

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
4777	4	Kiggans, Jennifer A.	CHM	Directs the Department of Defense to report its use of off-the-shelf IT products from companies linked to foreign adversaries.	EB 3
4829	1	Kiggans, Jennifer A.	CHM	This amendment authorizes the Secretary of Defense to establish agreements with Five Eyes allies to enhance military medical cooperation—covering areas like credentialing, training, logistics, and joint readiness.	EB 3
4834	0	Ryan, Patrick	CHM	Requires SECDEF to submit a plan to accelerate the accreditation, construction, and operational use of commercial Sensitive Compartmented Information Facilities (SCIF) accessible to industry partners.	EB 3
4848	0	Van Orden, Derrick	CHM	Would establish formal contracting goals for the Department of Defense in contracting with small businesses owned and controlled by veterans, and would allow use of other than competitive procedures in the award of contracts to small businesses owned and controlled by veterans .	EB 3
4860	0	Bergman, Jack	CHM	Improves Department of Defense life-cycle management by requiring annual readiness assessments, corrective action plans, and intellectual property strategies to ensure major weapon systems meet cost-effective sustainment and readiness goals.	EB 3
4868	1	Harrigan, Pat	CHM	Prohibits the DoD from entering into, renewing, or extending long-term agreements with on-base retailers operating through non-appropriated fund entities (such as AAFES, NEX, or MCX) if those retailers are owned or controlled by China, Russia, Iran, or North Korea.	EB 3
4881	1	Goodlander, Maggie	CHM	Require the Department of Defense to provide Housing Requirements and Market Analyses (HRMA) information to Congress.	EB 3
4902	3	Courtney, Joe	CHM	Increases the amount for Virginia-class Submarines by \$1 billion for funding shortfall, offset by the necessary amount.	EB 3
4892	2	McGuire, John J.	CHM	Would direct SECDEF to provide a briefing about how microreactors would meet energy delivery needs and improve readiness and logistics in a geographically dispersed maritime theater like the Indo-Pacific.	EB 3
4935	4	Mills, Cory	CHM	Requires a briefing on EMP and EM spectrum protection for Soldier systems to include sensors, night vision, communications, weapon systems, etc.	EB 3
4940	1	Wilson, Joe	CHM	Requires a report on Controlled Unclassified Information handling, monitoring, and validation within the Defense Industrial Base.	EB 3
4959	2	Wilson, Joe	CHM	Energy Assurance for Force Sustainment —Floating Nuclear Power Plants	EB 3
4986	0	Jackson, Ronny	CHM	Amends Title 10 to make the USSOCOM Commander the Executive Agent for the Irregular Warfare Center.	EB 3

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
4998	3	DesJarlais, Scott	CHM	Directs the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Industrial Base Policy to provide a report on Battery Supply chain vulnerabilities and lithium-ion battery manufacturing and the feasibility of creating a foundry in the US	EB 3
4999	1	Deluzio, Christopher R.	CHM	Would establish that delivery of cost or pricing data that is more than 30 days old is an invalid defense by a contractor against a defective pricing claim by the government.	EB 3
5035	2	Jacobs, Sara	CHM	Provides TRICARE coverage for fertility treatment to Service Members and their dependents equal to the coverage that Members of Congress and their staff can receive.	EB 3
5053	2	Gooden, Lance	CHM	Codifies the DoD Insider Threat Detection Program and modifies such program to include all networks and enclaves used by civilian DoD personnel, privileged users, members of the Armed Forces, and DoD contractors who have access to classified, controlled unclassified, and PII.	EB 3
5070	1	Hamadeh, Abraham J.	CHM	Authorizes the controlled transfer of defense articles for government end users to, from, and between appropriately licensed government contractors for national security purposes.	EB 3
5097	1	Jackson, Ronny	CHM	Directs Air Force to incorporate depot-level maintenance in INDOPACIFIC exercises	EB 3
5116	1	Strickland, Marilyn	CHM	To direct the Secretary of Defense to carry out a pilot program to provide services from midwives to covered beneficiaries under the TRICARE program.	EB 3
5118	1	Messmer, Mark B.	CHM	This amendment allows National Guard Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation Activities to be reimbursed.	EB 3
5148	1	Goodlander, Maggie	CHM	Encourage the Department of Defense to develop incentives for contractors to assist employees with childcare solutions.	EB 3
5164	0	Tokuda, Jill N.	CHM	Prohibit decommissioning of oceanographic ships until the Secretary of the Navy submits a maintenance and recapitalization plan for the oceanographic fleet.	EB 3
5178	2	Tokuda, Jill N.	CHM	GAO review of the cost and readiness impacts of utilizing Naval Station Guantanamo Bay for immigration enforcement.	EB 3
5184	2	Messmer, Mark B.	CHM	This amendment requires a briefing on the future acquisition of the X-Band Radar.	EB 3
5189	1	Stefanik, Elise	CHM	DJI and PRC Drones to FCC Covered List	EB 3

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
5227	4	Hamadeh, Abraham J.	CHM	This amendment would allow the non-profit Arizona Aviation Historical Group to acquire, at no cost or liability to the U.S. taxpayer, 5 surplus T-37 training aircraft that are currently being stored at the Air Force's AMARG "Boneyard" in Tucson, AZ.	EB 3
5242	3	Jacobs, Sara	CHM	Requests a briefing on how the Department of Defense is ensuring that humans remain responsible for decisions to use force in autonomous or semi-autonomous systems.	EB 3
5255	1	Sherrill, Mikie	CHM	This amendment would require the SecDef to create a policy requiring contractors to provide a certification that does not maintain or provide for employees any segregated facilities.	EB 3
5269	3	Jacobs, Sara	CHM	5 year pilot program that raises the military fee assistance child care provider cap for infants and toddlers by 30% near select installations in high cost areas	EB 3
5288	2	Jacobs, Sara	CHM	5 year pilot program to establish an MCCYN/MCCYN+ grant program to increase the number of community infant and toddler care providers near select installations in high cost areas	EB 3
5319	4	Wilson, Joe	CHM	Requires a briefing on further defense base integration between the United States and Israel.	EB 3
5355	4	Houlahan, Chrissy	CHM	To require SecDef to establish Women's Initiative Teams for each branch of Service.	EB 3
5386	2	Moulton, Seth	CHM	Directs the Department of Defense to ensure that acquisition leadership positions associated with joint research and development activities or joint acquisition programs are open to maximum competition	EB 3
5396	3	Fallon, Pat	CHM	Commercial-First AI Acquisition Strategy and Containerized Model Deployment	EB 3
5398	0	Turner, Michael	CHM	Punitive Article Under the Uniform Code of Military Justice for Offenses Relating to Child Pornography	EB 3
5428	2	Whitesides, George	CHM	DRL directing SecNav to report on the Navy's investments in and development of distributed sensing technologies for unmanned maritime vehicles.	EB 3
5435	0	Elfreth, Sarah	CHM	Require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the financial impact of extreme weather events on military installations.	EB 3
5488	0	Ryan, Patrick	CHM	Requires SECDEF to carry out a program to equip DoD weapons systems platforms with onboard, near real-time, end-to-end serial bus and radio frequency monitoring capabilities.	EB 3

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
5493	1	Tokuda, Jill N.	CHM	Include DoD civilians and contractors in HRMA, allow DoD to consider DoD civilians and contractors as part of planning for MHPI projects, and conduct an independent analysis of the suitability of DoD-owned land in Hawaii for residential development for military families.	EB 3
5498	1	Norcross, Donald	CHM	Clarifies the authority of the Director of Operational Test & Evaluation (DOT&E) to include additional items such as coordinating with Service OT&E organizations, maintaining sufficient staffing, and contracting with necessary experts and advisors in program oversight.	EB 3
5505	0	Bergman, Jack	CHM	Pilot program for Generative AI and Spatial Computing for Warfighter Performance Training and Proficiency Assessment	EB 3
5538	0	Moulton, Seth	CHM	To name the Army Fort in Muscogee County and Chattahoochee County, Georgia, as Fort Moore	EB 3
5575	0	Stefanik, Elise	CHM	Reforming of Contractor Performance Information Requirements	EB 3
5639	0	Sherrill, Mikie	CHM	Requires Tricare to allow active duty servicemembers and their beneficiaries to receive up to a full year's supply of contraception.	EB 3
5660	0	Whitesides, George	CHM	DRL directing the Department to report on their efforts to establish personnel requirements for their network management structure and offices.	EB 3
5563	2	Van Orden, Derrick	CHM	Codifies and expands the Individual Longitudinal Exposure Record (ILER) as a centralized database of service members' occupational and environmental exposure data. Requires data sharing with VA and DHA, includes medical and service records, and mandates annual reporting Congress.	EB 3
5566	1	Mace, Nancy	CHM	Authorizes the creation of an Eastern Range Regional Complex for multi-domain operations and autonomous systems training, testing, and experimentation.	EB 3
5573	3	Garamendi, John	CHM	Increases funding for the modernization of mobility aircraft connectivity.	EB 3
5576	1	Sherrill, Mikie	CHM	Enhanced Oversight of United States Force Posture in Europe	EB 3
5577	1	Bergman, Jack	CHM	High-Density Build-up Substrates	EB 3
5580	2	Elfreh, Sarah	CHM	Standardization of data analysis and visualization across the Department of Defense for harmful behavior	EB 3

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
5587	1	Bergman, Jack	CHM	Provision to provide alternative drinking water to certain communities with private drinking water wells contaminated with PFAS.	EB 3
5598	1	Elfreth, Sarah	CHM	Codification of the National Centers of Academic Excellence in Cybersecurity	EB 3
5610	1	Schmidt, Derek	CHM	Limit the Downsizing of Military Treatment Facilities	EB 3
5625	1	Fallon, Pat	CHM	Adjustment and Diversification Assistance for State and local governments affected by Army Transformation Initiative	EB 3
5647	1	Carbajal, Salud O.	CHM	DRL requiring the Director of National Intelligence, in coordination with Director of the National Reconnaissance Office, to provide a briefing on the feasibility and advisability of establishing the Radar Commercial Layer (RCL) as a Program of Record.	EB 3
5653	1	Bacon, Don	CHM	Amends Sec 1833 of the bill to exempt certain commercial items from modular open systems requirements.	EB 3
5658	0	Sorensen, Eric	CHM	Creates a pilot program to incentivize public-private partnerships at Army arsenals in order to create a more predictable, sustained workload.	EB 3
5662	0	Norcross, Donald	CHM	Requires a briefing by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment, in coordination with the Secretary of the Navy, on the state of the skilled technical workforce supporting Navy shipbuilding.	EB 3
5670	0	Khanna, Ro	CHM	Directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report detailing all costs associated with Operation Midnight Hammer.	EB 3

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Ms. Kiggans

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Review of Oversight of Off-the-Shelf Information Technology Products from Foreign Adversary Countries

The committee is concerned that, despite efforts to prevent technology from foreign adversary countries being used by the Department of Defense, some commercially available off-the-shelf (COTS) information technology products may be inadvertently slipping through.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a briefing to the House Armed Services no later than May 1, 2026 on:

- (1) a review of current procurement oversight mechanisms to identify and track such products;
- (2) recommendations for policy or process changes to mitigate identified vulnerabilities.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MRS. KIGGANS OF VIRGINIA

Add at the appropriate place in title VII the following:

1 **SEC. 7____. MILITARY MEDICAL COOPERATION ARRANGE-**
2 **MENTS AMONG FIVE EYES COUNTRIES.**

3 Subchapter II of chapter 138 of title 10, United
4 States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-
5 lowing new section:

6 **“§ 2350t. Military medical cooperation arrangements**
7 **among Five Eyes countries**

8 “(a) **AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of Defense may
9 enter into a bilateral or multilateral memorandum of un-
10 derstanding or other formal agreement with one or more
11 governments of the Five Eyes countries to support mili-
12 tary medical cooperation or improve operational medical
13 interoperability.

14 “(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

15 “(1) The term ‘Five Eyes countries’ means the
16 following:

17 “(A) Australia.

18 “(B) Canada.

19 “(C) New Zealand.

1 “(D) The United Kingdom.

2 “(2) The term ‘military medical cooperation’
3 means any of the following:

4 “(A) Information exchange.

5 “(B) Medical interoperability, including
6 credentialing of health care personnel.

7 “(C) Medical education, training, exercises,
8 and evaluation.

9 “(D) Medical research, development, trials,
10 and evaluation.

11 “(E) Biodefense, including with respect to
12 prevention, preparation, response, and inves-
13 tigation.

14 “(F) Medical logistics, including the rec-
15 ognition of MedCM, drugs and medical equip-
16 ment.

17 “(G) Patient movement.

18 “(H) Any other areas for cooperation des-
19 ignated by the Secretary of Defense.

20 “(3) The term ‘military medicine’ means any of
21 the following:

22 “(A) Combat casualty care including trau-
23 ma,

24 “(B) Military infectious disease.

1 “(C) Chemical, biological, radiological, and
2 nuclear medical support.

3 “(D) Deployed health care delivery.

4 “(E) Public health, health readiness, and
5 force health protection.

6 “(F) Mental health.

7 “(G) Humanitarian response.

8 “(H) Anomalous health incidents.

9 “(I) Mass casualty management.

10 “(J) Any other areas of military medicine
11 designated by the Secretary of Defense.”.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. RYAN OF NEW YORK

At the appropriate place in title X, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 10 ____ . ACCELERATION OF ACCREDITATION AND AC-**
2 **CESS TO SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED IN-**
3 **FORMATION FACILITIES FOR INDUSTRY.**

4 (a) PLAN REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after
5 enactment of this act, the Secretary of Defense, in con-
6 sultation with other appropriate departments and agencies
7 of the Federal Government, shall submit to the congres-
8 sional defense committees a plan to accelerate the accredi-
9 tation, construction, and operational use of commercial
10 sensitive compartmented information facilities accessible
11 to private-sector entities in support of national security
12 innovation, manufacturing, and mission-critical classified
13 activities.

14 (b) ELEMENTS.—The plan required by subsection (a)
15 shall include the following:

16 (1) Recommendation of policies to authorize the
17 parallel processing of construction security plans,
18 construction, and information technology deployment
19 to reduce accreditation and approval timelines.

1 (2) An assessment of the feasibility of adopting
2 architecture and construction templates to allow for
3 shortening or eliminating portions of the construc-
4 tion security plan review and approval process.

5 (3) An evaluation of current policies regarding
6 the use of mobile Secret Internet Protocol Router
7 Network and Joint Worldwide Intelligence Commu-
8 nications System systems within accredited con-
9 tractor sensitive compartmented information facili-
10 ties, including a review of Chairman of the Joint
11 Chiefs of Staff Instruction 6211.02D.

12 (4) An assessment of the feasibility of dele-
13 gating the authority to review construction security
14 plans and associated 30, 60, and 90 percent tech-
15 nical drawings to appropriately trained sponsor-ap-
16 proved personnel within the Armed Forces, subject
17 to applicable security standards and oversight.

18 (5) A proposal to designate shared commercial
19 classified facilities as valid places to work for all
20 types of classified work authorized by the Depart-
21 ment of Defense.

22 (6) A proposal to develop and establish a se-
23 cure, centralized, digital platform for the manage-
24 ment of sensitive compartmented information facility
25 lifecycle processes, including—

1 (A) submission and tracking of construc-
2 tion security plans, requests for information,
3 fixed facility checklists, and co-use authoriza-
4 tions; and

5 (B) utilization of artificial intelligence and
6 machine-learning tools for construction security
7 plan validation, interagency compliance, and
8 document version control.

9 (7) A list of any additional authorities, appro-
10 priations, or other resources necessary to implement
11 the plan required by this section.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. VAN ORDEN OF WISCONSIN

At the appropriate place in title VIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 8____. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTING**
2 **GOALS FOR SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS**
3 **OWNED AND CONTROLLED BY VETERANS.**

4 Chapter 387 of title 10, United States Code, is
5 amended by adding at the end the following new section:

6 **“§ 4903. Small business concerns owned and con-**
7 **trolled by veterans: contracting goals**

8 “(a) CONTRACTING GOALS.—In order to increase
9 contracting opportunities for small business concerns
10 owned and controlled by veterans, the Secretary shall es-
11 tablish a goal for each fiscal year for participation in De-
12 partment contracts (including subcontracts) by small busi-
13 ness concerns owned and controlled by veterans that is
14 not less than the Governmentwide goal for that fiscal year
15 for participation by small business concerns owned and
16 controlled by service-disabled veterans under section
17 15(g)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(g)(1)).

18 “(b) SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS FOR CONTRACTS
19 ABOVE SIMPLIFIED ACQUISITION THRESHOLD.—For pur-

1 poses of meeting the goals under subsection (a), a con-
2 tracting officer may award a contract to a small business
3 concern owned and controlled by veterans using proce-
4 dures other than competitive procedures if—

5 “(1) such concern is determined to be a respon-
6 sible source with respect to performance of such con-
7 tract;

8 “(2) the anticipated award price of the contract
9 (including options) will not exceed the amounts es-
10 tablished in section 36(c)(2) of the Small Business
11 Act (15 U.S.C. 657f(c)(2)); and

12 “(3) in the estimation of the contracting officer,
13 the contract award can be made at a fair and rea-
14 sonable price that offers best value to the United
15 States.

