) RESPONSIBILITY.—Beginning on the date that  
7 is 180 days after the date of the enactment of the National  
8 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2027, the  
9 Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and  
10 Sustainment, in coordination with the Chief of Staff of  
11 the Air Force, shall be responsible for carrying out the  
12 pilot program under this section.

13 “(f) BRIEFING.—Not later than January 1, 2028, the  
14 Under Secretary shall provide to the congressional defense  
15 committees a briefing on the status of the implementation  
16 of the pilot program under this section. Such briefing shall  
17 address—

18 “(1) outcomes and performance metrics of the  
19 pilot program;

20 “(2) any barriers identified for integration of  
21 the pilot program into operational planning; and

22 “(3) recommendations regarding continuation,  
23 modification, or expansion of the pilot program.”.



## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Austin Scott of Georgia**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### National Guard Museum of the United States

The committee notes the lack of a national museum for the National Guard. The committee recognizes the National Guard's unique role as both a State and Federal force and its contributions to every major conflict, domestic emergency, and homeland defense mission throughout the Nation's history.

Therefore, the committee directs the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2027, on the feasibility of establishing a National Guard Museum of the United States.

The briefing shall include—

- (1) potential concepts, missions, and governance structures for such a museum;
- (2) options for the design, construction, operation, and sustainment of the museum through entirely private funding sources;
- (3) the feasibility of establishing one or more nonprofit foundations or supporting organizations to solicit, receive, and manage private donations for the museum;
- (4) mechanisms by which a privately financed and constructed museum could be transferred to the possession of the National Guard upon completion;
- (5) ideal characteristics of potential locations and facility requirements;
- (6) any recommendations for legislative action necessary to facilitate the establishment, operation, or long-term sustainment of such a museum; and
- (7) such other matters as the Chief of the National Guard Bureau considers appropriate.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. JACKSON OF TEXAS**

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 3 \_\_\_\_ . MODIFICATION OF MINIMUM CAPITAL INVEST-**  
2 **MENT FOR CERTAIN DEPOTS OF DEPART-**  
3 **MENT OF DEFENSE.**

4 Section 2476(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code,  
5 is amended by striking “the preceding three fiscal years”  
6 and inserting “the preceding fiscal year, the current fiscal  
7 year, and the estimated amount for the following fiscal  
8 year”.



## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Fallon**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### Large Power Transformer Security and Resilience

The committee is concerned that large power transformers supplying electricity to military installations may be vulnerable to physical and digital threats, including electromagnetic pulse (EMP) effects, cyber threats to supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) systems and control interfaces including Distributed Network Protocol 3 (DNP3), and radio frequency (RF) and side-channel vulnerabilities. The committee notes that the feasibility and cost of protecting large power transformers from such threats has not been systematically assessed across the military departments, and that vulnerabilities in foreign power grids supporting United States installations outside the continental United States present additional risk.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 31, 2027, on the feasibility and advisability of requiring protections for large power transformers that supply electricity to military installations. The briefing shall include the following:

- (1) an assessment of the effectiveness, cost, and scalability of available protections against EMP effects, cyber threats to SCADA systems and DNP3 interfaces, and RF and side-channel vulnerabilities, including consideration of risks to United States Government installations connected to potentially compromised or vulnerable foreign power grids;
- (2) recommendations for nationwide and international implementation of large power transformer protections, including potential regulatory standards for utilities and critical infrastructure operators, strategies for diplomatic engagement and technical assistance to mitigate risks in foreign grids supporting United States interests, and updates to military standards and guidance for outside the continental United States assets regarding connectivity to foreign grids and power generation infrastructure; and
- (3) any recommended amendments to existing laws or regulations to require such protections across the power grid, including provisions for addressing vulnerabilities in international contexts.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN**

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 3 \_\_\_\_ . INCREASE OF CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROGRAM**

2 **THRESHOLD FOR WORKING-CAPITAL FUNDS.**

3 Section 2208(k)(2) of title 10, United States Code,  
4 is amended by striking “installation or a science and tech-  
5 nology reinvention laboratory and not less than \$250,000  
6 for procurements at all other facilities” and inserting “in-  
7 stallation, science and technology reinvention laboratory,  
8 or any other facility”.



## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Ms. Jacobs**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Oversight of Military Working Dogs**

The committee is aware that Government Accountability Office reports, and the Department of Defense Inspector General report titled “Evaluation of the DoD Military Working Dog Program’s Management of Canine Welfare” dated February 17, 2026, identified significant unsatisfactory conditions and treatment of military working dogs (MWD). This report found that the military working dogs were lacking the required 5 hours per day of physical activity, social, and cognitive enrichment. Additionally, it describes finding unsatisfactory and unsafe kennel conditions that have resulted in the death of multiple working dogs.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services on how the Department of Defense is implementing the recommendations outlined in the IG report and how the Department is ensuring MWDs are properly cared for not later than April 1, 2027. The briefing shall include the following:

- (1) The number of caretakers assigned to MWDs in non-training status in each DoD MWD operational unit.
- (2) Approximate number of hours the MWDs receive of enrichment activities per day and per week in each DoD MWD operational unit.
- (3) Number of diseases, injuries, and behavioral issues present in each DoD MWD operational unit.
- (4) How the Secretary of the Air Force, as Executive Agent for the DoD MWD Dog Program, and the DoD MWD Program Manager, in coordination with the Service Components, plan to develop and implement the enterprise-wide plan to upgrade DoD MWD kennel facilities to meet current DoD standards.
- (5) Any recommendations for legislative action necessary to facilitate the well-being of military working dogs.

## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Bergman**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### Readiness Data and System Integration

The committee recognizes that readiness-related information is generated across training, operational, personnel, maintenance, and logistics systems. The committee is concerned that fragmentation among these systems may limit the Department's ability to assess readiness and support force generation.

Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness to provide a brief to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2027, on options to integrate readiness-relevant data and systems, including: (1) an assessment of existing systems and processes used to collect, manage, and analyze readiness-related data, and whether these adequately incorporate data from training, operational, personnel, maintenance, and logistics systems;

(2) identification of barriers to integrating training, operational, personnel, maintenance, and logistics data and systems;

(3) recommendations to improve integration of readiness-related data and systems, including through the use of new technologies or approaches; and

(4) any governance, technical, or resource challenges associated with integrating readiness-related data and systems.

## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Van Orden of Wisconsin**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Availability of Milk at Dining Facilities on Military Installations**

The committee continues to support the proper nutrition and dietary requirements of our servicemembers and believes that adequate provisioning of our servicemembers is essential to combat readiness.

The committee notes that Department of Defense manual 1338.10 requires milk to be served at dining facilities. The committee further notes that unless servicemember are performing combat or contingency operations in an overseas location, such milk is required by section 4862 of Title 10, United States Code to be produced in the United States.

Therefore, the committee requests the Secretary of Defense provide a brief to the House Committee on Armed Services by March 1, 2027 as to policy and provision of milk to United States servicemembers. Such brief shall include:

- (1) an assessment of the nutritional value of milk products to service members;
- (2) doctrinal guidance as to the provisioning of milk to servicemembers in military dining facilities; and
- (3) a listing of any regulatory deviations to the procurement of milk policy, pursuant to section 4862 of title 10, United States Code.

## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Bacon of Nebraska**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **SAOC Transitional Facilities**

The committee is aware of the Department's plan to acquire the Survivable Airborne Operations Center (SAOC) to replace the legacy National Airborne Operations Center (NAOC). However, given that this will include a transition period between the NAOC and SAOC becoming mission-qualified, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than June 1, 2027, including the following:

- (1) any interim, temporary, or transitional basing requirements necessary to ensure uninterrupted operational capability of airborne strategic command and control aircraft during the reconstruction or modernization of facilities at Offutt Air Force Base (AFB);
- (2) an assessment of locations outside of Offutt AFB that supports the construction of these facilities;
- (3) an assessment of public-private partnerships that can accelerate delivery timelines and ensure uninterrupted mission capability; and
- (4) the cost and security requirements of the temporary options.

## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Wilson**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### Photovoltaic Modules from Foreign Entities of Concern

The committee is concerned that the Department has issued Buy America waivers to purchase photovoltaic modules from foreign sources when domestic supplies exist. The committee continues to support the purchase of energy infrastructure, including photovoltaic modules with domestically made cells and inverters, from domestic sources that are not foreign entities of concern (FEOC). The Build America, Buy America Act requires these systems to be procured domestically, and section 847 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2026 (Public Law 119-60) further prohibits the Department from purchasing photovoltaic modules and inverters from any foreign entity of concern. The committee further recognizes the national security benefits of using non-FEOC and domestic energy infrastructure when it acquires electricity through power purchase agreements and energy savings contracts.

Accordingly, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by December 31, 2026, that includes the following elements:

- (1) the Buy America and FEOC waivers that have been granted in the last two calendar years for photovoltaic modules and inverters;
- (2) the steps the Department has taken to assess the availability of domestic sources of modules with domestically made cells and inverters;
- (3) the Department's progress in implementing section 847 of Public Law 119-60; and
- (4) the steps the Department is taking to ensure third-party sourced energy is not reliant upon FEOC energy infrastructure, including photovoltaic modules and inverters.

## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Crank**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### Technically Acceptable Source Selection Criteria for Bulk Fuel Contracts

The committee is concerned that the Department of Defense's use of lowest price technically acceptable source selection criteria for bulk fuel contracts may not adequately ensure that offerors possess the necessary qualifications to safely and effectively manage fuel storage, fuel management, fuel distribution, fuel maintenance, and related operational support services. Without minimum technical standards, this approach may expose the Department to risk of contractor performance failures that could compromise fuel operations and mission readiness.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2027, on the minimum technical criteria applied to offerors in procurements using lowest price technically acceptable source selection procedures for bulk fuel contracts. The briefing should include the following:

- (1) whether offerors are required to maintain a Chief Fuels Officer, or equivalent senior corporate official, with authority over all fuel operations of the contractor;
- (2) the standards used to evaluate offeror experience managing and operating bulk fuel infrastructure;
- (3) the standards used to evaluate offeror expertise in fuel distribution operations for both aircraft and ground vehicles;
- (4) the standards used to evaluate offeror experience conducting hot pit refueling operations;
- (5) whether offerors are required to maintain fuel quality surveillance and laboratory testing capabilities;
- (6) the standards used to evaluate offeror technical capacity to perform fuel system maintenance and corrosion prevention and protection activities;
- (7) the standards used to evaluate offeror expertise in environmental compliance and spill prevention and response operations; and
- (8) whether offerors are required to maintain a corporate training program aligned with applicable Department of Defense manuals, National Fire Protection Association standards, and Occupational Safety and Health Administration regulations.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. RYAN OF NEW YORK**

At the appropriate place in title I, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 1 \_\_\_\_ . STUDY ON FUEL PROCUREMENT PRACTICES OF**  
2 **THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

3 (a) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense, in  
4 coordination with the Commander of the United States  
5 Transportation Command and the Director of the Defense  
6 Logistics Agency, shall conduct a study on the fuel pro-  
7 curement practices of the Department of Defense.