16 “(c) USE OF RESTRICTED COMPETITION.—Except as
17 provided in subsection (b), for purposes of meeting the
18 goals under subsection (a) and in accordance with this sec-
19 tion, a contracting officer may award contracts on the
20 basis of competition restricted to small business concerns
21 owned and controlled by veterans if the contracting officer
22 has a reasonable expectation that two or more small busi-
23 ness concerns owned and controlled by veterans will sub-
24 mit offers and that the award can be made at a fair and

1 reasonable price that offers best value to the United
2 States.

3 “(d) ELIGIBILITY OF SMALL BUSINESS CON-
4 CERNS.—A small business concern may be awarded a con-
5 tract under this section only if the small business concern
6 and the veteran owner of the small business concern are
7 listed in the database described in section 36(f)(1) of the
8 Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657f(f)(1)).

9 “(e) SMALL BUSINESS ACT DEFINITIONS.—In this
10 section, the terms ‘small business concern’, ‘small business
11 concern owned and controlled by veterans’, and ‘small
12 business concern owned and controlled by service-disabled
13 veterans’ have the meanings given, respectively, under sec-
14 tion 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632).”.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN

Strike section 333 [log 82126].

Strike subsection (c) of section 334 [log 82296].

Strike section 812 [log 82614].

At the appropriate place in title XVIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 18____. MODIFICATIONS RELATING TO LIFE-CYCLE**
2 **AND SUSTAINMENT PROVISIONS.**

3 (a) MODIFICATION TO LIFE-CYCLE MANAGEMENT
4 AND PRODUCT SUPPORT.—Subsection (c)(1)(F) of section
5 4322 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by sec-
6 tion [1804 of this Act] [*Log 82283*], is further amend-
7 ed—

8 (1) by striking “A plan” and inserting “After
9 consideration of the views received by the milestone
10 decision authority from appropriate materiel, logis-
11 tics, or fleet representatives, a plan”;

12 (2) by redesignating clauses (iii) through (v) as
13 clauses (iv) through (vi), respectively;

14 (3) by inserting after clause (ii) the following
15 new clause:

1 “(iii) an assessment as to the required
2 number of training simulators, including
3 the initial operational capability and over-
4 all fielding of such simulators;” and

5 (4) in clause (vi) (as so redesignated), by strik-
6 ing “in accordance with clause (iv)” and inserting in
7 “accordance with clause (v)”.

8 (b) ELEMENTS.—Subsection (b) of section 4323 of
9 title 10, United States Code, as redesignated by section
10 1804 **Log 82283** of this Act, is amended—

11 (1) by striking paragraphs (9) and (10);

12 (2) by redesignating paragraphs (4) through
13 (8) as paragraphs (5) through (9), respectively;

14 (3) in paragraph (1), by inserting “in accord-
15 ance with the requirements of section 118 of this
16 title and” before “in conjunction”;

17 (4) in paragraph (3), by striking “, to deter-
18 mine” and all that follows through the semicolon at
19 the end and inserting “and make necessary adjust-
20 ments to the life-cycle sustainment plan required by
21 section 4322 of this title to ensure such major weap-
22 on system meets applicable operational readiness re-
23 quirements and materiel readiness objectives (estab-
24 lished in accordance with section 118(c) of this title)
25 in the most cost-effective manner practicable;”;

1 (5) by inserting after paragraph (3) the fol-
2 lowing new paragraph:

3 “(4) require the military departments to, not
4 later than August 1 of each calendar year, conduct
5 an annual assessment of the actual performance of
6 each major weapon system against the operational
7 readiness requirements and materiel readiness objec-
8 tives and use such assessment to—

9 “(A) identify any factors contributing to a
10 major weapon system failing to meet such re-
11 quirements and objectives;

12 “(B) develop and implement a corrective
13 action plan to address identified shortfalls in
14 meeting such requirements and objectives in an
15 expeditious manner; and

16 “(C) inform the submission of materials to
17 Congress required by section 118(c)(2) of this
18 title and the development of the future years
19 defense program described in section 221 of
20 this title;”;

21 (6) in paragraph (9) (as so redesignated), by
22 inserting “and” after the semicolon at the end; and

23 (7) by inserting after paragraph (9) (as so re-
24 designated) the following new paragraph:

1 “(10) prior to the Milestone B approval (or
2 equivalent approval) for a major weapon system, re-
3 quire the military departments to prepare a life cycle
4 intellectual property management plan for product
5 support sufficient to comply with the requirements
6 of section 2464 of this title, including requirements
7 for technical data, software, and modular open sys-
8 tem approaches (as defined in section 4401 of this
9 title).”.

10 (c) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Such section 4323
11 is further amended—

12 (1) by redesignating subsection (d) as sub-
13 section (e); and

14 (2) by inserting after subsection (c) the fol-
15 lowing new subsection:

16 “(d) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later than
17 December 31, 2026, and annually thereafter, each Sec-
18 retary of a military department shall submit to the con-
19 gressional defense committees a report that includes the
20 following:

21 “(1) Findings from a review of the effectiveness
22 of the life-cycle sustainment plan for a major weap-
23 on system, as adjusted pursuant to subsection
24 (b)(3).

1 “(2) Findings from the assessments required by
2 subsection (b)(4).

3 “(3) A description of any corrective action plan
4 required by subsection (b)(4)(B), and an update on
5 progress made in implementing such a plan.

6 “(4) A description of how such assessments in-
7 formed the submission of materials to Congress re-
8 quired by section 118(c)(2) of this title and the de-
9 velopment of the future years defense program de-
10 scribed in section 221 of this title.

11 “(5) A summary of actions taken by the Sec-
12 retary to ensure that each major weapon system of
13 the military department under the jurisdiction of the
14 Secretary meet the applicable operational readiness
15 requirements and materiel readiness objectives (es-
16 tablished under section 118(c) of this title) in the
17 most cost-effective manner practicable.

18 “(6) For a major weapon system that has not
19 met established materiel readiness objectives for ma-
20 teriel availability or operational availability (as such
21 terms are defined, respectively, in section 118 of this
22 title) for three consecutive years, such report shall
23 include a mitigation plan to address supply, mainte-

- 1 nance, or other issues contributing to failure to meet
- 2 such objectives.”.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. HARRIGAN OF NORTH
CAROLINA

At the appropriate place in title VIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 8 ____ . REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO LONG-TERM CON-**
2 **CESSIONS AGREEMENTS WITH CERTAIN RE-**
3 **TAILERS.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 363 of title 10, United
5 States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-
6 lowing new section:

7 **“§ 4664. Requirements relating to long-term conces-**
8 **sions agreements with certain retailers**

9 “(a) PROHIBITION ON CONTRACTING WITH CERTAIN
10 RETAILERS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense may not
11 renew, extend, or enter into a long-term concessions agree-
12 ment with a retailer that is controlled by a covered nation
13 to permit such retailer to operate or conduct business
14 through a physical location on a covered military installa-
15 tion.

16 “(2) The Secretary may waive the requirements of
17 paragraph (1) if the Secretary determines that—

1 “(A) the goods or services to be provided by the
2 retailer are vital for the welfare and morale of mem-
3 bers of the Armed Forces and no reasonable alter-
4 natives exist;

5 “(B) the Secretary has implemented adequate
6 measures to mitigate any potential national security
7 risks of the retailer; and

8 “(C) the retailer has received a determination
9 from the Committee on Foreign Investment in the
10 United States (in this section referred to as the
11 ‘Committee’) that there are no unresolved national
12 security concerns with respect to the retailer in con-
13 nection to a matter submitted to the Committee and
14 which the Committee concluded all action pursuant
15 to section 721 of the Defense Production Act of
16 1950 (50 U.S.C. 4565).

17 “(3) Not later than 30 days after each use of the
18 waiver authority under paragraph (2), the Secretary shall
19 submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House
20 of Representatives and Senate a report including a jus-
21 tification for the use of such authority and a description
22 of any risk mitigation strategies described in paragraph
23 (2)(B).

24 “(4) With respect to a retailer that has misrep-
25 sented the ownership and control of such retailer for the

1 award of a long-term concessions agreement, the Secretary
2 of Defense may terminate such agreement.

3 “(5) Paragraph (1) shall apply with respect to a long-
4 term concessions agreement entered into on or after the
5 date of the enactment of this section.

6 “(b) COVERED RETAILERS.—(1) The Secretary of
7 Defense may not permit a covered retailer controlled by
8 a covered nation to operate or conduct business through
9 a physical location on a covered military installation, un-
10 less such covered retailer has received an approval deter-
11 mination under paragraph (4).

12 “(2) Not later than 30 days after the date of the en-
13 actment of this section, a covered retailer—

14 “(A) shall submit to the Committee a notice
15 that includes any direct or indirect relationships be-
16 tween the covered retailer (including any subsidiaries
17 or parent companies of such covered retailer) and
18 any covered nation; and

19 “(B) may not operate or conduct business
20 through a physical location on a covered military in-
21 stallation unless the Committee submits a deter-
22 mination approving such notice in accordance with
23 paragraph (3).

24 “(3) The Committee shall conduct an investigation
25 of the effects of a notice submitted under paragraph (2)

1 on the national security of the United States, including
2 an assessment of any direct or indirect relationships be-
3 tween the covered retailer (including any subsidiaries or
4 parent companies of such covered retailer) and any cov-
5 ered nation.

6 “(4) Not later than 180 days after completing an in-
7 vestigation under paragraph (3), the Committee shall sub-
8 mit to the Secretary of Defense a determination approving
9 or disapproving the notice submitted under paragraph (2).

10 “(5)(A) A covered retailer that receives an approval
11 under paragraph (4) shall submit annually to the Com-
12 mittee disclosures regarding any change in the ownership
13 structure that may affect whether or not the covered re-
14 tailer is controlled by a covered nation.

15 “(B) The Secretary of Defense shall immediately ter-
16 minate a long-term concession agreement with a covered
17 retailer if the Secretary determines such covered retailer
18 has failed to comply with the requirements of this sub-
19 section.

20 “(c) ASSESSMENT OF COVERED RETAILERS.—(1)
21 Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment
22 of this section, the Secretary of Defense shall review each
23 long-term concessions agreement with a covered retailer
24 that permits the covered retailer to operate or conduct
25 business through a physical location on a covered military

1 installation to assess any direct or indirect relationships
2 between the retailer (including any subsidiaries or parent
3 companies of such covered retailer) and any covered na-
4 tion.

5 “(2) Not later than 30 days after making a deter-
6 mination that a covered retailer is controlled by a covered
7 nation based on an assessment described in subsection (a)
8 or a determination made under subsection (b), the Sec-
9 retary of Defense shall terminate any long-term conces-
10 sions agreement with the covered retailer.

11 “(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

12 “(1) The term ‘controlled by a covered nation’
13 means, with respect to a retailer—

14 “(A) that the retailer is organized under
15 the laws of a covered nation or any jurisdiction
16 within a covered nation;

17 “(B) that a covered nation owns 20 per-
18 cent or more of the shares of the retailer; or

19 “(C) that the retailer is subject to the di-
20 rect or control of a covered nation.

21 “(2) The term ‘covered military installation’
22 means a military installation (as defined in section
23 2801 of this title) located in the United States.

24 “(3) The term ‘covered nation’ has the meaning
25 given in section 4872 of this title.

1 “(4) The term ‘covered retailer’ means a re-
2 tailer that is performing a long-term concessions
3 agreement on or before the date of the enactment of
4 this Act.

5 “(5) The term ‘long-term concessions agree-
6 ment’ means a contract, subcontract (at any tier), or
7 other agreement, including a lease agreement or li-
8 censing agreement, to operate a business through a
9 physical location on a covered military installation
10 entered into by—

11 “(A) the Secretary of Defense or a Sec-
12 retary of a military department and a person,
13 including a nonappropriated fund instrumen-
14 tality; or

15 “(B) a person and a nonappropriated fund
16 instrumentality.

17 “(6) The term ‘retailer’ means—

18 “(A) a nonappropriated fund instrumen-
19 tality that operates or seeks to operate a busi-
20 ness through a physical location on a covered
21 military installation;

22 “(B) any other person that operates or
23 seeks to operate a business on a covered mili-
24 tary installation under a contract, subcontract

1 (at any tier), or other agreement, including a
2 lease agreement or licensing agreement, with—

3 “(i) a nonappropriated fund instru-
4 mentality;

5 “(ii) the Secretary of Defense; or

6 “(iii) a Secretary of a military depart-
7 ment.”.

8 (b) ASSESSMENT OF COVERED RETAILERS.—

9 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
10 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sec-
11 retary of Defense shall review each long-term con-
12 cessions agreement with a covered retailer that per-
13 mits the covered retailer to operate or conduct busi-
14 ness through a physical location on a covered mili-
15 tary installation to assess any direct or indirect rela-
16 tionships between the retailer (including any subsidi-
17 aries or parent companies of such covered retailer)
18 and any covered nation.

19 (2) TERMINATION.—Not later than one year
20 after making a determination that a covered retailer
21 is controlled by a covered nation based on an assess-
22 ment described in subsection (a), the Secretary of
23 Defense shall terminate any long-term concessions
24 agreement with the covered retailer.

1 (3) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms
2 “covered nation”, “covered retailer”, and “long-term
3 concessions agreement” have the meanings given, re-
4 spectively, in section 4664 of title 10, United States
5 Code, as added by this section.



Amendment to H.R.3838

Offered by: Ms. Goodlander

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Housing Requirements and Market Analysis Schedule and Results

The committee supports additional reporting by each of the military services on Housing Requirements and Market Analyses (HRMAs) to ensure that Congress receives the necessary HRMA information to inform the budget for the next fiscal year.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with each of the service secretaries, to provide a report to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2026. The report shall include the following:

- (1) a list of all HRMAs completed during the last three fiscal years;
- (2) a summary of the results of the HRMAs completed, disaggregated by service, in such fiscal years, to include whether the housing around each installation was found to be sufficient; and
- (3) for any completed HRMA that found the housing surrounding an installation to be insufficient, a description of the actions taken to mitigate the discrepancy.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838

OFFERED BY MR_. COURTNEY

(funding table amendment)

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Shipbuilding, and Conversion, Navy, increase the amount for Virginia Class Submarines, Line 8, by \$1,000,000,000 for funding shortfall.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for LHA/LHD Midlife, Line 8, by \$30,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for LPD class support equipment, Line 15, by \$25,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for Items Less Than \$5 million, Line 23, by \$12,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for Standard Boats, Line 31, by \$50,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for LCS In-Service Modernization, Line 37, by \$38,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for AN/SLQ-32, Line 50, by \$200,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for Shipboard IW Exploit, Line 51, by \$20,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for Naval Mission Planning Systems, Line 66, by \$20,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for Ship Communication Automation, Line 81, by \$60,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for Submarine Communication Equipment, Line 84, by \$20,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for Mobile Advanced EHF Terminal, Line 87, by \$50,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for Ship Missile Support Equipment, Line 127, by \$75,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for Physical Security Equipment, Line 164, by \$75,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for Next Generation Enterprise Service, Line 170, by \$50,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for Amphibious Equipment, Line 146, by \$25,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for Strategic System Missile Equipment, Line 130, by \$100,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for In-Service Radars and Sensors, Line 78, by \$20,000,000.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Procurement, Navy, reduce the amount for Airborne ATC, Line 62, by \$10,000,000.

In section 4201 of division D, relating to Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy, reduce the amount for Littoral Combat Ship, Line 50, by \$5,000,000.

In section 4201 of division D, relating to Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy, reduce the amount for LCS Mission Modules, Line 53, by \$20,000,000.

In section 4201 of division D, relating to Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy, reduce the amount for LX(R), Line 90, by \$10,000,000.

In section 4201 of division D, relating to Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy, reduce the amount for Shipboard Aviation Systems, Line 138, by \$20,000,000.

In section 4201 of division D, relating to Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy, reduce the amount for Standard Missile Improvements, Line 131, by \$50,000,000.