8 (b) **ELEMENTS.**—The study under subsection (a)  
9 shall address the following:

10 (1) The projected amount budgeted by the De-  
11 partment of Defense for fuel procurement and con-  
12 sumption for fiscal year 2026, set forth separately  
13 by military department and Defense Agency.

14 (2) The actual amount spent by the Depart-  
15 ment of Defense for fuel procurement and consump-  
16 tion for fiscal year 2026, set forth separately by  
17 military department and Defense Agency.

1 (3) Any changes to fuel cost and fuel cost pro-  
2 jections for fiscal year 2026 that have occurred since  
3 February 28, 2026, including an examination of—

4 (A) the magnitude of such changes (ex-  
5 pressed in total dollars and as a percentage  
6 change from prior projections);

7 (B) the factors driving such changes, in-  
8 cluding changes in market crude oil prices,  
9 changes in the standard price of fuel estab-  
10 lished by the Defense Logistics Agency, changes  
11 in operational demand, and any other relevant  
12 factors;

13 (C) the effects of such changes on the  
14 budget of the Department of Defense as a  
15 whole and the budget of each military depart-  
16 ment; and

17 (D) the effects of such changes on the abil-  
18 ity of the military departments to meet readi-  
19 ness standards, including—

20 (i) any exercises (including joint exer-  
21 cises with allies and partners), training, or  
22 other operational activities that were modi-  
23 fied, delayed, or canceled as a result of  
24 such changes, set forth separately by  
25 Armed Force;

1 (ii) any reductions in readiness ac-  
2 counts resulting from such changes, set  
3 forth separately by account, amount, and  
4 Armed Force, including—  
5 (I) operation and maintenance  
6 accounts; and  
7 (II) accounts for depot mainte-  
8 nance and spare parts; and  
9 (iii) any effects of such changes on  
10 prepositioned fuel stocks or bulk fuel in-  
11 ventories.

12 (4) Any transfers, reprogramming actions, or  
13 other budgetary adjustments made or anticipated to  
14 be made during the period of fiscal years 2026  
15 through 2031 as a result of fuel cost variances.

16 (c) REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date  
17 of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense  
18 shall submit to the congressional defense committees a re-  
19 port on the results of the study conducted under sub-  
20 section (a), including the findings of the study with re-  
21 spect to each element specified in subsection (b).



**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. KHANNA OF CALIFORNIA**

In section 845 [Log 85741], in the quoted matter adding a new section 4323 to title 10, United States Code, add at the end of subsection (d) the following:

1       “(3) For each report, the Secretary concerned shall  
2 make a summary of the report publicly available on an  
3 appropriate website of the Department of Defense not  
4 later than 60 days after the date on which it is submitted  
5 to the congressional defense committees unless the Sec-  
6 retary concerned, in coordination with the Under Sec-  
7 retary of Defense for Acquisitions and Sustainment, deter-  
8 mines that it is not feasible to make a summary publicly  
9 available due to classification or other security concerns.  
10 Upon making such a determination, the Secretary con-  
11 cerned shall submit to the congressional defense commit-  
12 tees a written notification of the determination, including  
13 a detailed explanation of the security concerns and the  
14 reasons why those concerns cannot feasibly be addressed  
15 by redaction or other means.”.



**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. AUSTIN SCOTT OF GEORGIA**

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 3 \_\_ . ESTABLISHMENT OF UNITED STATES MARINE**  
2 **CORPS MUSEUM SYSTEM.**

3 Chapter 861 of title 10, United States Code, is  
4 amended by adding at the end the following new section:

5 **“§ 8617B. United States Marine Corps Museum Sys-**  
6 **tem**

7 “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Navy shall  
8 support a system of official Marine Corps museums within  
9 the Department of the Navy. Such system shall include  
10 the National Museum of the United States Marine Corps  
11 and may contain other museums honoring individual in-  
12 stallations, units, and branches, as designated by the Sec-  
13 retary of the Navy, that meet criteria established under  
14 subsection (b).

15 “(b) CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION.—The Secretary of  
16 the Navy shall establish criteria for designating museums  
17 of subsection (a) for inclusion in the Marine Corps mu-  
18 seum system. Such criteria shall include—

1           “(1) historical significance to Marine Corps op-  
2           erations, technology, or personnel;

3           “(2) public accessibility and educational out-  
4           reach programs; and

5           “(3) alignment with the mission of the Marine  
6           Corps to preserve the heritage of the Marine Corps.

7           “(c) CRITERIA FOR CLOSURE.—The Secretary of the  
8           Navy shall establish criteria for the closure of museums  
9           within the Marine Corps museum system. No museum  
10          within such system may be closed until—

11           “(1) the Secretary of the Navy submits to the  
12          Committees on Armed Services of the House of Rep-  
13          resentatives and the Senate notice that includes—

14                   “(A) a plan for the preservation, storage,  
15                   or alternate display of historical collections con-  
16                   tained in the museum;

17                   “(B) how any issues relating to museum  
18                   personnel will be resolved;

19                   “(C) an identification of any efforts to  
20                   maintain museum operations through public-  
21                   private partnerships; and

22                   “(D) an analysis of the cost to transport,  
23                   consolidate, and preserve the historical collec-  
24                   tions contained in the museum; and

1           “(2) period of 90 days has elapsed after the  
2           date on which such notice is received by such com-  
3           mittees.

4           “(d) FUNDING AND SUPPORT.—Consistent with ap-  
5           plicable law, the Secretary may enter into partnerships,  
6           including with nonprofit organizations, to enhance the fi-  
7           nancial sustainability and public engagement of the muse-  
8           ums in the Marine Corps museum system.”.



## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Bergman**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Support for Innovative Water and Power Solutions**

The committee supports the Department of Defense's efforts to ensure operational and installation resilience. The committee is aware that water and power solutions are critical to readiness and that not all installations have readily available, reliable, or resilient sources. The committee is also aware that achieving space dominance and securing a readiness posture in the Indo-Pacific theater requires water and power solutions that are protected from natural disasters, geopolitical disruption, and intentional acts. The committee believes that innovative technologies, such as sub-sea desalination, can provide this security for operational and installation water and power needs.

The committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2027, on innovative water and power solutions for operational and installation resilience. The briefing should include the following information:

- (1) an identification of installations in the Indo-Pacific theater that lack readily available, reliable, or resilient sources of water or power, including an assessment of their vulnerability to natural disasters, geopolitical disruption, and intentional acts;
- (2) an assessment of innovative water and power technologies, including sub-sea desalination, that could improve installation and operational resilience, and the feasibility of deploying such technologies at prioritized installations;
- (3) a description of any existing programs or funding mechanisms within the Department of Defense that could be used to advance the deployment of such technologies.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN**

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 28** \_\_\_\_ . **EXECUTION OF PROJECTS UNDER THE NORTH**  
2 **ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY**  
3 **INVESTMENT PROGRAM.**

4 Section 2350q of title 10, United States Code, is  
5 amended—

6 (1) in subsection (d), by striking “The con-  
7 struction agent” and all that follows through “to  
8 execute” and inserting “The head of the contracting  
9 activity for the Department of Defense executing”;  
10 and

11 (2) in subsection (e), by striking “construction  
12 agent designated by the Department of Defense”  
13 and inserting “head of the contracting activity”.



**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MS. ELFRETH OF MARYLAND**

At the appropriate place in title X, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 10 \_\_\_\_ . PILOT PROGRAM ON USE OF ADDITIVE AND AD-**  
2 **VANCED MANUFACTURING TO SUPPORT**  
3 **NAVAL SHIPBUILDING.**

4 (a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—The Secretary of the Navy  
5 shall conduct a pilot program through which the Secretary  
6 shall expand the use of additive manufacturing and ad-  
7 vanced manufacturing technologies to address supply  
8 chain constraints, production delays, and part shortages  
9 affecting naval shipbuilding and ship repair.

10 (b) **DESIGN OF PILOT.**—The Secretary shall design  
11 the pilot program to—

12 (1) identify shipbuilding components, replace-  
13 ment parts, tooling, fixtures, and other items that  
14 are constrained by limited suppliers, long lead times,  
15 obsolete production methods, or foreign dependence;

16 (2) evaluate the use of additive manufacturing  
17 and advanced manufacturing to produce such items  
18 in a manner that meets applicable Navy technical,

1 safety, cybersecurity, quality assurance, and certifi-  
2 cation requirements;

3 (3) reduce schedule delays in ship construction,  
4 maintenance, and repair caused by shortages of  
5 parts or production capacity;

6 (4) strengthen domestic manufacturing capacity  
7 for naval shipbuilding; and

8 (5) support the development of qualification  
9 standards and repeatable certification pathways for  
10 additive manufactured shipbuilding components.

11 (c) IMPLEMENTATION.—In carrying out the pilot pro-  
12 gram, the Secretary shall—

13 (1) select not fewer than three classes of naval  
14 vessels for participation in the pilot program;

15 (2) prioritize components and parts that have  
16 demonstrated supply chain constraints, production  
17 bottlenecks, or recurring availability issues;

18 (3) partner with public shipyards, private ship-  
19 builders, suppliers, and other entities within the do-  
20 mestic shipbuilding industrial base;

21 (4) support University Affiliated Research Cen-  
22 ters, federally funded research and development cen-  
23 ters, and other qualified technical organizations with  
24 expertise in additive manufacturing, advanced manu-

1 facturing, materials science, and industrial base  
2 modernization;

3 (5) establish procedures for testing, qualifica-  
4 tion, and certification of additive manufactured  
5 parts for naval use; and

6 (6) ensure that any technical data, digital mod-  
7 els, or manufacturing processes developed under the  
8 pilot program are managed to support long-term  
9 Navy sustainment and avoid vendor lock-in.

10 (d) UARC SUPPORT.—The Secretary may enter into  
11 agreements with University Affiliated Research Centers to  
12 provide technical support for the pilot program, including  
13 support for—

14 (1) identifying candidate parts and components  
15 suitable for additive or advanced manufacturing;

16 (2) developing manufacturing processes and  
17 qualification standards;

18 (3) conducting materials testing and perform-  
19 ance validation;

20 (4) assessing cybersecurity risks associated with  
21 digital manufacturing files and production systems;

22 (5) supporting workforce development and  
23 training for additive manufacturing in the naval  
24 shipbuilding industrial base; and

1 (6) advising the Navy on how to scale success-  
2 ful technologies across shipbuilding and ship repair  
3 programs.