In section 4201 of division D, relating to Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy, reduce the amount for Ship Self Defense, Line 155, by \$15,000,000.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. McGuire

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Mobile Small Reactors in a Maritime Theater

The committee notes the uniqueness of mobile small reactors for use in overseas contingency operations. Mobile small reactors could significantly reduce logistics for installations in remote locations, including islands, by eliminating single points of failure in the supply chain and reducing land use compared to traditional power delivery systems. As the United States shifts its focus to deterring conflict in the geographically dispersed Indo-Pacific region, these advancements could be critical to maintaining operational readiness in a maritime theater and supporting the Joint Force in a contested logistics environment.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the executive agent designated under Executive Order 14299, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2026, on the utility of mobile small reactors to support expeditionary operations. This briefing should include the following:

- (1) which design considerations for nuclear energy delivery systems are most relevant to a geographically dispersed area of operations;
- (2) how mobile small reactors will be utilized to meet operational energy needs in an Indo-Pacific contingency;
- (3) which forward bases and units in the Indo-Pacific region should be prioritized for the deployment of mobile small reactors; and
- (4) any outstanding technical or logistical challenges relating to the deployment of mobile small reactors to achieve these requirements.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Mills

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Electromagnetic Spectrum Survivability for Combat Systems

The committee notes the unique challenges associated with hardening close combat systems to survive in challenging electromagnetic spectrum environments, including the management of risks associated with advanced electronic attack capabilities and resiliency against high-power microwave (HPM) and electromagnetic pulse (EMP) threats. The committee is aware of the engineering and development challenges associated with hardening systems that in many cases have advanced connectivity and large numbers of apertures, but believes that the investment required to do so may in some cases be justified given the threats posed by current and future adversaries. The committee therefore directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Service not later than March 1, 2026, on the status of the Department's efforts to improve the resilience of close combat systems in challenging electromagnetic environments. This briefing shall include:

1. a description of current, planned, and potential efforts to develop, deploy, or modernize close combat systems capable of operating effectively in a degraded electromagnetic environment, to include data concerning cost, sustainment, training, military utility, ancillary costs or benefits, and the relationship of such efforts to broader spectrum warfare, resiliency, and support efforts; and
2. the feasibility and advisability of establishing a program, cross-functional team, executive agent, or other coordinating entity to oversee Department of Defense efforts related to close combat system electromagnetic resiliency.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Wilson

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Controlled Unclassified Information Handling, Monitoring, and Validation within the Defense Industrial Base

The committee understands that the Cybersecurity Maturity Model Certification (CMMC) program intends to establish robust security controls for people, processes, and technology to safeguard Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) within the defense industrial base. However, challenges remain due to the complexity and volume of data that existed in both government and contractor systems before the CMMC program, and the CUI designation, was put in place.

The committee recognizes that the location, identification, assessment and, if necessary, designation of this historic data as CUI, is a considerable undertaking with shared responsibility between the government and contractors. The committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to apply a risk-based approach in establishing requirements for contractors to conduct reviews and analysis and appropriate designation of data necessary to support effective implementation of CMMC. Additionally, the committee remains concerned that currently there is no mandatory mechanism to ensure continuous monitoring and remediation of CUI that may be inadvertently stored or transmitted outside approved environments, posing risks of non-compliance and potential data exposure.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, to submit a report to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2026, on efforts by the Department to improve the identification, designation and security of unclassified information that requires safeguarding or dissemination controls limiting its distribution to those with a lawful government purpose, including data that existed before the CUI designation was established. The report should also include details on specific measures, including methods to continuously monitor compliance with CMMC requirements, that are in place to assist in these efforts.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Wilson

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Floating Nuclear Power Plants

The committee recognizes the importance of assured energy access in a contested logistics operating environment characterized by active enemy efforts to disrupt or hinder sustainment of U.S. military forces and operational commander command and control. Such disruptions are expected at both permanent installations and expeditionary locations. Given the wide geographic distribution of military forces, many of which are adjacent to navigable waters, the committee encourages the Department of Defense to explore the use of floating nuclear power plants (FNPPs) that incorporate microreactors and/or small modular reactors to meet its energy requirements. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Contested Logistics Working Group outlined in section 2926 of title 10, United States Code, to provide a report to the House Committee on Armed Services by April 1, 2026, on the feasibility of deploying FNPPs for the Department of Defense's use. The report should include:

- (1) a summary of the adequacy of existing energy storage and distribution systems to meet mission requirements in a contested operating environment;
- (2) an overview of potential mission benefits related to the use of FNPPs;
- (3) a list of prioritized potential use cases for FNPPs to include, but not limited to, base electric power, desalination, synthetic fuel production, directed energy weapons, AI at the edge, defense support of civil authorities, humanitarian response, and 3D/additive manufacturing;
- (4) any potential challenges related to the sustainment and maintenance of FNPPs, including corrosion mitigation;
- (5) any potential concerns related to the security of FNPPs; and
- (6) any other recommendations deemed relevant.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. JACKSON OF TEXAS

At the appropriate place in subtitle A of title XII,
insert the following:

1 SEC. 12____. MODIFICATIONS TO IRREGULAR WARFARE
2 CENTER AND REGIONAL DEFENSE FELLOW-
3 SHIP PROGRAM.

4 Section 345 of title 10, United States Code, is
5 amended as follows:

6 (1) In the matter preceding subparagraph (A)
7 of subsection (a)(1), by striking “may” and insert-
8 ing “shall”.

9 (2) In subsection (c)(4)(B), by striking “The
10 Director of the Defense Security Cooperation Agen-
11 cy” and inserting “The Commander of United
12 States Special Operations Command, reporting di-
13 rectly to the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Spe-
14 cial Operations and Low Intensity Conflict,”.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: MR. DESJARLAIS

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Battery Supply Chain Research and Security

The committee recognizes the efforts of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Industrial Base Policy to enhance rapid manufacturing and prototyping capacity, reduce dependence on foreign suppliers, and mitigate potential risks associated with adversarial nations within the U.S. defense industrial base. The committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Industrial Base Policy to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than January 20, 2026, on efforts to enhance the resilience of the United States' lithium-ion battery manufacturing and rapid prototyping capacity for defense and other national security purposes, reduce dependence on foreign suppliers, and mitigate potential risks associated with adversarial nations. The briefing shall include:

- (1) the potential risks and vulnerabilities within the United States' lithium-ion battery manufacturing supply chain;
- (2) methods needed to enhance the resilience of the United States' lithium battery supply chain, reduce dependence on foreign suppliers and mitigate potential risks associated with adversarial nations;
- (3) the current security protocols and clearance procedures for foreign-owned battery producers that are currently operating in the United States, which may interact with the Department of Defense; and
- (4) the feasibility of the creation of a foundry that is capable of rapidly prototyping innovative battery technologies and applications for defense-related programs.

The foundry concept to be considered shall include:

- (a) the ability and feasibility to drive commercialization of innovative technologies within the lithium-ion battery sector, with a production capacity of 100-300 MWh, and a technical scope that spans materials processing, electrode production, cell assembly, final formation, pack design and manufacturing, and product prototyping up to Low Rate of Initial Production;
- (b) the ability to surge production of standardized batteries at the request of the Department of Defense; and
- (c) the feasibility of the establishment of a foundry that fosters collaborative partnerships between the private sector, U.S. national laboratories, and foreign partners investing in the United States to support domestic manufacturing and innovation.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. DELUZIO OF PENNSYLVANIA

At the appropriate place in title VIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 8____. ELIMINATION OF LATE COST AND PRICING**
2 **DATA SUBMISSION DEFENSE.**

3 Section 3706(c) of title 10, United States Code, is
4 amended—

5 (1) in paragraph (3) by striking “or” at the
6 end;

7 (2) in paragraph (4) by striking the period and
8 inserting “; or”; and

9 (3) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(5) updates to cost or pricing data submitted
11 by the prime contractor or subcontractor after the
12 date of agreement on the price of the contract (or
13 price of the modification) or, if applicable and if con-
14 sistent with subsection (a)(2), such other date
15 agreed upon between the parties, were based on data
16 that was more than 30 days old.”.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. JACOBS OF CALIFORNIA

At the appropriate place in title VII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 7____. FERTILITY TREATMENT FOR CERTAIN MEM-**
2 **BERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND DEPEND-**
3 **ENTS.**

4 (a) FERTILITY TREATMENT.—Chapter 55 of title 10,
5 United States Code, is amended by inserting after section
6 1074o the following new section:

7 **“§ 1074p. Fertility treatment for certain active duty**
8 **members of the armed forces and de-**
9 **pendents**

10 “(a) COVERAGE.—The Secretary shall ensure that
11 fertility-related care for a covered member (or a dependent
12 of such a member) shall be covered under TRICARE
13 Prime and TRICARE Select.

14 “(b) IN VITRO FERTILIZATION.—In the case of in
15 vitro fertilization treatment furnished to an individual
16 pursuant to subsection (a)—

17 “(1) three completed oocyte retrievals may be
18 furnished per calendar year; and

1 “(2) single embryo transfers shall be provided
2 unless otherwise medically indicated in accordance
3 with the guidelines of the American Society for Re-
4 productive Medicine.

5 “(c) COST SHARING AND OTHER LIMITATIONS.—The
6 Secretary shall ensure that cost-sharing amounts for an
7 individual who receives fertility-related care under this
8 section are determined under section 1075, 1075a, or
9 other applicable provision of this chapter in accordance
10 with the kind of care provided (such as an in-network in-
11 patient visit) and without regard to whether the care is
12 fertility-related care. The Secretary may not impose any
13 waiting periods or other limitations once the individual has
14 received a medical diagnosis of infertility.

15 “(d) PROHIBITIONS.—Funds available to the Depart-
16 ment of Defense may not be used for preimplantation ge-
17 netic screening, human cloning, international surrogacy,
18 or artificial womb technology.

19 “(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

20 “(1) The term ‘covered member’ means—

21 “(A) a member of the Army, Navy, Marine
22 Corps, Air Force, or Space Force, serving on
23 active duty; and

24 “(B) does not include a former member of
25 the armed forces.

1 “(2) The term ‘infertility’ means a disease, con-
2 dition, or status characterized by—

3 “(A) the failure to establish a pregnancy
4 or to carry a pregnancy to live birth after reg-
5 ular, unprotected sexual intercourse in accord-
6 ance with the guidelines of the American Soci-
7 ety for Reproductive Medicine;

8 “(B) the inability of an individual to repro-
9 duce without medical intervention either as a
10 single individual or with the partner of the indi-
11 vidual; or

12 “(C) the findings of a licensed physician
13 based on the medical, sexual, and reproductive
14 history, age, physical findings, or diagnostic
15 testing, of the individual.

16 “(3) The term ‘fertility-related care’ means—

17 “(A) the diagnosis of infertility; and

18 “(B) fertility treatment.

19 “(4) The term ‘fertility treatment’ includes the
20 following:

21 “(A) In vitro fertilization or other treat-
22 ments or procedures in which human oocytes,
23 embryos, or sperm are handled when clinically
24 appropriate.

25 “(B) Sperm retrieval.

1 “(C) Egg retrieval.

2 “(D) Preservation of human oocytes, em-
3 bryos, or sperm.

4 “(E) Artificial insemination, including
5 intravaginal insemination, intracervical insemi-
6 nation, and intrauterine insemination.

7 “(F) Transfer of reproductive genetic ma-
8 terial.

9 “(G) Medications as prescribed or nec-
10 essary for fertility.

11 “(H) Fertility treatment coordination.

12 “(I) Such other information, referrals,
13 treatments, procedures, testing, medications,
14 laboratory services, technologies, and services
15 facilitating reproduction as determined appro-
16 priate by the Secretary of Defense.”.

17 (b) PROGRAM ON FERTILITY TREATMENT COORDI-
18 NATION.—Chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, is
19 amended by adding at the end the following new section:

20 **“§ 1110c. Program on fertility-related care coordina-**
21 **tion**

22 “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall
23 establish a program on the coordination of fertility-related
24 care by the Secretary for purposes of ensuring patients
25 receive timely fertility-related care.

1 “(b) TRAINING AND SUPPORT.—In carrying out the
2 program established under subsection (a), the Secretary
3 shall provide to community health care providers training
4 and support with respect to the unique needs of members
5 of the armed forces and the dependents of such mem-
6 bers.”.

7 (c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1079(a) of
8 title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the
9 end the following new paragraph:

10 “(21) Fertility-related care shall be provided in
11 accordance with section 1074p of this title.”.

12 (d) EXCLUSION FROM CONTRACTS FOR FORMER
13 MEMBERS AND THEIR DEPENDENTS.—Section 1086(a)
14 of such title is amended by striking “eye examinations
15 and” and inserting “eye examinations, fertility-related
16 care pursuant to paragraph (21) of such section, and”.

17 (e) APPLICATION.—The amendments made by this
18 section shall apply with respect to services provided on or
19 after October 1, 2027.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. GOODEN OF TEXAS

At the appropriate place in title XVI, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 16____. MODIFICATIONS TO AND CODIFICATION OF**
2 **THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INSIDER**
3 **THREAT PROGRAM.**

4 (a) CODIFICATION OF EXISTING PROGRAM.—

5 (1) TRANSFER TO TITLE 10.—Chapter 131 of
6 title 10, United States Code, is amended by insert-
7 ing after section 2224a a new section 2225 con-
8 sisting of—

9 (A) a heading as follows:

10 **“§ 2225. Insider threat detection”; and**

11 (B) a text consisting of the text of sub-
12 sections (a) and (b) of section 922 of the Na-
13 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
14 Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 10 U.S.C.
15 2224 note).

16 (2) REPEAL OF EXISTING PROVISION.—Section
17 922 of the National Defense Authorization Act for
18 Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 10 U.S.C.
19 2224 note) is repealed.

1 (b) MODIFICATIONS.—Section 2225 of title 10,
2 United States Code, as added by subsection (a) of this
3 section, is amended—

4 (1) in subsection (b)—

5 (A) in the heading, by striking “ELE-
6 MENTS” and inserting “REQUIRED ELE-
7 MENTS”; and

8 (B) in paragraph (1)—

9 (i) by striking subparagraphs (C),
10 (D), (E), and (F);

11 (ii) by redesignating subparagraphs
12 (A) and (B) as subparagraphs (B) and
13 (C), respectively;

14 (iii) by inserting before subparagraph
15 (B) (as so redesignated) the following new
16 subparagraph:

17 “(A) user activity monitoring in accord-
18 ance with the Committee on National Security
19 Systems Directive 504, issued February 4,
20 2014, or any successor directive;”.

21 (iv) in subparagraph (C), as redesign-
22 ated by clause (ii) of this subparagraph,
23 by striking the semicolon and inserting “;
24 and”; and

1 (v) by redesignating subparagraph (G)
2 as subparagraph (D); and

3 (2) by adding at the end of the following new
4 subsections:

5 “(c) ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS.—The Secretary may
6 include additional elements in the program established
7 under subsection (a), including—

8 “(1) solutions and capabilities to prevent the
9 unauthorized export of information from a network
10 or to render such information unusable in the event
11 of the unauthorized export of such information;

12 “(2) using a roles-based access certification sys-
13 tem;

14 “(3) cross-domain solutions adhering to the
15 Raise the Bar strategy of the Cross Domain Strat-
16 egy and Management Office of the National Security
17 Agency or any successor strategy;

18 “(4) analytic solutions to detect anomalous user
19 activity and triage user activity monitoring alerts to
20 elevate the highest risk events for immediate review;

21 “(5) case management solutions to minimize
22 disclosure risk, orchestrate effective response, and
23 ensure appropriate governance; and

24 “(6) full-motion video screen recording and
25 deep context.

1 “(d) APPLICABILITY.—The program established
2 under subsection (a) shall apply to networks and enclaves
3 used by—

4 “(1) civilian personnel of the Department of
5 Defense;

6 “(2) privileged users;

7 “(3) members of the armed forces; and

8 “(4) contractors to the Department of Defense
9 that have access to classified, controlled unclassified,
10 or personally identifiable information in furtherance
11 of work on behalf of the Department.”.

12 (c) OPERATING CAPABILITY.—The Secretary of De-
13 fense shall ensure the program established under 2225 of
14 title 10, United States Code, as added and amended by
15 subsections (a) and (b) of this section—

16 (1) achieves initial operating capability not later
17 than October 1, 2027; and

18 (2) achieves full operating capability not later
19 than October 1, 2028.

20 (d) REPORTS.—

21 (1) PLAN FOR IMPLEMENTATION.—Before the
22 Secretary implements section 2225 of title 10,
23 United States Code, as added and amended by sub-
24 sections (a) and (b) of this section, the Secretary

1 shall submit to the congressional defense commit-
2 tees—

3 (A) a written notification that describes
4 the personnel of the Department affected by the
5 implementation;

6 (B) a plan to implement such section;

7 (C) an identification of the resources re-
8 quired to implement such section;

9 (D) an identification of any legal or tech-
10 nical concerns that may need to be addressed
11 prior to implementation; and

12 (E) and any other issues related to such
13 implementation that the Secretary considers ap-
14 propriate.

15 (2) INITIAL OPERATING CAPABILITY.—Not later
16 than December 1, 2027, the Secretary shall submit
17 to the congressional defense committees a report on
18 the implementation of section 2225 of title 10,
19 United States Code, including the resources required
20 and planned expenditures to achieve full operating
21 capability not later than October 1, 2028.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. HAMADEH OF ARIZONA

At the appropriate place in title XVII, insert the following:

1 SEC. 17 ____ . TRANSFER OR POSSESSION OF DEFENSE ITEMS
2 FOR NATIONAL DEFENSE PURPOSES.

3 (a) TRANSFER AND POSSESSION EXCEPTIONS.—Sec-
4 tion 922(o)(2) of title 18, United States Code, is amend-
5 ed—

6 (1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “or by”
7 and inserting “, by, or under the authority of”;

8 (2) in subparagraph (A), by striking “or” at
9 the end;

10 (3) in subparagraph (B), by striking the period
11 at the end and inserting “; or” ; and

12 (4) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the fol-
13 lowing new subparagraph:

14 “(C) a transfer to, or possession by, a li-
15 censed manufacturer or licensed importer (if,
16 with respect to a transfer, such transfer has
17 been approved by the Attorney General in ac-
18 cordance with law) for purposes of—

1 “(i) joint production of a weapon, or
2 integration or incorporation into another
3 article or device;

4 “(ii) calibration, testing, or research
5 and development;

6 “(iii) permanent or temporary export,
7 or temporary import, otherwise in accord-
8 ance with law; or

9 “(iv) training of Department of De-
10 fense personnel and Federal, State, local,
11 or friendly foreign government military
12 and law enforcement personnel.”.

13 (b) IMPORTATION REQUIREMENTS.—Section 925(d)
14 of such title is amended—

15 (1) in paragraph (3)—

16 (A) by inserting “except as provided in
17 paragraph (5),” before “is of”; and

18 (B) by striking “or” at the end;

19 (2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at
20 the end and inserting “; or”; and

21 (3) by inserting after paragraph (4) the fol-
22 lowing new paragraph:

23 “(5) is being imported or brought in by a li-
24 censed manufacturer or licensed importer in con-

1 formity with, and solely for a purpose described in
2 subparagraphs (A) or (C) of section 922(o)(2).”.

3 (c) REPORT.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year
5 after the effective date of this section, the Secretary
6 of Defense shall—

7 (A) conduct a survey of defense contrac-
8 tors who have used or intend to use a covered
9 exception; and

10 (B) submit to the Committees on Armed
11 Services of the Senate and the House of Rep-
12 resentatives a report describing the results of
13 such survey.

14 (2) ELEMENTS.—The report submitted under
15 paragraph (1) shall include the following:

16 (A) The number of contractors that used a
17 covered exception during the period covered by
18 the report.

19 (B) Any issues identified by the Depart-
20 ment of Defense or such contractors with the
21 implementation of such covered exception.

22 (C) Any recommendations for changes to
23 statutes or regulations to implement covered ex-
24 ceptions.

1 (3) COVERED EXCEPTION DEFINED.—In this
2 subsection, the term “covered exception” means an
3 exception provided under—

4 (A) subparagraph (C) of section 922(o)(2)
5 of title 18, United States Code, as added by
6 this section; or

7 (B) paragraph (5) of section 922(d) of
8 such title, as added by this section.

9 (d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section and the amend-
10 ments made by this section shall take effect 30 days after
11 the date of the enactment of this Act.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. JACKSON OF TEXAS

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following:

1 SEC. 3 ____ . DEPOT-LEVEL MAINTENANCE COORDINATION
2 IN MULTINATIONAL EXERCISES.

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Each year, the Secretary of the
4 Air Force shall incorporate in at least one multinational
5 exercise conducted in the area of operations of the United
6 States Indo-Pacific Command—

7 (1) depot-level maintenance, repair, and
8 sustainment considerations, including binational or
9 multinational planning sessions with covered nations
10 on—

11 (A) identifying opportunities to cooperate
12 on depot-level maintenance and repair in ways
13 that minimize transportation requirements in
14 such area of operations and determining the au-
15 thorities necessary to deliver the necessary joint
16 capabilities;

17 (B) facilitating real-time coordination be-
18 tween the United States and covered nations to

1 maintain munitions stock levels and resupply
2 routes in the such area of operations;

3 (C) mutual recognition of airworthiness
4 and maintenance certification between the
5 United States and covered nations; and

6 (D) emergency tabletop exercises, such as
7 when an aircraft of a covered nation breaks
8 down on United States territory, and vice versa,
9 in a contested logistics environment.

10 (2) coordination with the Air Force
11 Sustainment Center, including the participation of
12 representatives of—

13 (A) the United States Indo-Pacific Com-
14 mand;

15 (B) United States Air Force Pacific;

16 (C) United States Air Mobility Command;
17 and

18 (D) the Air Force Sustainment Center.

19 (b) REPORT.—Not later than 12 months after the
20 date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the
21 Air Force shall submit to Congress a report summarizing
22 the lessons learned from carrying out an exercise in ac-
23 cordance with subsection (a) with respect to the Republic
24 of Korea and the Commonwealth of Australia. Such report
25 shall include each of the following:

1 (1) A list of candidate systems for co-
2 sustainment with Korea and Australia.

3 (2) A list of depot-level repair workload oppor-
4 tunities to undertake with Korea and Australia, in-
5 cluding testing equipment or line replaceable units.

6 (3) Opportunities to incorporate Korean and
7 Australian industry partners in depot-level mainte-
8 nance repair activities, including through public-pri-
9 vate partnerships.

10 (4) An identification of any potential logistical
11 challenges that could arise with the host country, in-
12 cluding with respect to workforce, housing, and loca-
13 tion of workload.

14 (5) An identification of any potential impedi-
15 ments involving intellectual property or data rights
16 between original equipment manufacturers and the
17 Department of the Air Force or between the Depart-
18 ment of the Air Force and named partner countries.

19 (6) An identification of any potential impedi-
20 ments related to International Traffic in Arms Reg-
21 ulations and related statutes.

22 (7) Any additional recommendations to Con-
23 gress that would ease the facilitation of depot-level
24 maintenance repair partnerships with Korea and

1 Australia, including changes to existing status of
2 forces agreements.

3 (8) An analysis of current maintenance and re-
4 pair capabilities and gaps in the organic industrial
5 base of Korea and Australia.

6 (9) An assessment of the types of maintenance
7 and repair activities (depot-level, preventative, cor-
8 rective) that may be most appropriate for partner-
9 ship with Korea and Australia.

10 (10) An assessment of how partnerships may
11 contribute to allied contingency operations, inter-
12 operability, and regional posture resilience in the
13 Indo-Pacific region.

14 (11) A consideration of planning factors related
15 to the Air Force's evolving force generation models,
16 future-generation aircraft programs, deployment
17 schedules, statutory maintenance thresholds, and
18 other relevant operational requirements.

19 (c) COVERED NATION DEFINED.—In this section, the
20 term “covered nation” means any of the following:

21 (1) The Commonwealth of Australia.

22 (2) Canada.

23 (3) Japan.

24 (4) New Zealand.

25 (5) The Republic of Korea.

1 (6) The United Kingdom of Great Britain and
2 Northern Ireland.

3 (7) Any other nation as designated as a covered
4 nation for the purposes of this section by the Sec-
5 retary of the Air Force.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. STRICKLAND OF WASHINGTON

At the appropriate place in title VII, insert the following:

1 SEC. 7 ____ . PILOT PROGRAM TO MAKE MIDWIFE SERVICES
2 AVAILABLE THROUGH TRICARE TO CERTAIN
3 INDIVIDUALS.

4 (a) PILOT PROGRAM.—Not later than one year after
5 the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of
6 Defense shall begin a five-year pilot program to provide
7 services from covered midwives to covered individuals
8 under the TRICARE program.

9 (b) AUTHORITY TO MAKE PERMANENT.—If the Sec-
10 retary determines, after the termination of the pilot pro-
11 gram that such pilot program was successful, the Sec-
12 retary may prescribe such regulations to establish a per-
13 manent program to make services from covered midwives
14 available to covered individuals as the Secretary deter-
15 mines appropriate.

16 (c) REPORTS.—

17 (1) IMPLEMENTATION REPORT.—Not later than
18 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act,
19 the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on

1 Armed Services of the House of Representatives and
2 the Senate a plan to implement the pilot program
3 under subsection (a).

4 (2) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than one year
5 after the date on which the pilot program begins and
6 annually thereafter until the date that is 1 year
7 after the termination of the pilot program, the Sec-
8 retary shall submit to the Committees on Armed
9 Services of the House of Representatives and the
10 Senate a report including:

11 (A) The total cost of the pilot program and
12 the cost per covered individual who received
13 care under the pilot program.

14 (B) The total number of covered individual
15 who received care under the pilot program.

16 (C) The race, ethnicity, age, sex, relation-
17 ship status, Armed Force, military occupation,
18 and rank, as applicable, of covered individual
19 who received care under the pilot program.

20 (D) An assessment of the effects of the
21 pilot program on quality of care, including—

22 (i) on maternal and fetal outcomes;

23 and

24 (ii) on the number of pre-term births,
25 low-weight births, and rates of caesarean

1 section, and such other data points as the
2 Secretary determines appropriate.

3 (E) An assessment of patient satisfaction
4 of covered individuals who received care
5 through the pilot program.

6 (F) An assessment of access to maternity
7 and labor and delivery care for covered individ-
8 uals, including average wait time for an initial
9 appointment and average travel time to the pro-
10 vider.

11 (G) An assessment of the effectiveness of
12 the pilot program.

13 (H) Recommendations for adjustments to
14 the pilot program.

15 (I) The estimated cost savings as a result
16 of improved maternal and fetal health outcomes
17 due to the pilot program.

18 (J) The Secretary of Defense's rec-
19 ommendations relating to changes to the pilot
20 program, an extension of the pilot program, and
21 whether the pilot program should be expanded
22 made permanent.

23 (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

24 (1) The terms “covered individual” means a
25 member serving in the Army, Navy, Air Force, Ma-

1 rine Corps, or Space Force, or a dependent of such
2 a member.

3 (2) The term “covered midwife” means a cer-
4 tified professional midwife or certified midwife who
5 meets—

6 (A) the international definition of a mid-
7 wife, and global standards for midwifery edu-
8 cation, established by the International Confed-
9 eration of Midwives, and

10 (B) any professional credentialing require-
11 ment required to practice midwifery under ap-
12 plicable State law.

13 (3) The term “TRICARE program” has the
14 meaning given such term in section 1072 of title 10,
15 United States Code.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838

OFFERED BY MR. MESSMER OF INDIANA

At the appropriate place in title II, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 2____. REIMBURSEMENT OF NATIONAL GUARD FOR RE-**
2 **SEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUA-**
3 **TION EXPENSES.**

(a) AVAILABILITY.—Amounts authorized to be appropriated after the date of the enactment of this Act for the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation shall be available for reimbursement of pay, allowances, and other expenses which would otherwise be incurred against appropriations for the reserve components of the Armed Forces, including the National Guard, in cases in which members of the such reserve components provide support to research, development, test, and evaluation projects in which their involvement furthers the project because of a member's or unit's availability, qualifications, experience, or education.

16 (b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this sec-
17 tion shall be construed—

18 (1) to authorize a deviation from established
19 personnel and training procedures of the reserve

1 components of the Armed Forces, including the Na-
2 tional Guard; or
3 (2) to authorize the direct engagement of mem-
4 bers or units of such components to conduct inde-
5 pendent research, development, test and evaluation
6 projects.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Ms. Goodlander

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Childcare for Acquisitions Workforce

The committee recognizes the need for greater workforce recruitment and retention efforts to support revitalization of the U.S. Defense Industrial Base, especially for critical acquisition programs in areas such as shipbuilding and nuclear modernization. The committee is aware that securing affordable, accessible, reliable, and high-quality childcare can be a significant challenge for many contractor employees performing on Department of Defense (DoD) contracts.

Therefore, the committee encourages the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment to develop incentives for DoD contractors to assist employees with childcare solutions that are responsive to the needs of workers and are reflective of regional market dynamics. The committee notes that access to childcare during extended hours is often a particular concern for many employees performing on mission-critical contracts.

Accordingly, the committee encourages the Under Secretary to work with contractors in collaboration with community stakeholders, including state and local governments and local groups with expertise in administering childcare, to create effective solutions. The committee directs the Under Secretary to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than February 1, 2026, on efforts to incentivize contractors to address these concerns. The briefing should include recommendations for any changes to law or regulation that would be necessary to better enable childcare access to employees of defense contractors.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. TOKUDA OF HAWAII

At the appropriate place in title X, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 10 ____ . LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS TO RETIRE OR**
2 **DECOMMISSION NAVY OCEANOGRAPHIC RE-**
3 **SEARCH VESSELS.**

4 The Secretary of the Navy may not retire or decom-
5 mission an oceanographic research vessel, or otherwise re-
6 duce the number of such vessels maintained by the Navy
7 to fewer than six, before the date on which the Secretary
8 submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Sen-
9 ate and House of Representatives a plan to provide for
10 the maintenance and recapitalization of the oceanographic
11 research fleet.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Ms. Tokuda

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Comptroller General Review of Costs at Guantanamo

The committee has an oversight interest in the utilization of the military base at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, for immigration enforcement purposes. To better understand the impact of these operations on the Department of Defense, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to review the cost to the Department of transporting and housing potentially removable foreign nationals from the continental United States at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, since fiscal year 2025. This review should include, but is not limited to, the following:

- (1) the costs to the Department of temporary additional housing suitable for extreme weather conditions and essential services, such as food, medical care, language interpretation, communication, utilities and other infrastructure, and access to legal services;
- (2) the costs that are borne not by the Department, but by other federal agencies;
- (3) an assessment of the impacts of military support, if any, on unit readiness and broader military readiness rebuilding efforts;
- (4) any national security impacts by allowing such use; and
- (5) a comparison of prior uses of Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, for migrant housing and processing.

The committee further directs the Comptroller General to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services no later than January 31, 2026, with the results of the review to follow by March 1, 2026.

Amendment to H.R.3838

Offered by: Mr. Messmer of Indiana

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Future of X-Band Radar

The committee understands that the proliferation of anti-ship cruise missiles, combined with complex air and missile raids, poses a serious threat to Navy surface combatants. AN/SPQ-9B surface track radar, the legacy mechanical-scanning, was fielded in 2002 and will be replaced on the ship mast by a solid-state Future X-Band Radar (FXR), designed to address advanced threats.

The Navy began to invest in FXR in 2018 utilizing Spectrum Relocation Funds, and completed a full-scale competitive radar demonstration in 2025, but continued delays threaten timely fielding of capability, with Initial Operational Capability of the first FXR now delayed to 2035. However, Spectrum Relocation Funds for FXR expires in 2041, and continued Navy delays put this unique funding source at risk.

Therefore, the committee directs the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development, and Acquisition to, no later than March 1, 2026, provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services on plans to accelerate acquisition of the FXR. The briefing should include the following:

- (1) Information on contract schedule and efforts to support low-rate initial production before 2030;
- (2) Potential use of innovative acquisition pathways including Other Transaction Authority that avoid the expiration of Spectrum Relocation funds; and
- (3) Expected delivery schedules for FXR and any efforts to support fielding before 2035.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. STEFANIK OF NEW YORK

At the appropriate place in title XVII, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 17 ____ . EVALUATION OF RISKS POSED BY COMMUNICA-**
2 **TIONS EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES PRO-**
3 **DUCED BY FOREIGN ADVERSARY ENTITIES.**

4 Section 1709 of the National Defense Authorization
5 Act for Fiscal Year 2025 (Public Law 118–159; 47 U.S.C.
6 1601 note) is amended—

7 (1) in subsection (a), in the subsection heading,
8 by striking “TO COVERED LIST” and inserting
9 “PRODUCED BY DJI TECHNOLOGIES OR AUTEL RO-
10 BOTICS”;

11 (2) by redesignating subsections (b), (c), and
12 (d) as subsections (c), (d), and (e), respectively;

13 (3) by inserting after subsection (a) the fol-
14 lowing new subsection:

15 “(b) EVALUATION OF UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYS-
16 TEMS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES PRO-
17 DUCED BY FOREIGN ADVERSARY ENTITIES.—

18 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year
19 after the date of the enactment of the National De-

1 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2026, an ap-
2 propriate national security agency shall determine if
3 any of the following communications equipment or
4 services, including software, pose an unacceptable
5 risk to the national security of the United States or
6 the security and safety of United States persons:

7 “(A) Unmanned aircraft systems that are
8 designed, developed, manufactured, or supplied
9 by any person owned by, controlled by, or sub-
10 ject to the jurisdiction or direction of a foreign
11 adversary.

12 “(B) Unmanned aircraft systems with inte-
13 grated software provided by any person owned
14 by, controlled by, or subject to the jurisdiction
15 or direction of a foreign adversary.

16 “(C) Equipment that uses spectrum in the
17 5030–5091 MHz band, governed by part 88 of
18 title 47, Code of Federal Regulations (or suc-
19 cessor regulations), that is designed, developed,
20 manufactured, or supplied by any person owned
21 by, controlled by, or subject to the jurisdiction
22 or direction of a foreign adversary.

23 “(2) ADDITION TO THE COVERED LIST.—If the
24 appropriate national security agency does not make
25 a determination as required by paragraph (1) by the

1 date that is one year after the date of the enactment
2 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
3 Year 2026, the Commission shall add all equipment
4 and services listed in paragraph (1) to the covered
5 list.”;

6 (4) in subsection (c), as redesignated by para-
7 graph (2), by inserting “or (b)(1)” after “subsection
8 (a)(1)” each place it appears;

9 (5) in subsection (d), as so redesignated, by
10 adding at the end the following:

11 “(6) The term ‘unmanned aircraft system’ has
12 the meaning given that term in section 44801 of
13 title 49, United States Code.