4 (e) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date  
5 of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit  
6 to the congressional defense committees a report on the  
7 implementation of the pilot program, including—

8 (1) the ship classes, shipyards, and industrial  
9 base partners participating in the pilot program;

10 (2) the categories of parts or components se-  
11 lected for additive manufacturing or advanced manu-  
12 facturing;

13 (3) the extent to which the pilot program has  
14 reduced lead times, production delays, costs, or sup-  
15 plier constraints;

16 (4) any barriers to qualification, certification,  
17 cybersecurity, intellectual property, or technical data  
18 rights;

19 (5) the role of University Affiliated Research  
20 Centers and other technical organizations in sup-  
21 porting the pilot program; and

22 (6) recommendations for expanding the use of  
23 additive and advanced manufacturing across naval  
24 shipbuilding and ship repair.

1 (f) DURATION.—The authority under this section  
2 shall terminate on December 31, 2032.



**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. AUSTIN SCOTT OF GEORGIA**

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 28 \_\_\_\_ . NAME OF DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY MILI-**  
2 **TARY INSTALLATION, AUGUSTA, GEORGIA.**

3       The military installation under the jurisdiction of the  
4 Department of the Army located in Augusta, Georgia,  
5 shall after the date of the enactment of this Act be known  
6 and designated as “Fort Shughart Gordon”. Any ref-  
7 erence to such military installation in any law, regulation,  
8 map, document, record, or other paper of the United  
9 States shall be considered a reference to Fort Shughart  
10 Gordon.



## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Deluzio**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Domestic Production of Synthetic Aviation Fuel**

The committee understands the supply chain risks associated with conventional jet fuel and encourages the Department of Defense to seek remedies to mitigate that threat. Synthetic Aviation Fuel (SAF) has the potential to assist and enhance Department of Defense operational readiness while minimizing modifications to aircraft. The committee commends efforts like Air Force Research Laboratory's Project FIERCE, which is developing novel fuel synthesis technologies that enable SAF production at airports or airbases that house or service military aircraft.

To better understand how continued SAF development, production, and use, can benefit the armed services, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force, in coordination with the Secretary of the Navy, and the Director of the Defense Logistics Agency, to provide a report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services, not later than May 1, 2027, that includes:

- (1) an assessment of the benefits of utilizing SAF production in locations where both the Air Force Reserve and Air National Guard are housed on civilian airport properties.
- (2) a roadmap for partnering with local airport authorities to enable the production, storage, and use of SAF to include in an offtake arrangement.
- (3) a comprehensive list of platforms either certified or considered for certification to use SAF.
- (4) any concerns with the use of SAF as an alternative fuel source for military aircraft and any recommendations to alleviate those concerns.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. SORENSEN OF ILLINOIS**

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 28 \_\_\_\_ . DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PILOT PROGRAM**  
2 **FOR DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF ONLINE**  
3 **REAL ESTATE INVENTORY TOOL.**

4 (a) PILOT PROGRAM REQUIRED.—

5 (1) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of De-  
6 fense shall establish a pilot program for the develop-  
7 ment of an online real estate tool to identify the ex-  
8 isting inventory of space available at the military in-  
9 stallations selected by the Secretary under para-  
10 graph (2) for the purposes specified in subsection

11 (b).

12 (2) SELECTION OF PILOT LOCATIONS.—The  
13 Secretary shall evaluate the online inventory tool at  
14 not less than five, but not more than ten, Air Force,  
15 Navy, Marine Corps and Space Force military in-  
16 stallations selected by the Secretary as appropriate  
17 locations for evaluation of the online inventory tool.

18 (3) COORDINATION WITH ARMY.—In addition to  
19 the military installations selected under paragraph

1 (2), the Secretary shall include in the pilot pro-  
2 gram—

3 (A) all military installations under the ju-  
4 risdiction of the Department of the Army that  
5 participated in the pilot program established  
6 under section 2866 of the Military Construction  
7 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (divi-  
8 sion B of Public Law 116–283; 10 U.S.C. 7771  
9 note prec.); and

10 (B) entries included in the online real es-  
11 tate tool of the Department of Army as of the  
12 date on which such pilot program terminated.

13 (4) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall es-  
14 tablish the pilot program and develop the online in-  
15 ventory tool in consultation with the Administrator  
16 of General Services and each of the service Secre-  
17 taries.

18 (b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the online inventory  
19 tool are—

20 (1) to achieve efficiencies in real estate property  
21 management consistent with the National Defense  
22 Strategy goal of finding greater efficiencies within  
23 Department of Defense operations and leveraging  
24 commercial off-the-shelf technologies to better enable  
25 members of the Armed Forces;

1 (2) to provide a means to better market to the  
2 public information regarding space available at all  
3 Department of Defense military installations for bet-  
4 ter utilization of such space; and

5 (3) to provide a means to better quantify exist-  
6 ing space available at all Department of Defense  
7 military installations and how it is utilized for cur-  
8 rent missions and requirements.

9 (c) CONSIDERATIONS.—To establish the pilot pro-  
10 gram, the Secretary of Defense shall—

11 (1) consider innovative approaches, including  
12 the use of other transaction authorities consistent  
13 with section 2371 of title 10, United States Code,  
14 and the use of commercial off- the-shelf technologies;

15 (2) develop appropriate protections of sensitive  
16 or classified information from being included with  
17 the online inventory tool; and

18 (3) develop appropriate levels of access for pri-  
19 vate sector users of the online inventory tool;

20 (4) in developing these considerations shall in-  
21 clude lessons learned from the Secretary of the  
22 Army on the development of the pilot program for  
23 the online real estate tool under 2866 of the Military  
24 Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year  
25 2021.