14 “(7) The term ‘foreign adversary’—

15 “(A) means a foreign adversary (as such
16 term is defined in section 8(c) of the Secure
17 and Trusted Communications Networks Act of
18 2019 (47 U.S.C. 1607(c))); and

19 “(B) includes any person owned by, con-
20 trolled by, or subject to the jurisdiction or di-
21 rection of a foreign adversary.

22 “(8) The term ‘person owned by, controlled by,
23 or subject to the jurisdiction or direction of a foreign
24 adversary’ has the meaning given such term in sec-

1 tion 791.301 of title 15, Code of Federal Regula-
2 tions, or any such successor regulation.”; and
3 (6) in subsection (e), as so redesignated, by
4 striking “subsection (b)(1)(A)” and inserting “sub-
5 section (c)(1)(A)”.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. HAMADEH OF ARIZONA

At the appropriate place in title X, insert the following:

1 SEC. 10 ____ . AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER T-37 AIRCRAFT TO
2 ARIZONA AVIATION HISTORICAL GROUP.

3 (a) TRANSFER OF AUTHORITY T-37.—The Secretary
4 of the Air Force may convey, without consideration, to the
5 Arizona Aviation Historical Group, Phoenix, Arizona (in
6 this section referred to as the “foundation”), all right,
7 title, and interest of the United States in and to five re-
8 tired T-37B Trainer Aircraft. A conveyance under this
9 section shall be made by means of a conditional deed of
10 gift.

11 (b) CONDITIONS OF TRANSFER.—A conveyance au-
12 thorized under subsection (a) shall be subject to the fol-
13 lowing conditions:

14 (1) Prior to conveyance, all military specific or
15 unique equipment, as determined by the Secretary,
16 on the aircraft shall be removed.

17 (2) The Secretary is not required to—

18 (A) repair or alter the condition of the air-
19 craft before conveying ownership; or

1 (B) guarantee or ensure the airworthiness
2 of any conveyed aircraft.

3 (3) The Secretary shall determine which air-
4 craft to convey.

5 (c) CONDITION OF PROPERTY.—Any aircraft con-
6 veyed under this section shall be conveyed in “as is” condi-
7 tion. The Secretary shall make no representation or war-
8 ranty concerning the condition, fitness for any particular
9 purpose, or compliance with any laws or regulations of
10 such aircraft.

11 (d) REVERTER UPON BREACH OF CONDITIONS.—
12 The Secretary shall include in an instrument of convey-
13 ance for an aircraft conveyed under this section—

14 (1) a condition that the foundation does not
15 convey any ownership interest in, or transfer posses-
16 sion of, the aircraft to another party without the
17 prior approval of the Secretary;

18 (2) a condition that the foundation operate and
19 maintain the aircraft in compliance with all applica-
20 ble limitations and maintenance requirements im-
21 posed by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation
22 Administration; and

23 (3) a condition that if the Secretary determines
24 at any time that the foundation has violated a condi-
25 tion under paragraph (1) or (2), all right, title, and

1 interest in and to the aircraft, including any repair
2 or alteration of the aircraft, shall revert to the
3 United States, and the United States shall have the
4 right of immediate possession of the aircraft.

5 (e) CONVEYANCE AT NO COST TO THE UNITED
6 STATES.—Any conveyance of an aircraft authorized by
7 this section shall be made at no cost to the United States.
8 Any costs associated with such a conveyance, including the
9 costs of inspection or removal of equipment prior to con-
10 veyance, the cost of determining compliance with the re-
11 quirements of this section and any instrument of convey-
12 ance made pursuant to this section, and the costs of the
13 operation, sustainment, transportation, ground support
14 equipment, and disposal of any aircraft conveyed under
15 this section shall be borne by the foundation.

16 (f) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Sec-
17 retary may require such additional terms and conditions
18 in connection with a conveyance made under this section
19 as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the inter-
20 ests of the United States.

21 (g) CLARIFICATION OF LIABILITY.—Notwithstanding
22 any other provision of law, upon the conveyance of owner-
23 ship of the T-37B Trainers to the foundation under sub-
24 section (a), the United States shall not be liable for any
25 death, injury, loss, or damage that results from any use

4

1 of that aircraft by any person other than the United
2 States.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Ms. Jacobs

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Human Authorization of Use-of-force Decisions in Autonomous and Semi-autonomous Weapon Systems

The committee recognizes that the rapid fielding of autonomous and semi-autonomous weapon systems could affect the requirement for a human decision-maker to authorize every use of force. Clear policy, effective training, and thorough oversight ensure the use of automation remains subject to the legal and ethical responsibilities and accountability inherent within the chain of command.

The committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, in coordination with the Chief Digital and Artificial Intelligence Officer, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by December 31, 2025, on the following:

- (1) an overview of current Department policies, procedures, and guidelines that ensure humans authorize each use of force in autonomous and semi-autonomous systems;
- (2) identification of challenges or gaps in maintaining human accountability as autonomous capabilities expand;
- (3) a description of steps being taken to address such gaps, including training, doctrine, or policy adjustments; and
- (4) additional recommendations or considerations to ensure clarity and accountability in decision-making roles involving autonomous systems.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. SHERRILL OF NEW JERSEY

At the appropriate place in title VIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 8 ____ . PROHIBITION ON CONTRACTING WITH ENTITIES**
2 **WITH SEGREGATED FACILITIES.**

3 Chapter 363 of title 10, United States Code, is
4 amended by adding at the end the following new section:

5 **“§ 4664. Prohibition on contracting with entities with**
6 **segregated facilities**

7 “Each contract, including a subcontract (at any tier)
8 under such a contract, entered into by the Secretary of
9 Defense on or after the date of the enactment of this sec-
10 tion shall include a provision requiring that each con-
11 tractor follow all Federal laws, including title II of the
12 Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000a et seq.), which
13 prohibit segregated facilities.”.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. JACOBS OF CALIFORNIA

At the appropriate place in title V, insert the following new section:

1 SEC. 5 ____ . PILOT PROGRAM TO INCREASE PAYMENTS FOR
2 CHILD CARE SERVICES IN HIGH-COST AREAS.

3 Section 1798 of title 10, United States Code, is
4 amended by adding at the end the following new sub-
5 section:

6 “(d) PILOT PROGRAM FOR INCREASED PAYMENTS IN
7 HIGH-COST AREAS.—(1) Beginning on January 1, 2027,
8 the Secretary shall establish a pilot program to increase
9 the maximum amount of financial assistance per month
10 per child that the Secretary authorizes to be provided to
11 eligible providers under this section as of December 31,
12 2026, by 30 percent for services provided to children who
13 are two years old or younger in accordance with this sub-
14 section.

15 “(2) The Secretary—

16 “(A) shall provide for an increased maximum
17 amount of financial assistance under the pilot pro-
18 gram established under this subsection in each area

1 with high child care services costs, as determined by
2 the Secretary; and

3 “(B) may provide for such increased maximum
4 amount of financial assistance in other areas as the
5 Secretary considers appropriate.

6 “(3) Not later than one year after the establishment
7 of the pilot program under this subsection, and semiannu-
8 ally thereafter until the date of the termination of the pilot
9 program, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional
10 defense committees a report on the pilot program that in-
11 cludes—

12 “(A) the number of families with respect to
13 whom the Secretary has increased the maximum
14 amount of financial assistance per month per child
15 being provided under the pilot program,
16 disaggregated by location;

17 “(B) the total amount of financial assistance
18 provided under the pilot program with respect to
19 such families, disaggregated by location;

20 “(C) the total amount of financial assistance
21 that would have been provided with respect to such
22 families without the increase under the pilot pro-
23 gram, disaggregated by location;

1 “(D) the determination of the Secretary as to
2 whether additional funding under the pilot pro-
3 gram—

4 “(i) helped reduce child care costs for ap-
5 plicable military families;

6 “(ii) increased child care provider partici-
7 pation in the financial assistance available
8 under this section; and

9 “(iii) increased access to infant and toddler
10 care for military families;

11 “(E) the determination of the Secretary with
12 respect to the feasibility of expanding the pilot pro-
13 gram to all communities;

14 “(F) any challenges identified by the Secretary
15 in carrying out the pilot program;

16 “(G) legislation or administrative action that
17 the Secretary determines necessary to make the pilot
18 program permanent; and

19 “(H) any other information the Secretary deter-
20 mines appropriate.

21 “(4) Not later than 90 days after the date of the ter-
22 mination of the pilot program, the Secretary shall submit
23 to the congressional defense committees a report that in-
24 cludes—

1 “(A) the elements specified in subparagraphs
2 (A) through (H) of paragraph (3); and
3 “(B) the recommendation of the Secretary as to
4 whether to make the pilot program permanent.
5 “(5) The pilot program established under this sub-
6 section shall terminate on the date that is five years after
7 the date on which such program is established.”.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. JACOBS OF CALIFORNIA

At the appropriate place in title V, insert the following new section:

1 SEC. 5 ____ . PILOT PROGRAM TO INCREASE PAYMENTS FOR
2 CHILD CARE SERVICES IN HIGH-COST AREAS.

3 Section 1798 of title 10, United States Code, is
4 amended—

5 (1) by redesignating subsection (c) as sub-
6 section (d); and

7 (2) by inserting after subsection (b) the fol-
8 lowing new subsection:

9 “(c) PILOT PROGRAM FOR GRANTS TO INCREASE IN-
10 FANT AND TODDLER CAPACITY IN HIGH-COST AREAS.—

11 (1) The Secretary of Defense may establish a pilot pro-
12 gram to provide grants to eligible providers seeking to ex-
13 pand the capacity of such providers to provide care for
14 infants and toddlers.

15 “(2) A grant awarded under the pilot program estab-
16 lished under paragraph (1) shall—

17 “(A) be in an amount determined by the Sec-
18 retary, but in no case more than 75 percent of the

1 estimated cost of the expansion for which the grant
2 is provided; and

3 “(B) require the recipient of a grant to—

4 “(i) make available not less than half of
5 any additional capacity for infants and toddlers
6 to children of members of the armed forces that
7 results from the expansion for which a grant is
8 awarded for the 10-year period that begins on
9 the date on which such expansion is completed;
10 and

11 “(ii) certify that the recipient will not dis-
12 place children enrolled on the date described in
13 clause (i) who are not children of members of
14 the armed forces to meet the requirement of
15 clause (i).

16 “(3) The Secretary—

17 “(A) shall award grants under the pilot pro-
18 gram established under paragraph (1) to not less
19 than 10 eligible providers located in areas with high
20 child care services costs, as determined by the Sec-
21 retary; and

22 “(B) may award grants under the pilot pro-
23 gram established under paragraph (1) to eligible
24 providers located in other areas as the Secretary
25 considers appropriate.

1 “(4) Not later than one year after the establishment
2 of the pilot program under this subsection, and semiannu-
3 ally thereafter until the date of the termination of the pilot
4 program, the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate
5 congressional committees a report on the pilot program
6 that includes—

7 “(A) the number of eligible providers partici-
8 pating in the pilot program, disaggregated by loca-
9 tion;

10 “(B) the number of additional infant and tod-
11 dler enrollments at eligible providers made available
12 under the pilot program, disaggregated by loacation;

13 “(C) the determination of the Secretary as to
14 whether grants provided under the pilot program—

15 “(i) helped reduce child care costs for ap-
16 plicable military families;

17 “(ii) increased child care provider partici-
18 pation in the financial assistance available
19 under this section; and

20 “(iii) increased access to infant and toddler
21 care for military families;

22 “(D) the determination of the Secretary with
23 respect to the feasibility of expanding the pilot pro-
24 gram to all communities;

1 “(E) any challenges identified by the Secretary
2 in carrying out the pilot program;

3 “(F) legislation or administrative action that
4 the Secretary determines necessary to make the pilot
5 program permanent; and

6 “(G) any other information the Secretary deter-
7 mines appropriate.

8 “(5) Not later than 90 days after the date of the ter-
9 mination of the pilot program, the Secretary shall submit
10 to the appropriate congressional committees a report that
11 includes—

12 “(A) the elements specified in subparagraphs
13 (A) through (G) of paragraph (4); and

14 “(B) the recommendation of the Secretary as to
15 whether to make the pilot program permanent.

16 “(6) The pilot program established under this sub-
17 section shall terminate on the date that is five years after
18 the date on which such program is established.

19 “(7) In this subsection, the term ‘appropriate con-
20 gressional committees’ means—

21 “(A) the congressional defense committees;

22 “(B) the Committee on Commerce, Science, and
23 Transportation of the Senate; and

1 “(C) the Committee on Transportation and In-
2 frastructure of the House of Representatives.”.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Wilson

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Defense Industrial Base Integration with Israel

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services on defense industrial base integration between the United States and Israel not later than January 14, 2026. The briefing should include:

- (1) an assessment of existing programs between the United States and Israel, including those related to missile defense systems, munitions, and emerging technologies;
- (2) an evaluation of opportunities to expand joint research, development, testing, evaluation, and co-production between the United States and Israel, particularly in areas such as counter-unmanned systems, directed energy, missile defense, artificial intelligence, autonomy, cybersecurity, and munitions production.
- (3) a review of supply chain elements that create efficiencies or dependencies, including the potential for the defense industrial base of Israel to support United States requirements in times of conflict or increased industrial base demand;
- (4) an assessment of existing policy or regulatory mechanisms to enhance United States-Israel interoperability, reciprocal defense procurement, and streamlined export controls;
- (5) an identification of any legal, regulatory, policy, or technological barriers to further integration of the defense industrial bases of the United States and Israel; and
- (6) any recommendations the Secretary may have for legislative or policy changes to facilitate enhanced United States-Israel industrial cooperation and integration.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. HOULAHAN OF PENNSYLVANIA

At the appropriate place in title V, insert the following new section:

1 SEC. 5 ____ . WOMEN’S INITIATIVE TEAMS.

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 50 of title 10, United
3 States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-
4 lowing new section:

5 “§ 996. Establishment of women’s initiative teams

6 “(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary concerned
7 shall establish a women’s initiative team in each of the
8 Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, and Space Force
9 to identify and address barriers, if any, to the service, re-
10 cruitment, retention, and advancement of women in those
11 armed forces.

12 “(b) DUTIES.—Each women’s initiative team estab-
13 lished under subsection (a) shall—

14 “(1) identify and address issues, if any, that
15 hinder service by women in the armed force in which
16 such team is established;

17 “(2) support the recruitment and retention of
18 women in such armed force;

1 “(3) recommend policy changes that support
2 the needs of women members of such armed force;
3 and

4 “(4) foster a sense of community.

5 “(c) COMPOSITION.—Each women’s initiative team
6 established under subsection (a) shall be composed of
7 members of the armed force in which such team is estab-
8 lished of a variety of ranks, backgrounds, and occupational
9 specialities.

10 “(d) COLLABORATION.—A women’s initiative team
11 established under subsection (a) shall work collaboratively
12 with the leadership of the armed force in which such team
13 is established and other stakeholders to carry out the du-
14 ties described in subsection (b).”.

15 (b) REPORTS.—Not later than one year after the date
16 of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter until
17 the date that is five years after such date, the Secretary
18 of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense com-
19 mittees a report on the activities and progress of each
20 women’s initiative team established under section 996 of
21 title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).
22 Each report shall include the following:

23 (1) A description of the structure, membership,
24 and organizational alignment of each women’s initia-
25 tive team.

1 (2) A summary of key activities and initiatives
2 undertaken by each team.

3 (3) An assessment of the impact of such activi-
4 ties on improving conditions for women, including
5 measurable outcomes where available.

6 (4) Recommendations for legislative or policy
7 changes to further support the success of the teams.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. MOULTON OF MASSACHUSETTS

At the appropriate place in title VIII, insert the following:

1 SEC. 8____. COMPETITIVE ACQUISITION LEADERSHIP AP-
2 POINTMENTS.

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall
4 ensure that the eligibility for an acquisition leadership po-
5 sition associated with a joint research and development ac-
6 tivity or a joint acquisition program is not limited by—

7 (1) the affiliation of an individual with a spe-
8 cific Armed Force; or

9 (2) whether an individual is a civilian employee
10 of the Department of Defense or a member of the
11 military.

12 (b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this sec-
13 tion shall be construed as impairing or otherwise affecting
14 the authority of any component, element, or activity of the
15 Department of Defense from considering the level of rep-
16 resentation of an Armed Force, Federal agency, or organi-
17 zation of the Department in an acquisition program when
18 determining whom to appoint to an acquisition leadership
19 position under such acquisition program.

1 (c) ACQUISITION LEADERSHIP POSITION DE-
2 FINED.—In this section, the term “acquisition leadership
3 position” means an acquisition position within the Depart-
4 ment of Defense, as designated pursuant to section
5 1721(a) of title 10, United States Code, that is under an
6 acquisition program of the Department and classified at
7 or above grade O-5 (or equivalent).