1 (d) ESTABLISHMENT OF USE POLICY.—In connec-  
2 tion with the development of the online inventory tool, the  
3 Secretary of the Defense shall develop policy requiring the  
4 use of the online inventory tool at all Department of De-  
5 fense military installations selected under subsection  
6 (a)(2) to query for existing inventory at such military in-  
7 stallations before any military construction or off-post  
8 leases are agreed to for such military installations. The  
9 Secretary shall prescribe guidelines that will be imple-  
10 mented by each respective service secretary. Further, the  
11 Secretary shall ensure that all relevant notifications to  
12 congressional defense committees include certification that  
13 the online inventory tool was used.

14 (e) ONLINE INVENTORY TOOL DEFINED.—In this  
15 section, the term “online inventory tool” means the online  
16 real estate tool developed under the pilot program to iden-  
17 tify existing inventory of space available at Department  
18 of Defense military installations selected to participate in  
19 the pilot program.

20 (f) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this sec-  
21 tion shall be construed to effect the application of title  
22 V of the McKinney- Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42  
23 U.S.C. 11411 et seq.).

24 (g) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—Not later than  
25 February 15, 2027, the Secretary of the Defense shall

1 submit to Committees on Armed Services of the Senate  
2 and the House of Representatives a report evaluating the  
3 success of the pilot program in achieving the purposes  
4 specified in subsection (b). At a minimum, the report also  
5 shall identify and contain the following:

6 (1) The Department of Defense military instal-  
7 lations selected under subsection (a)(2) to partici-  
8 pate in the pilot program broken out by respective  
9 service.

10 (2) The number of real estate agreements en-  
11 tered into by each of the respective service secre-  
12 taries that were facilitated by use of the online in-  
13 ventory tool, including for each agreement the instal-  
14 lation, amount of space, value, and purpose of the  
15 agreement.

16 (3) An evaluation of the extent to which use of  
17 the online inventory tool reduced the need for mili-  
18 tary construction or off-post leases.

19 (4) An evaluation of any impediments to effi-  
20 cient use of the online inventory tool.

21 (5) The recommendations of the Secretary of  
22 Defense regarding whether the pilot program should  
23 be extended, expanded, or made permanent.

1 (h) DURATION.—The authority of the Secretary of  
2 the Defense to carry out the pilot program shall expire  
3 on September 30, 2031.



## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

### **Offered by: Mr. Garamendi of California**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **F-35 Global Sustainment Spares Reset**

The committee notes the Department of Defense's efforts to address the F-35 spare parts backlog through the F-35 Global Sustainment Spares Reset. The committee further notes that this effort will require sustained investment by the Department, the military services, and international partners to improve spare parts availability, reduce readiness degraders, and increase mission capable and fully mission capable rates across the F-35 fleet.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment and the Program Executive Officer for the F-35 Lightning II Joint Program Office, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2027, on the F-35 Global Sustainment Spares Reset. The briefing should include the following:

- (1) the Department's plan to fund F-35 spare parts through fiscal year 2031, including what portion of planned funding constitutes "catch-up" investment to address the existing spare parts backlog and what portion constitutes "keep-up" investment to meet recurring spare parts demand;
- (2) an assessment of how fiscal years 2026 and 2027 appropriations and amounts made available under Public Law 119-21 have addressed the F-35 spare parts funding backlog;
- (3) a projected annual parts-level breakdown through fiscal year 2031 of "catch-up" and "keep-up" spare parts requirements;
- (4) the amount of funding international partners are expected to contribute toward the spare parts backlog on an annual basis, and the extent to which international partners will have access to spare parts procured with United States funds;
- (5) mission capable and fully mission capable rate goals through fiscal year 2031, progress toward those goals, and a breakdown of those goals and progress by Force Activity Designator levels I through IV;

- (6) any deviations from mission capable or fully mission capable rate goals attributable to ongoing operations, including Operation Epic Fury, by Force Activity Designator levels I through IV, and the projected timeline for recovery;
- (7) any changes to business rules governing spare parts allocation, distribution, or prioritization, and corresponding investment decisions by the military services or international partners;
- (8) the status of any prospective F-35 sustainment contract, including an estimated timeline to realize associated cost savings;
- (9) how the Department is incorporating, revising, or reassessing deployment spare packages as part of the Global Sustainment Spares Reset;
- (10) any plans to leverage venture capital investment in support of F-35 sustainment goals, including an assessment of the feasibility, merits, and risks of such investment;
- (11) key degraders of F-35 readiness in Operation Epic Fury, including a comparison of actual component service life against expected component service life;
- (12) the total number of F-35 aircraft committed to or utilized in support of Operation Epic Fury, the mission capable rates of such aircraft, and the impact of such operations on the mission capable rates of aircraft assigned to other Force Activity Designator levels;
- (13) the status of depot lay-in and its relationship to mission capable rates; and
- (14) contractor investment commitments necessary to achieve the sustainment goals described in the briefing.



1 the conveyed property, including any improve-  
2 ments thereon, shall, at the option of the Sec-  
3 retary, revert to and become the property of the  
4 United States, and the United States shall have  
5 the right of immediate entry onto the property.