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Fallon

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Commercial-First AI Acquisition Strategy and Containerized Model Deployment

The committee recognizes that artificial intelligence systems deployed in military environments must be capable of operating in austere, disconnected conditions while maintaining the highest standards of security and operational effectiveness. The committee is concerned that many current artificial intelligence applications rely heavily on continuous internet connectivity, which may not be available in contested or remote operational environments. The committee is particularly interested in the Department's ability to acquire, adapt, and deploy containerized and centralized AI models, especially in classified and air-gapped environments.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment and the Chief Digital and Artificial Intelligence Officer, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by February 15, 2026, on the Department's strategy for accelerating the adoption of containerized commercial AI capabilities. The report should include:

- (1) an assessment of the current acquisition strategy for AI solutions;
- (2) a review of Department efforts to integrate commercial containerized AI models, including model evaluation guidance, fine-tuning practices using domain-specific data, and considerations for use in classified and air-gapped environments;
- (3) an assessment of infrastructure requirements, including the balance between centralized and decentralized compute environments and the orchestration and management tools necessary to support containerized AI;
- (4) identification of workforce gaps and training needs related to implementation of commercial containerized AI models; and

(5) proposed performance metrics to evaluate commercial AI adoption outcomes, including assessments of cost savings, mission effectiveness and capability improvement including model size optimization, inference speed, and resource utilization.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. TURNER OF OHIO

At the appropriate place in title V, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 5 ____ . PUNITIVE ARTICLE UNDER THE UNIFORM CODE**
2 **OF MILITARY JUSTICE FOR OFFENSES RE-**
3 **LATING TO CHILD PORNOGRAPHY.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 47 of title 10, United
5 States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is
6 amended by inserting after section 917a (article 117a) the
7 following new section (article):

8 **“§ 917b. Art. 117b. Child pornography**

9 “(a) PROHIBITION.—Any person subject to this chap-
10 ter who knowingly and wrongfully—

11 “(1) possesses, receives, or views child pornog-
12 raphy;

13 “(2) possesses child pornography with the in-
14 tent to distribute;

15 “(3) distributes child pornography; or

16 “(4) produces child pornography,

17 shall be punished as a court-martial may direct, subject
18 to the applicable limits specified in subsection (b).

19 “(b) MAXIMUM PUNISHMENTS.—

1 “(1) The maximum punishment for the offense
2 of possessing, receiving, or viewing child pornog-
3 raphy under subsection (a)(1) shall be dishonorable
4 discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and
5 confinement for 10 years.

6 “(2) The maximum punishment for the offense
7 of possessing child pornography with intent to dis-
8 tribute under subsection (a)(2) shall be dishonorable
9 discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and
10 confinement for 15 years.

11 “(3) The maximum punishment for the offense
12 of distributing child pornography under subsection
13 (a)(3) shall be dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of
14 all pay and allowances, and confinement for 20
15 years.

16 “(4) The maximum punishment for the offense
17 of producing child pornography under subsection
18 (a)(4) shall be dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of
19 all pay and allowances, and confinement for 30
20 years.

21 “(c) TREATMENT OF PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE
22 INFORMATION.—On motion of the Government, in any
23 prosecution under this section, except for good cause
24 shown, the name, address, social security number, or other
25 nonphysical identifying information, other than the age or

1 approximate age, of any minor who is depicted in any child
2 pornography or visual depiction or copy thereof shall not
3 be admissible and may be redacted from any otherwise ad-
4 missible evidence, and the panel shall be instructed, upon
5 request of the Government, that it can draw no inference
6 from the absence of such evidence.

7 “(d) DETERMINATION WRONGFULNESS.—Any facts
8 or circumstances that show that a visual depiction of child
9 pornography was unintentionally or inadvertently acquired
10 are relevant to wrongfulness, including, the method by
11 which the visual depiction was acquired, the length of time
12 the visual depiction was maintained, and whether the vis-
13 ual depiction was promptly, and in good faith, destroyed
14 or reported to law enforcement.

15 “(e) DETERMINATION OF KNOWING.—An accused
16 may not be convicted of an offense under subsection (a)
17 if the accused was not aware that the visual depiction in-
18 volved was of a minor or what appeared to be a minor,
19 engaged in sexually explicit conduct. Awareness may be
20 inferred from circumstantial evidence such as the name
21 of a computer file or folder, the name of the host website
22 from which a visual depiction was viewed or received,
23 search terms used, and the number of images possessed.

24 “(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1 “(1) The term ‘child pornography’ means mate-
2 rial that contains either an obscene visual depiction
3 of a minor engaging in sexually explicit conduct or
4 a visual depiction of an actual minor engaging in
5 sexually explicit conduct.

6 “(2) The term ‘distribute’ means to deliver to
7 the actual or constructive possession of another.

8 “(3) The term ‘minor’ means any person under
9 the age of 18 years.

10 “(4) The term ‘possess’ means to exercise con-
11 trol of something. Possession may be direct physical
12 custody like holding an item in one’s hand, or it may
13 be constructive, as in the case of a person who hides
14 something in a locker or a car to which that person
15 may return to retrieve it. Possession must be know-
16 ing and conscious. Possession inherently includes the
17 power or authority to preclude control by others. It
18 is possible for more than one person to possess an
19 item simultaneously, as when several people share
20 control over an item.

21 “(5) The term ‘produce’—

22 “(A) means to create or manufacture child
23 pornography that did not previously exist; and

24 “(B) does not include reproducing or copy-
25 ing child pornography.

1 “(6) The term ‘sexually explicit conduct’ means
2 actual or simulated—

3 “(A) sexual intercourse or sodomy, includ-
4 ing genital to genital, oral to genital, anal to
5 genital, or oral to anal, whether between per-
6 sons of the same or opposite sex;

7 “(B) bestiality;

8 “(C) masturbation;

9 “(D) sadistic or masochistic abuse; or

10 “(E) lascivious exhibition of the genitals,
11 anus, or pubic area of any person.

12 “(7) The term ‘visual depiction’ includes—

13 “(A) any developed or undeveloped photo-
14 graph, picture, film, or video; any digital or
15 computer image, picture, film, or video made by
16 any means, including those transmitted by any
17 means including streaming media, even if not
18 stored in a permanent format; or

19 “(B) any digital or electronic data capable
20 of conversion into a visual image.”.

21 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT TO DEFINITION OF
22 COVERED OFFENSE.—Section 801(17)(A) of title 10,
23 United States Code (article 1(17)(A) of the Uniform Code
24 of Military Justice), is amended—

- 1 (1) by inserting “section 917b (article 117b),”
2 after “section 917a (article 117a),”; and
3 (2) by striking “the standalone offense of child
4 pornography punishable under section 934 (article
5 134),”.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Whitesides

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Investing in Distributed Sensing Technologies to Scale Detection and Tracking

The committee has closely monitored the proliferation of unmanned aerial systems (UAS) in Ukraine and how the rapid, continuous adaptation of this technology has reshaped modern warfare, with all parties relying heavily on drones for reconnaissance, targeting, and strikes. Commercial and military unmanned systems have become essential on the battlefield, offering cost-effective force multipliers. The committee notes that the rapid expansion of UAS capabilities has outpaced the development and deployment of effective counter-UAS (C-UAS) technologies. The committee recognizes that a lack of sufficient C-UAS capabilities leaves forces vulnerable to drone swarms, loitering munitions, and precision strikes, underscoring an urgent need for innovation and investment in anti-drone measures.

The committee is aware that a similar technology gap exists for countering unmanned maritime vehicles (UMV), which have not yet proliferated to the same degree as UAS. Nevertheless, as the committee considers the future of warfare in the maritime domain, the committee recognizes the likelihood of future requirements for counter-UMV capabilities and encourages the Navy to invest early to keep ahead of the threat, rather than simply reacting to it.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Navy no later than March 1, 2026, to submit a report to the committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives on investments in and the development of counter-UMV technology, including systems that provide real-time detection and tracking, capture of weak signals amidst high noise levels, incorporate new advancements in AI classification, and can be manufactured affordably and at scale.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Ms. Elfreth of Maryland

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Cost of Extreme Weather Events to Military Installations

The committee is aware that extreme weather and natural disasters pose a direct threat to the national security of the United States and are impacting operational readiness. The Department of Defense must assess the financial cost and the impact of such events on mission readiness and must develop plans to mitigate their effects.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by March 1, 2026, on the financial impact of extreme weather events on military installations. The report shall provide:

(1) A summary of costs incurred in the preceding fiscal year as a result of extreme weather at military installations, including facility and infrastructure damage; mission-readiness or operational disruptions; emergency response and recovery expenditures; and temporary relocation or housing of personnel.

(2) A list of the ten installations incurring the highest weather-related costs in the preceding fiscal year, with for each type and date of the event or events; nature and extent of damage; cost of response and repairs; and source of funds used for recovery.

(3) An estimate of potential future costs based on historical trends and projected exposure to extreme weather, including deferred maintenance or infrastructure reinforcements needed to reduce risk.

(4) Where applicable, an assessment of cost savings realized from mitigation efforts undertaken in prior fiscal years.

(5) Any other cost-related information the Secretary deems relevant to inform Congress about the fiscal burden posed by extreme weather on military operations and infrastructure.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. RYAN OF NEW YORK

At the appropriate place in title II, insert the following new section:

1 SEC. 2____. DEMONSTRATION OF NEAR REAL-TIME MONI-
2 TORING CAPABILITIES TO ENHANCE WEAPON
3 SYSTEM PLATFORMS.

4 (a) PROGRAM ESTABLISHMENT.—Subject to the
5 availability of appropriations, the Secretary of Defense, in
6 coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Ac-
7 quisition and Sustainment and the chiefs of the Armed
8 Forces, shall carry out a program to equip selected weapon
9 system platforms with onboard, near real-time, end-to-end
10 serial bus and radio frequency monitoring capabilities to
11 ensure continuous operational oversight and cybersecurity
12 health and resiliency.

13 (b) PHASES.—The Secretary of Defense shall imple-
14 ment the program under subsection (a) in phases as fol-
15 lows:

16 (1) Not later than 90 days after the date of the
17 enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall—

18 (A) select not fewer than 3 and not more
19 than 5 weapon system platform fleets for initial

1 participation in the program, prioritizing the
2 MH-60R and MQ-9 aircraft fleets; and

3 (B) complete the initial deployment of
4 monitoring capabilities to such platforms.

5 (2) Not later than one year after the date of
6 the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall extend
7 monitoring capabilities to the complete fleets of se-
8 lected platforms and complete initial data collection
9 and analysis from all participating platforms.

10 (3) Not later than one year after the date of
11 the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall, sub-
12 ject to the availability of appropriations—

13 (A) select not fewer than 3 and not more
14 than 5 weapon system platform fleets, in addi-
15 tion to those selected under paragraph (1), for
16 participation in the program, prioritizing plat-
17 forms that demonstrate the highest potential
18 for cybersecurity improvement and operational
19 readiness gains based on program data anal-
20 ysis; and

21 (B) complete initial deployment of moni-
22 toring capabilities to such additional platforms.

23 (4) Not later than 18 months after the date of
24 the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall com-
25 plete a comprehensive evaluation of the monitoring

1 capabilities demonstrated under the program, includ-
2 ing cybersecurity effectiveness, potential applicability
3 of data to maintenance improvements, and develop-
4 ment of scalability recommendations for potential
5 Department-wide implementation.

6 (c) DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS.—

7 (1) CHIEFS OF THE ARMED FORCES REQUIRE-
8 MENTS.—Each chief of an Armed Force with a
9 weapon system platform participating in the pro-
10 gram under subsection (a) shall collect and provide
11 to the Secretary of Defense data regarding the plat-
12 form and the monitoring capabilities demonstrated
13 under the program, including data on—

- 14 (A) cyber threat detection effectiveness;
- 15 (B) maintenance efficiency improvements;
- 16 (C) safety incident investigation and pre-
17 dictive maintenance accuracy;
- 18 (D) operational readiness and mission ca-
19 pable rates improvements; and
- 20 (E) interoperability and data sharing capa-
21 bilities across platforms and services.

22 (2) DEPARTMENTAL ANALYSIS.—The Secretary
23 shall analyze the data provided under paragraph (1)
24 to assess—

1 (A) integration challenges and suggestions
2 for overcoming such challenges in a future De-
3 partment-wide program;

4 (B) scalability requirements for broader
5 Department-wide implementation; and

6 (C) novel approaches for utilizing existing
7 resources in an austere fiscal environment to
8 expand capabilities across the Department.

9 (d) BUDGET INTEGRATION.—In the budget justifica-
10 tion materials submitted to Congress in support of the De-
11 partment of Defense budget for fiscal year 2028 (as sub-
12 mitted with the budget of the President under section
13 1105(a) of title 31), the Secretary of Defense shall include
14 proposed funding levels for the program expansion author-
15 ized under subsection (b)(3).

16 (e) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—Not later than 90
17 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annu-
18 ally thereafter for each of the following five years, the Sec-
19 retary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense
20 committees a report on the status of the program. Each
21 report shall include, with respect to the period covered by
22 the report—

23 (1) implementation plans for the program;

24 (2) identification of the weapon system plat-
25 forms selected for participation in the program; and

5

- 1 (3) analysis of any data collected under the pro-
- 2 gram.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. TOKUDA OF HAWAII

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 28 ____ . IMPROVEMENTS TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**
2 **HOUSING REQUIREMENTS AND MARKET**
3 **ANALYSIS.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2837(d) of title 10,
5 United States Code, is amended by inserting “, Depart-
6 ment of Defense civilian employee, and Department of De-
7 fense contractor employee” before “population”.

8 (b) CONSIDERATION AUTHORIZED.—Section 2872 of
9 such title is amended—

10 (1) by striking “In addition to” and inserting
11 “(a) In addition to”; and

12 (2) by adding at the end the following new sub-
13 section:

14 “(b) In the case of family housing units, the Sec-
15 retary concerned may consider the need for housing for
16 Department of Defense civilian employees and Depart-
17 ment of Defense contractor employees when exercising any
18 authority or combination of authorities under this chap-
19 ter.”.

1 (c) INDEPENDENT MARKET ANALYSIS.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense,
3 acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for
4 Acquisition and Sustainment and in coordination
5 with the Secretaries of the military departments,
6 shall seek to enter into an agreement with an inde-
7 pendent entity to conduct an evaluation by not later
8 than September 30, 2026, of the suitability of land
9 owned by the Department of Defense in the State of
10 Hawaii for residential housing development for mem-
11 bers of the Armed Services and the families of such
12 members.

13 (2) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later than
14 30 days after the date on which the evaluation under
15 paragraph (1) is completed, the Secretary of De-
16 fense shall submit to the Committees on Armed
17 Services of the House of Representatives and the
18 Senate a report that includes the results of such
19 evaluation.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. NORCROSS OF NEW JERSEY

At the appropriate place in title IX, insert the following:

1 SEC. 9 ____ . MODIFICATION TO AUTHORITIES OF THE DIREC-
2 TOR OF OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUA-
3 TION.

4 Section 139 of title 10, United States Code, as
5 amended by **【section 1801 (log 82200)】** of this Act, is
6 further amended—

7 (1) in subsection (b)—

8 (A) in paragraph (6), by striking “and” at
9 the end;

10 (B) in paragraph (7), by striking the pe-
11 riod at the end and inserting “; and”; and

12 (C) by adding at the end the following new
13 paragraph:

14 “(8) coordinate with operational test and eval-
15 uation organizations of the armed forces to review
16 their service-approved test and evaluation master
17 plans.”;

18 (2) in subsection (k), by inserting “, and shall
19 not be subject to any limitation that does not allow

1 for sufficient staffing to fulfill the duties and re-
2 sponsibilities assigned by this section” before the pe-
3 riod at the end; and

4 (3) by adding at the end the following new sub-
5 section:

6 “(l)(1) The Director may enter into contracts with
7 one or more federally funded research and development
8 centers pursuant to which personnel of such centers may
9 assist the Director with program oversight, including
10 through—

11 “(A) test planning, preparation and moni-
12 toring;

13 “(B) data collection;

14 “(C) data analysis;

15 “(D) drafting and reviewing test reports;

16 “(E) providing technical expertise and sup-
17 port to program offices; and

18 “(F) performing such other duties as the
19 Director determines appropriate.

20 “(2) The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the
21 Director has sufficient funding to enter into the contracts
22 for which authorization is provided under paragraph (1).”.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN

At the appropriate place in title V, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 5 ____ . PILOT PROGRAM FOR GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL**
2 **INTELLIGENCE AND SPATIAL COMPUTING**
3 **FOR PERFORMANCE TRAINING AND PRO-**
4 **FICIENCY ASSESSMENT.**

5 (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 90 days after
6 the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of
7 the Navy shall develop and implement pilot program to
8 optimize the use of generative artificial intelligence and
9 spatial computing for immersive training and assessment.

10 (b) ELEMENTS.—The pilot program required by sub-
11 section (a) shall include—

12 (1) the development of content with respect to
13 not less than 5 occupational specialties; and

14 (2) methods to assess the feasibility and effec-
15 tiveness of the use of generative artificial intelligence
16 and spatial computing training methods in compari-
17 son to other training methods, particularly with re-
18 spect to cost and time required to achieve training
19 goals.