6 (B) DETERMINATION.—A determination  
7 by the Secretary of the Army under subpara-  
8 graph (A) shall be made on the record after an  
9 opportunity for a hearing.

10 (2) ALTERNATIVE CONSIDERATION OPTION.—In  
11 lieu of exercising the reversionary interest retained  
12 under paragraph (1), the Secretary of the Army may  
13 accept an offer by the University to pay to the Sec-  
14 retary an amount equal to the fair market value of  
15 the property conveyed under subsection (a), exclud-  
16 ing the value of any improvements on the conveyed  
17 property constructed without Federal funds after the  
18 date the conveyance is completed, as determined by  
19 the Secretary.

20 (c) PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—The Sec-  
21 retary of the Army shall require the University to pay  
22 costs (except costs for environmental remediation of the  
23 property) to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse  
24 the Secretary for such costs incurred by the Secretary, to  
25 carry out the conveyance authorized under subsection (a),

1 including survey costs, appraisal costs, costs for environ-  
2 mental documentation related to the conveyance, and any  
3 other administrative costs related to the conveyance.

4 (d) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Amounts received as reim-  
6 bursement under subsection (c) or as alternative  
7 consideration under subsection (b)(2) shall be cred-  
8 ited to the fund or account that was used to pay the  
9 costs incurred by the Secretary of the Army in car-  
10 rying out the conveyance under subsection (a) or, if  
11 the period of availability of obligation for appropria-  
12 tions to that fund or account has expired, to the  
13 fund or account that is currently available to the  
14 Secretary for the same purpose.

15 (2) MERGER OF AMOUNTS.—Amounts credited  
16 to a fund or account under paragraph (1) shall be  
17 merged with amounts in such fund or account and  
18 shall be available for the same purposes, and subject  
19 to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts  
20 in such fund or account.

21 (e) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage  
22 and legal description of the parcels of real property to be  
23 conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a  
24 survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Army.

1 (f) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Sec-  
2 retary of the Army may require such additional terms and  
3 conditions in connection with the conveyance authorized  
4 by subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate  
5 to protect the interests of the United States.



## **Amendment to H.R. 8800**

**Offered by: Mr. Cisneros**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 8800, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

### **Study on Emerging Technology to Eliminate Need for Burn Pits**

The committee recognizes that servicemembers stationed at contingency locations where openair burn pits remain in use face significantly elevated exposure risks from toxic emissions, particularly in environments where no viable waste disposal alternatives exist. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than January 31, 2027, on the Department's current use of alternative waste disposal methods in locations utilizing burn pits, including:

- (1) locations of alternative solid waste disposal systems and its effectiveness;
- and
- (2) locations with the greatest health and operational need for an alternative to a burn pit.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN**

At the appropriate place in title X, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 10 \_\_\_\_ . PILOT PROGRAM ON TOTAL REPLACEMENT**  
2 **VALUE FOR AIR FORCE TEST CENTER**  
3 **GROUND TEST INFRASTRUCTURE.**

4 (a) PILOT PROGRAM.—Not later than one year after  
5 the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary  
6 of the Air Force shall carry out a pilot program to adopt  
7 Total Replacement Value as the standard methodology for  
8 calculating cost for ground test infrastructure assets of  
9 the Air Force Test Center.

10 (b) ELEMENTS.—The pilot program shall include—

11 (1) a calculation of the Total Replacement  
12 Value for each ground test infrastructure asset of  
13 the Air Force Test Center, including separate cal-  
14 culations of Plant Replacement Value and Equip-  
15 ment Replacement Value; and

16 (2) an association of non-real property installed  
17 test equipment with Real Property Unique Identifi-  
18 fiers (or a similar identifier for real property or  
19 other assets authorized by the Secretary of Defense)

1 in the Defense Property Accountability System, or a  
2 successor system, to enable ongoing tracking of  
3 Equipment Replacement Value.

4 (c) REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary of the Air Force  
5 shall—

6 (1) beginning in fiscal year 2028, include in the  
7 budget justification materials submitted annually to  
8 Congress the Total Replacement Value for all  
9 ground test infrastructure assets of the Air Force  
10 Test Center, the amount requested for sustainment  
11 of such ground test infrastructure assets as a per-  
12 centage of such Total Replacement Value, and, if  
13 that percentage is less than two percent, an expla-  
14 nation of the shortfall and the projected impact on  
15 infrastructure readiness; and

16 (2) submit to the congressional defense commit-  
17 tees, not later than one year after the date of the  
18 enactment of this section, a plan to achieve a fund-  
19 ing level for sustainment of such ground test infra-  
20 structure assets of not less than two percent of the  
21 Total Replacement Value for such assets for a fiscal  
22 year, including projected milestones to maintain  
23 such funding level for a five-year period.

24 (d) REPORT.—Not later than three years after the  
25 date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of the

1 Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense com-  
2 mittees a report on the pilot program that includes—

3 (1) an assessment of the use of Total Replace-  
4 ment Value as a methodology as the standard meth-  
5 odology for calculating costs for ground test infra-  
6 structure assets of the Air Force Test Center;

7 (2) an assessment of funding levels for ground  
8 test infrastructure assets of the Air Force Test Cen-  
9 ter compared to the Total Replacement Value cal-  
10 culated during the pilot program; and

11 (3) a recommendation on whether and how to  
12 expand the use of the Total Replacement Value  
13 methodology to all ground test infrastructure assets  
14 of the Major Range and Test Facility Base (as de-  
15 fined in section 4173(j) of title 10, United States  
16 Code).