1 (c) TERMINATION.—The pilot program required by
2 subsection (a) shall terminate on the date that is one year
3 after the date of the establishment of the program.

4 (d) REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the termi-
5 nation of the pilot program required by subsection (a), the
6 Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional
7 defense committees a report describing the results of the
8 pilot program, including an analysis of the effectiveness
9 of the use of generative artificial intelligence and spatial
10 computing for training and a description of any cost sav-
11 ings and savings in time required to achieve training goals.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. MOULTON OF MASSACHUSETTS

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the
following:

1 **SEC. 28____. NAME OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**
2 **MILITARY INSTALLATION LOCATED IN**
3 **MUSCOGEE COUNTY AND CHATTAHOOCHEE**
4 **COUNTY, GEORGIA.**

5 The military installation under the jurisdiction of the
6 Department of the Army located in Muscogee County and
7 Chattahoochee County, Georgia, shall on and after the
8 date of the enactment of this Act be known and designated
9 as “Fort Moore”, in commemoration of Lieutenant Gen-
10 eral Harold G. Moore, Jr., United States Army, and Mrs.
11 Julia Compton Moore. Any reference to such military in-
12 stallation in any law, regulation, map, document, record,
13 or other paper of the United States shall be considered
14 a reference to Fort Moore.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. STEFANIK OF NEW YORK

At the appropriate place in title VIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 8____. REFORM OF CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE IN-**
2 **FORMATION REQUIREMENTS.**

3 (a) REVISION TO DFARS.—The Secretary of De-
4 fense shall revise part 242.15 of the Department of De-
5 fense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation
6 to establish an objective, fact-based, and simplified system
7 for reporting contractor performance. The revised system
8 shall—

9 (1) focus exclusively on negative performance
10 events that are measurable to reduce subjectivity
11 and inconsistency in evaluations;

12 (2) create a level playing field for commercial
13 entities, subcontractors, and new entrants that do
14 not have extensive past performance records to com-
15 pete for Department of Defense contracts;

16 (3) reduce the administrative burden on con-
17 tracting officers by limiting reporting to significant
18 failures or poor performance;

1 (4) establish standardized templates for report-
2 ing negative performance events and calculating
3 composite scores; and

4 (5) ensure the Government can identify and
5 avoid contractors with a history of poor performance
6 or bad actions.

7 (b) REVISION OF CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE IN-
8 FORMATION REQUIREMENTS.—

9 (1) ELIMINATION OF SUBJECTIVE PERFORM-
10 ANCE RATINGS.—The Secretary of Defense shall re-
11 vise part 242.15 of the Department of Defense Sup-
12 plement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation and
13 related guidance, including the Contractor Perform-
14 ance Assessment Reporting System (or a successor
15 system) (in this section referred to as “CPARS”), to
16 eliminate subjective performance ratings for con-
17 tracts subject to such part.

18 (2) SCOPE OF REPORTING.—A contracting offi-
19 cer shall only include negative performance events
20 that have a material impact on contract performance
21 or Government interests in CPARS and shall ex-
22 clude positive or neutral performance assessments,
23 except as necessary to provide context for an in-
24 cluded negative performance event. A contracting of-

1 ficer shall report in CPARS negative performance
2 events within 30 days after verifying the event.

3 (3) CATEGORIZATION OF NEGATIVE PERFORM-
4 ANCE EVENTS.—A contracting officer shall cat-
5 egorize negative performance events reported under
6 paragraph (2) in one of the following areas:

7 (A) Failures related to innovation, tech-
8 nical development, or prototype delivery.

9 (B) Failures related to manufacturing,
10 quality control, or delivery of products.

11 (C) Failures related to maintenance, logis-
12 tics, or support services.

13 (D) Failures related to professional, ad-
14 ministrative, or operational services.

15 (E) Failures related to software, hardware,
16 cybersecurity, or information technology sys-
17 tems.

18 (4) PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS.—A con-
19 tracting officer is not required to conduct an annual
20 or periodic performance evaluation of a contractor
21 unless the contracting officer has verified a negative
22 performance event of such contractor.

23 (5) USE IN SOURCE SELECTION.—The Sec-
24 retary of Defense shall consider a negative perform-
25 ance event and the score associated with such event

1 (as calculated under subsection (f)) in source selec-
2 tion evaluations to assess contractor risk and re-
3 sponsibility. The absence of negative performance
4 events for an offeror, including an offer that is a
5 nontraditional defense contractor or a new entrant,
6 shall not be considered a deficiency in past perform-
7 ance evaluations. Such offerors shall be evaluated
8 based on technical capability, price, and other rel-
9 evant factors.

10 (c) SCORING MECHANISM FOR NEGATIVE PERFORM-
11 ANCE EVENTS.—

12 (1) CALCULATION.—The Secretary of Defense
13 shall establish a standardized scoring mechanism to
14 normalize negative performance events of a con-
15 tractor based on the number of transactions and the
16 dollar value of contracts performed by the con-
17 tractor.

18 (2) APPLICATION OF SCORES.—The Secretary
19 shall ensure that—

20 (A) a composite score for each contractor
21 is included in CPARS, along with any negative
22 performance events used in source selection to
23 assess past performance risk; and

24 (B) CPARS is programmed to automati-
25 cally calculate scores based on data entered by

1 contracting officers, including the number of
2 transactions and the dollar value of contracts
3 performed by the contractor.

4 (4) TRANSPARENCY.—The Secretary shall en-
5 sure that contractors—

6 (A) have access to composite scores and
7 the underlying data through CPARS; and

8 (B) may submit comments or rebuttals to
9 reported negative performance events or scores,
10 which shall be maintained in CPARS for con-
11 sideration in source selection.

12 (d) MANDATORY REPORTING.—A contracting officer
13 shall report the following negative performance events:

14 (1) Delivery of products failing to meet contract
15 requirements, as verified by Government inspection
16 reports, quality assurance records, or testing results.

17 (2) Failure to meet contract delivery schedules,
18 as documented in contract milestones, delivery or-
19 ders, or Government records.

20 (3) Incorrect or unauthorized markings on tech-
21 nical data or software, or improper assertions of re-
22 strictive rights, as verified by Government review or
23 legal findings.

24 (4) Submission of inaccurate, incomplete, or
25 misleading cost or pricing data, as identified through

1 audits by the Defense Contract Audit Agency or
2 other Government authorities.

3 (5) Failure to include mandatory contract
4 clauses in subcontracts, as verified by contract re-
5 views or audits.

6 (6) Submission of false claims, fraudulent in-
7 voices, or misrepresentations, as substantiated by in-
8 vestigations, legal findings, or Government records.

9 (7) Failure to comply with safety, environ-
10 mental, or other regulatory requirements, as docu-
11 mented by Government inspections or citations.

12 (8) Failure to meet cybersecurity requirements
13 or significant breaches caused by contractor neg-
14 ligence, as verified by Government records.

15 (9) Any other negative performance event, as
16 determined by the Secretary of Defense, that is
17 based on verifiable data or objective evaluations and
18 for which the Secretary publishes criteria in the De-
19 partment of Defense Supplement to the Federal Ac-
20 quisition Regulation.

21 (e) IMPLEMENTATION.—

22 (1) TRAINING AND GUIDANCE.—The Secretary
23 of Defense shall develop and provide training for
24 contracting officers on the following:

1 (A) Identifying, verifying, and reporting
2 negative performance events.

3 (B) The use of objective evidence and the
4 exclusion of subjective judgments in reporting
5 negative performance events.

6 (C) Entering data for creating a score in
7 CPARS.

8 (2) SYSTEM MODIFICATIONS.—Not later than
9 one year after the date of the enactment of this Act,
10 the Secretary of Defense shall modify CPARS to in-
11 clude the following functions:

12 (A) The categorization of negative per-
13 formance events.

14 (B) Elimination of fields for subjective rat-
15 ings.

16 (C) Automatically calculate composite
17 scores based on reported data.

18 (D) A mechanism for contractors to review
19 and respond to reported events and scores.

20 (3) TRANSITION PERIOD.—With respect to a
21 contract awarded before the effective date of the re-
22 vision to the Department of Defense Supplement to
23 the Federal Acquisition Regulation required by sub-
24 section (a), a contracting officer for such contract

1 may complete CPARS evaluations under the prior
2 system until the contract is closed or terminated.

3 (f) REPORT AND OVERSIGHT.—

4 (1) REPORT.—Not later than January 15,
5 2026, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Com-
6 mittees on Armed Services of the Senate and House
7 of Representatives a report on the implementation of
8 this section.

9 (2) GAO REVIEW.—Not later than three years
10 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the
11 Comptroller General of the United States shall con-
12 duct a review of the revised CPARS that includes
13 the following:

14 (A) The effectiveness of CPARS to carry
15 out the requirements of this section.

16 (B) The accuracy and fairness of the scor-
17 ing mechanism developed under subsection (d).

18 (C) The effect of the modifications made by
19 this section on competition and participation of
20 nontraditional defense contractors in contracts
21 of the Department of Defense.

22 (g) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section and the require-
23 ments of this section shall take effect 180 days after the
24 date of the enactment of this Act.

25 (h) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1 (1) The term “negative performance event”
2 means a verifiable instance of contractor failure or
3 poor performance as described in subsection (e).

4 (2) The term “nontraditional defense con-
5 tractor” has the meaning given in section 3014 of
6 title 10, United States Code.

7 (3) The term “verifiable data” means objective
8 evidence documented in contract records, inspection
9 reports, audits, correspondence, or other Govern-
10 ment records that substantiate a negative perform-
11 ance event.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. SHERRILL OF NEW JERSEY

At the appropriate place in title VII, insert the following:

1 SEC. 7____. TRICARE COVERAGE FOR INCREASED SUPPLY
2 FOR CONTRACEPTION.

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Beginning not less than 180 days
4 after the date of the enactment of the Act, contraceptive
5 supplies of up to 365 days shall be covered for any eligible
6 covered beneficiary to obtain, including in a single fill or
7 refill, at the option of such beneficiary, the total days of
8 supply (not to exceed a 365-day supply) for a contracep-
9 tive on the uniform formulary provided through a military
10 treatment facility pharmacy, retail pharmacy described in
11 section 1074g(a)(2)(E)(ii) of such title, or through the na-
12 tional mail-order pharmacy program of the TRICARE
13 Program.

14 (b) OUTREACH.—Beginning not later than 90 days
15 after the implementation of coverage under subsection (a),
16 the Secretary shall conduct such outreach activities as are
17 necessary to inform health care providers and individuals
18 who are enrolled in the TRICARE program of such cov-
19 erage and the requirements to receive such coverage.

1 (c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

2 (1) The term “covered Armed Force” means
3 the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, or Space
4 Force.

5 (2) The term “eligible covered beneficiary”
6 means an eligible covered beneficiary as such term
7 is used in section 1074g of title 10, United States
8 Code who is—

9 (A) a member of a covered Armed Force
10 serving on active duty; or

11 (B) a dependent of a member described in
12 subparagraph (A).

13 (3) The terms “TRICARE Program” and
14 “TRICARE Prime” have the meaning given such
15 terms in section 1072 of title 10, United States
16 Code.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Whitesides

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Defense Health Agency Staffing Plan

The committee commends the Defense Health Agency for the progress it has made in transitioning the management of medical facilities into the network structure. The committee also acknowledges that more work needs to be done to ensure the Agency's management offices have adequate staffing to manage and support its medical facilities in their mission of providing health care to active duty service members and their beneficiaries. The committee further commends the Government Accountability Office on its April 10, 2025 report outlining the actions needed to address challenges the Agency has experienced as it modified its management of medical facilities.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the House Committee on Armed Services, not later than March 1, 2026, outlining the Department's efforts to determine the full extent of the resources it needs. The report should include:

- (1) The Department's plan, with timelines, key milestones, and deliverables, to establish personnel requirements for DHA's network management structure;
- (2) The Department's plan to track its progress in determining and validating the number of personnel required to manage and support its medical facilities; and
- (3) The Department's current practices to adequately analyze workload for personnel at Defense Health Agency's network management offices.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. VAN ORDEN OF WISCONSIN

At the appropriate place in title V, insert the following new section:

1 SEC. 5 ____. **INDIVIDUAL LONGITUDINAL EXPOSURE**
2 RECORD: CODIFICATION; EXPANSION.

3 (a) EXPANSION.—Chapter 50 of title 10, United
4 States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

6 “§ 996. Individual Longitudinal Exposure Record

7 “(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of Defense
8 shall maintain a database that is a central portal for exposure-related data that compiles, collates, presents, and
9 provides available occupational and environmental exposure information to support the needs of the Department
10 of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs. Such
11 database shall be referred to as the ‘Individual Longitudinal Exposure Record’.

12 “(b) ELEMENTS.—The Individual Longitudinal Exposure Record includes the following elements:

13 “(1) Service records of members of the armed
14 forces.

1 “(2) All non-classified data available to the Sec-
2 retary regarding how, where, and when members of
3 the armed forces have been exposed to various occu-
4 pational or environmental hazards.

5 “(3) Medical records of members relating to ex-
6 posures described in paragraph (2), including diag-
7 noses, treatment plans, and laboratory data.

8 “(c) SERVICE RECORDS.—If a member is a member
9 described in paragraph (2) of subsection (b), the Secretary
10 shall include the data described in such paragraph in the
11 service record of such member.

12 “(d) DATA SHARING.—The Secretary shall provide
13 access to information in the Individual Longitudinal Expo-
14 sure Record to the following:

15 “(1) The Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

16 “(2) The Director of the Defense Health Agen-
17 cy for use by health care providers, epidemiologists,
18 and researchers of the Department of Defense.

19 “(3) The Under Secretary for Health of the De-
20 partment of Veterans Affairs for use by health care
21 providers, epidemiologists, and researchers of such
22 department.

23 “(4) The Under Secretary for Benefits of the
24 Department of Veterans Affairs, for use by per-
25 sonnel of such department regarding compensation

1 and benefits for service-connected disabilities or
2 death.

3 “(e) ANNUAL REPORT.—(1) The Secretary of De-
4 fense shall submit, to the committees specified in para-
5 graph (2), an annual report regarding the Individual Lon-
6 gitudinal Exposure Record.

7 “(2) The committees specified in this paragraph are
8 the following:

9 “(A) The Committee on Armed Services of the
10 Senate.

11 “(B) The Committee on Armed Services of
12 House of Representatives.

13 “(C) The Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the
14 Senate.

15 “(D) The Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of
16 the House of Representatives.

17 “(E) The Committee on Commerce, Science,
18 and Transportation of the Senate.

19 “(F) The Committee on Transportation and In-
20 frastructure of the House of Representatives.”.

21 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1171(b)(2)
22 of title 38, United States Code, is amended to read as
23 follows:

1 “(2) The term ‘Individual Longitudinal Expo-
2 sure Record’ means the database maintained under
3 section 996 of title 10.”.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. MACE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

At the appropriate place in title X, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 10____. AUTHORIZATION OF EASTERN REGIONAL**
2 **RANGE COMPLEX FOR MULTI-DOMAIN OPER-**
3 **ATIONS AND ROBOTIC AUTONOMOUS SYS-**
4 **TEMS TRAINING, TESTING, AND EXPERIMEN-**
5 **TATION.**

6 (a) AUTHORIZATION.—The Secretary of Defense, act-
7 ing through the Secretaries of the military departments,
8 may designate and develop an Eastern Regional Range
9 Complex to serve as a joint training, testing, and experi-
10 mentation hub for multi-domain operations and robotic
11 autonomous systems, including unmanned aircraft sys-
12 tems and counter-unmanned aircraft systems capabilities,
13 to address growing threats from potential adversaries.

14 (b) LOCATION.—The Eastern Regional Range Com-
15 plex shall encompass the territories of the States of Maine,
16 Vermont, New Hampshire, Connecticut, Rhode Island,
17 Massachusetts, New York, New Jersey, Delaware, Mary-
18 land, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Virginia, North Caro-
19 lina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Louisiana, Ken-

1 tucky, Tennessee, Arkansas, Mississippi, Indiana, and
2 Alabama.

3 (c) ACTIVITIES.—The Eastern Regional Range Com-
4 plex shall be used—

5 (1) to conduct joint, multi-domain, non-kinetic
6 electromagnetic warfare, cyber and information oper-
7 ations training within live, virtual, and constructive
8 environments, leveraging common networks with ac-
9 cess to available spectrum;

10 (2) support integrated multi-domain operations
11 training involving air, land, sea, cyber, and space
12 components;

13 (3) conduct joint service and interagency
14 robotic autonomous system training, experimentation
15 and testing, including the development of tactics,
16 techniques and procedures for unmanned aircraft
17 systems and counter-unmanned aircraft systems;

18 (4) evaluate emerging technologies and proto-
19 types and tactics, techniques and procedures for the
20 operation, detection, defeat, and attribution of
21 robotic autonomous systems in contested cyber and
22 electromagnetic spectrum environments; and

23 (5) facilitate the integration of mature proto-
24 type experimentation and live-fire exercises for rapid

1 fielding of capabilities aligned with the Joint
2 Warfighting Concept.