17 (e) RECOMMENDATION.—Not later than one year  
18 after the date of the submission of the report required  
19 under subsection (d), the Under Secretary of Defense for  
20 Research and Engineering shall review the recommenda-  
21 tion described in subsection (d)(4) and determine whether  
22 to use the Total Replacement Value methodology for all  
23 ground test infrastructure assets of the Major Range and  
24 Test Facility Base.

1 (f) TERMINATION.—The pilot program shall termi-  
2 nate on the earlier of—

3 (1) the date on which the Under Secretary of  
4 Defense of Research and Engineering begins use of  
5 the Total Replacement Value methodology for all  
6 ground test infrastructure assets of the Major Range  
7 and Test Facility Base; or

8 (2) the date that is five years after the date of  
9 the enactment of this section.

10 (g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

11 (1) The term “Equipment Replacement Value”  
12 means the estimated cost to replace the non-real  
13 property installed test equipment within a ground  
14 test infrastructure asset, calculated using the same  
15 methodology as Plant Replacement Value is cal-  
16 culated.

17 (2) The term “Plant Replacement Value”  
18 means the estimated cost to replace the physical  
19 structure of a ground test infrastructure asset.

20 (3) The term “Total Replacement Value”  
21 means the sum of Plant Replacement Value and  
22 Equipment Replacement Value.





**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. TRAN OF CALIFORNIA**

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 28** \_\_\_\_ . **BRIEFING REQUIRED FOR CERTAIN BASING AC-**  
2 **TIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR**  
3 **FORCE.**

4 Section 2392 of title 10, United States Code, is  
5 amended—

6 (1) by redesignating subsection (f) as sub-  
7 section (g); and

8 (2) by inserting after subsection (e) the fol-  
9 lowing new subsection:

10 “(f) **BRIEFING REQUIRED FOR COVERED BASING AC-**  
11 **TIONS.**—(1) Not later than 15 days after any date on  
12 which the Secretary notifies the Committees on Armed  
13 Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate  
14 of a covered basing action, the Secretary shall provide to  
15 Congress a briefing with respect to the covered basing ac-  
16 tion that includes—

17 “(A) an identification of the affected basing ac-  
18 tion, military installation, and unit or weapons sys-  
19 tem;

1           “(B) an identification of the authority of the  
2           Secretary pursuant to which the covered basing ac-  
3           tion will be carried out;

4           “(C) an explanation of the reasons the covered  
5           basing action is not in compliance with the process  
6           for strategic basing actions under this section;

7           “(D) an assessment of potential consequences  
8           of the covered basing action, including how the cov-  
9           ered basing decision may conflict with—

10           “(i) planning of the Department of the Air  
11           Force; and

12           “(ii) timelines for other military installa-  
13           tions, units, or weapons systems;

14           “(E) an assessment of the military installation  
15           in receipt of units or weapons systems pursuant to  
16           the covered basing action, including—

17           “(i) whether the military installation is in  
18           compliance with applicable environmental pro-  
19           tection laws;

20           “(ii) the amount of appropriations for mili-  
21           tary construction needed for the military instal-  
22           lation;

23           “(iii) any deficiencies in infrastructure on  
24           the military installation with respect to—

25           “(I) operational capacity;

1                   “(II) training capacity and require-  
2                   ments; and

3                   “(III) funding.

4           “(2) In this subsection, the term ‘covered basing ac-  
5   tion’ means a basing action that—

6           “(A) is not approved by the strategic basing  
7   panel and strategic basing executive steering group  
8   pursuant to this section; and

9           “(B) the Secretary of the Air Force received di-  
10   rection to implement prior to completing the process  
11   for strategic basing actions under this section.”.



**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8800**  
**OFFERED BY MR. ROGERS OF ALABAMA**

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 3 \_\_\_\_ . PILOT PROGRAM FOR SECURE RECYCLING OF**  
2 **DOMESTIC ELECTRONIC WASTE.**

3 (a) PILOT PROGRAM.—The Secretary of Defense  
4 shall establish a pilot program to evaluate the use of do-  
5 mestic, integrated electronic waste recycling infrastructure  
6 to support the secure destruction of data and the recovery  
7 of critical materials from electronic equipment of the De-  
8 partment of Defense.

9 (b) SCOPE.—In carrying out the pilot program under  
10 subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

11 (1) use existing facilities capable of end-to-end  
12 processing of the electronic waste referred to in such  
13 subsection, including the secure dismantling and  
14 shredding of such waste and metallurgical recovery  
15 from such waste;

16 (2) assess the potential for reuse in the defense  
17 industrial base of critical minerals and other mate-  
18 rials so recovered;

1 (3) assess the environmental and supply chain  
2 benefits associated with the domestic recycling of  
3 such electronic waste; and

4 (4) ensure compliance with applicable standards  
5 of the Department of Defense and the National Se-  
6 curity Agency, respectively, for the destruction of  
7 data.

8 (c) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date  
9 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall  
10 submit to the congressional defense committees a report  
11 on the results of the pilot program under subsection (a),  
12 including an assessment of—

13 (1) the cost effectiveness of the pilot program;

14 (2) security benefits realized through the pilot  
15 program, including with respect to data protection;

16 (3) the effect of the pilot program on supply  
17 chain resilience; and

18 (4) recommendations of the Secretary regarding  
19 the potential expansion of the pilot program across  
20 the Department of Defense.