3 (d) COORDINATION AND INTEGRATION.—The Sec-
4 retary of Defense shall ensure that activities conducted at
5 the Eastern Regional Range Complex are coordinated
6 with—

7 (1) the Joint Counter-small Unmanned Aircraft
8 Systems Office.

9 (2) the Joint Staff (J-7);

10 (3) the Office of the Under Secretary of De-
11 fense for Research and Engineering; and

12 (4) other entities with functions or missions rel-
13 evant to the activities carried out at the Complex,
14 which may include—

15 (A) relevant combatant commands and
16 service components;

17 (B) allies and partners of the United
18 States participating in multi-domain operations;

19 (C) the Defense Innovation Unit;

20 (D) State National Guard commands;

21 (E) the Office of Naval Research; and

22 (F) such other key stakeholders as the
23 Secretary determines appropriate.

24 (e) INFRASTRUCTURE AND OTHER RESOURCES.—

25 The Secretary of Defense may—

1 (1) carry out military construction, infrastruc-
2 ture improvements, and technology installation, as
3 necessary, to facilitate the activities described in
4 subsection (b), including through the provision of
5 range instrumentation, telemetry, cyber range inte-
6 gration, and electromagnetic spectrum operations
7 support; and

8 (2) consult with the Federal Communications
9 Commission and the National Telecommunications
10 and Information Administration to recommend spec-
11 trum access requirements in support of joint and
12 service training, testing, and experimentation within
13 the Eastern Regional Range Complex and the West-
14 ern Regional Range Complex, including access to ap-
15 propriate live environments capable of supporting
16 electromagnetic attack training, experimentation,
17 and testing.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838

OFFERED BY MR. GARAMENDI OF CALIFORNIA

(funding table amendment)

In section 4201 of division D, relating to Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Air Force, increase the amount for C-17 Aircraft (IF) Line 277 by \$40,000,000.

In section 4201 of division D, relating to Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy, reduce the amount for TACAMO Modernization Line 163 by \$40,000,000.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. SHERRILL OF NEW JERSEY

Section 1304 [log 82767], amend subsection (a) to
read as follows:

1 (a) IN GENERAL.—Until the date that is 90 days
2 after the date on which the Commander of the United
3 States European Command and the Secretary of Defense,
4 in consultation with the heads of other relevant Federal
5 departments and agencies, have each independently sub-
6 mitted to the appropriate congressional committees the
7 certifications and assessments described in subsection (b),
8 none of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this
9 Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2026 for
10 the Department of Defense may be used—

11 (1) to take any action to reduce the total num-
12 ber of members of the Armed Forces assigned, de-
13 ployed, or allocated to the United States European
14 Command and present in the European theater to a
15 number less than 76,000; or

16 (2) to divest, consolidate, or otherwise return to
17 a host country any sites included in the real prop-
18 erty inventory of the United States European Com-
19 mand as of June 1, 2025.

Section 1304 [log 82767], amend subsection (b)(6)

to read as follows:

1 (6) An analysis of the impact of such a reduc-
2 tion on the ability of the Armed Forces to support
3 or execute the contingency plans of the Department
4 of Defense, including operations—

5 (A) conducted by the United States Euro-
6 pean Command, within the area of responsi-
7 bility of the European Command; or

8 (B) by the United States Central Com-
9 mand and the United States Africa Command,
10 leveraging agreements with countries in the
11 area of responsibility of the European Com-
12 mand regarding access, basing, or overflight.

Section 1304 [log 82767], subsection (b), insert “,
divestment, consolidation, or return” after “reduction”
each place it appears.

Section 1304 [log 82767], strike paragraphs (1)
and (2) of subsection (c) and insert the following:

13 (1) the Committee on Armed Services and the
14 Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and

1 (2) the Committee on Armed Services and the
2 Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Rep-
3 resentatives.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Bergman

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Domestic Procurement of High-Density Build-Up Substrates

High-density build-up (HDBU) substrates are critical components in advanced microelectronics, enabling high-performance computing, artificial intelligence (AI), and secure defense communications systems. Despite their strategic importance, the Department of Defense and its defense industrial base remain dependent on foreign—particularly Asian—sources for these substrates. This reliance poses a supply chain vulnerability that could jeopardize mission readiness and national security in future conflict scenarios. The committee notes that domestic producers of HDBU substrates have received funding under Title III of the Defense Production Act to support domestic production capacity.

The committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2026, on the Department's strategy for procuring high-density build-up substrates from domestic sources. The briefing shall include the following:

- (1) An assessment of the current and planned domestic production capacity for HDBU substrates, including any awarded or pending Defense Production Act investments;
- (2) A summary of technical or contractual barriers that have prevented defense contractors from sourcing HDBU substrates domestically;
- (3) A roadmap for phasing out foreign dependency and establishing long-term, sustainable procurement pathways for U.S.-based HDBU substrate suppliers.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. ELFRETH OF MARYLAND

At the appropriate place in title X, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 10 ____ . STANDARDIZATION OF DATA ANALYSIS AND**
2 **VISUALIZATION ACROSS THE DEPARTMENT**
3 **OF DEFENSE.**

4 (a) STANDARDIZATION OF DATA ANALYSIS AND VIS-
5 UALIZATION REQUIRED.—Not later than 365 days after
6 the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of
7 Defense shall ensure that each of the military departments
8 adopts a set of standard data analysis and data visualiza-
9 tion for the collection, management, analysis, visualiza-
10 tion, and reporting of data related to harmful behaviors.
11 Such required data analysis and visualization standards
12 shall be designed to—

13 (1) enable commanders at all levels of command
14 to receive timely, actionable data with consistent
15 metrics, data visualization, and presentation for-
16 mats;

17 (2) support location, identify patterns over
18 time, and track changes or trends in harmful behav-
19 ior; and

1 (3) if the Secretary establishes a working group
2 under subsection (b), comply with other standards
3 and best practices identified by the working group.

4 (b) WORKING GROUP ON DATA SYSTEMS AND VIS-
5 UALIZATION.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense
7 may establish a working group composed of rep-
8 resentatives from each military department.

9 (2) RESPONSIBILITIES.—If the Secretary estab-
10 lishes a working group under this subsection, the
11 working group shall—

12 (A) review existing harmful behavior data
13 management systems, methods of data collec-
14 tion, management, analysis, reporting, and
15 forms of data visualization used across the mili-
16 tary departments;

17 (B) identify and share best practices for
18 data collection, management, analysis, visualiza-
19 tion, and reporting to improve consistency, ef-
20 fectiveness, and usability across the Depart-
21 ment of Defense; and

22 (C) assess which data elements are not
23 currently captured in existing harmful behavior
24 data management systems of record and would
25 benefit from inclusion.

1 (3) TIMEFRAME FOR ESTABLISHMENT.—If the
2 Secretary establishes a working group under this
3 subsection, the Secretary shall establish the working
4 group by not later than 180 days after the date of
5 the enactment of this Act.

6 (c) PROMOTION OF ON-SITE INSTALLATION EVALUA-
7 TION RESILIENCE INDEX SUMMARY APPLICATION.—The
8 Secretary may promote the on-site installation evaluation
9 resilience index summary application for wider use by the
10 military departments, in addition to the systems to ad-
11 dress harmful behavior in use by the military departments
12 as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

13 (d) BRIEFING AND REPORT.—Not later than 365
14 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sec-
15 retary of Defense shall provide a briefing and submit a
16 report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate
17 and the House of Representatives on—

18 (1) the status of the implementation of the
19 standard features required under subsection (a);

20 (2) if the Secretary establishes a working group
21 under subsection (b), the findings and recommenda-
22 tions of the working group; and

23 (3) the status of implementing the promotion of
24 the on-site installation evaluation resilience index
25 summary application under subsection (c).

1 (e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

2 (1) The term “data collection” means the capa-
3 bility to gather user-generated data through system
4 interfaces, with designated required and optional
5 fields, that is saved to the system’s dataset through
6 structured workflows.

7 (2) The term “data visualization” means the
8 manner in which information is presented within a
9 data management system, including the graphical
10 representation of data to improve clarity, com-
11 prehension, analysis, and decision-making by users.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following new section:

1 SEC. 3 ____ . PROVISION OF ALTERNATIVE DRINKING WATER
2 TO CERTAIN COMMUNITIES WITH PRIVATE
3 DRINKING WATER WELLS CONTAMINATED
4 WITH PERFLUOROALKYL SUBSTANCES OR
5 POLYFLUOROALKYL SUBSTANCES.

6 (a) REQUIREMENT.—Beginning on the date of the
7 enactment of this Act, for each household that is located
8 in a community with a private drinking water well in
9 which contamination from a perfluoroalkyl substance,
10 polyfluoroalkyl substance, or mixture thereof resulting
11 from activities of the Department of Defense exceeds the
12 maximum contaminant level for such substance or mixture,
13 respectively, and that has not received a permanent
14 safe drinking water solution described in subsection (b),
15 the Secretary of Defense shall provide to such household
16 an alternative source of drinking water (which may include
17 the provision of bottled water) until such time as—

18 (1) the degree of cleanup of such contamination
19 has at least attained such respective maximum con-

1 taminant level, consistent with section 121(d) of the
2 Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensa-
3 tion, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9621(d));
4 or

5 (2) the Secretary provides such household with
6 a permanent safe drinking water solution described
7 in subsection (b).

8 (b) PERMANENT SAFE DRINKING WATER SOLU-
9 TIONS DESCRIBED.—A permanent safe drinking water so-
10 lution described in this subsection is the connection of a
11 household to a public water system, installation at a
12 household of an onsite filtration system capable of treating
13 the contamination specified in subsection (a), or provision
14 to a household of another permanent alternative source
15 of drinking water.

16 (c) COORDINATION WITH EXISTING AUTHORITIES.—
17 The Secretary of Defense shall carry out this section in
18 a manner consistent with the Comprehensive Environ-
19 mental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of
20 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) and any other authority
21 under environmental law, including by coordinating efforts
22 under subsection (a) with ongoing efforts to address con-
23 tamination under such authorities.

24 (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1 (1) The terms “maximum contaminant level”
2 and “public water system” have the meanings given
3 those terms in section 1401 of the Safe Drinking
4 Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300f).

5 (2) The term “private drinking water well”
6 means a drinking water well that is not a public
7 water system and is not connected to a public water
8 system.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MS. ELFRETH OF MARYLAND

At the appropriate place in title XV, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 15 ____ . CODIFICATION OF THE NATIONAL CENTERS OF**
2 **ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE IN CYBERSECURITY.**

3 (a) MANAGEMENT OF THE NATIONAL CENTERS OF
4 ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE IN CYBER.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Director of Cyber Aca-
6 demic Engagement Office shall manage the National
7 Centers of Academic Excellence in Cyber program.

8 (2) RESPONSIBILITIES.—In managing the Pro-
9 gram, the Director shall—

10 (A) consult with the Director of the Na-
11 tional Security Agency, the Director of the Cy-
12 bersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency
13 of the Department of Homeland Security, the
14 Director of the National Institute of Standards
15 and Technology, the Director of the Federal
16 Bureau of Investigation, and the Director of the
17 National Science Foundation, to ensure that
18 the cyber education programs and educational
19 resource development efforts and programs of

1 the Federal Government do not compete or con-
2 flict with each other;

3 (B) consult with the heads of other appro-
4 priate Federal agencies and representatives of
5 appropriate private sector entities, academic in-
6 stitutions, and other organizations as deter-
7 mined necessary by the Director to make the
8 designations under subsection (b); and

9 (C) manage instructional and participatory
10 opportunities available through the efforts, pro-
11 grams, initiatives, and investments from pri-
12 mary through postsecondary levels accounted
13 for in the report required under section 1649 of
14 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fis-
15 cal Year 2020 (Public Law 116–92; 133 Stat.
16 1758).

17 (b) DESIGNATIONS.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—In addition the responsibil-
19 ities under subsection (a)(2), in carrying out the
20 Program, the Director shall designate academic in-
21 stitutions as National Centers of Academic Excel-
22 lence in one or more of cyber defense, cyber oper-
23 ations, and cyber research.

1 (2) CRITERIA.—The Director shall make the
2 designations under paragraph (1) based on the fol-
3 lowing:

4 (A) Academic requirements and best prac-
5 tices identified by the Director in consultation
6 with Departments and Agencies enabling the
7 development of educational programs reflecting
8 the full range of cyber work roles specified in
9 the Defense Cyber Workforce Framework, the
10 National Initiative on Cyber Education Work-
11 force Framework for Cyber published by the
12 National Institute of Standards and Technology
13 in NIST Special Publication 800–181, Revision
14 5, or any successor framework.

15 (B) Institutional criteria and requirements
16 emphasizing the following:

17 (i) Outreach to the surrounding com-
18 munity of an eligible academic institution.

19 (ii) Leadership in contributing to the
20 development of a national Cyber workforce,
21 including cultivating educational institution
22 faculty and research leaders.

23 (iii) Leadership in the development of
24 educational and performance expectations
25 for Cyber professionals, including through

1 curriculum and degree offerings to prepare
2 future cyber professionals of all knowledge
3 and skill levels.

4 (iv) Demonstrated commitment to im-
5 plementing Cyber best practices within the
6 eligible academic institution across aca-
7 demic disciplines.

8 (v) Demonstrated commitment to seek
9 solutions to challenges in addressing Fed-
10 eral, State, local, territorial, and Tribal
11 level Cyber education needs.

12 (vi) Regional accreditation from one
13 of the six regional accrediting agencies rec-
14 ognized by the Federal Department of
15 Education providing external review to as-
16 sure quality and ongoing improvement.

17 (C) Increasing collaboration within the
18 Cyber education community to support develop-
19 ment and sharing of educational materials and
20 curriculum.

21 (D) Increasing collaboration with private
22 sector entities and government employers at the
23 Federal, State, local, territorial, and Tribal lev-
24 els to further define workforce requirements

1 and assist in defining academic requirements to
2 prepare students for the field of Cyber.

3 (c) METRICS AND REPORTING.—

4 (1) METRICS.—The Director shall—

5 (A) collaborate with the individuals de-
6 scribed in subsection (a)(2)(A) to identify
7 metrics and annual data reporting requirements
8 necessary to assess the degree to which the Pro-
9 gram is meeting the objectives of the Program;
10 and

11 (B) ensure adequate data and best prac-
12 tices are made available to the individuals de-
13 scribed in subsection (a)(2)(A) to measure the
14 efficacy of the Program and the benefits pro-
15 vided to individuals participating in the Pro-
16 gram and to the Department compared to costs
17 of the Program paid by academic institutions
18 participating in the Program and sponsors of
19 the Program.

20 (2) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than one year
21 after the date of the enactment of this Act, and an-
22 nually thereafter, the Director shall submit to Con-
23 gress a report on the benefits provided to individuals
24 participating in the Program and to the Department
25 compared to costs of the Program paid by academic

1 institutions participating in the program and spon-
2 sors of the Program.

3 (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

4 (1) The term “academic institution” means an
5 eligible and current United States community col-
6 lege, college, academy, institute, or university in the
7 United States for designation under the Program.

8 (2) The term “Cyber Academic Engagement
9 Office” means the office established under section
10 2192c(a) of title 10, United States Code.

11 (3) The term “Director” means the Director of
12 the Cyber Academic Engagement Office.

13 (4) The term “Program” means the National
14 Centers of Academic Excellence in Cyber program.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. SCHMIDT OF KANSAS

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 28 ____ . LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS TO REDUCE CA-**
2 **PABILITIES OR STAFFING OF DEPARTMENT**
3 **OF DEFENSE MILITARY TREATMENT FACILI-**
4 **TIES LOCATED INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**

5 (a) IN GENERAL.—None of the funds authorized to
6 be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available
7 for fiscal year 2026 for the Department of Defense may
8 be used to reduce the mission capabilities or staffing at
9 a military treatment facility under the jurisdiction of the
10 Department of Defense located inside the United States
11 until the date on which the Secretary submits to the Com-
12 mittees on Armed Services of the House of Representa-
13 tives and the Senate and the Comptroller General of the
14 United States a cost-benefit analysis that includes, with
15 respect to the military treatment facility—

16 (1) an identification of the average daily patient
17 load;

1 (2) an estimate of the savings to the United
2 States that would arise from a reduction in mission
3 capabilities or staffing;

4 (3) an estimate of the cost to the United States
5 to—

6 (A) transfer the functions of the military
7 treatment facility—

8 (i) to a medical facility under the ju-
9 risdiction of the Department of Veterans
10 Affairs; or

11 (ii) private health care facilities to
12 furnish health care to eligible beneficiaries
13 using TRICARE; and

14 (B) maintain infrastructure used by the
15 military treatment facility as of the date of the
16 enactment of this Act that the Secretary in-
17 tends to—

18 (i) close;

19 (ii) convert to an outpatient health
20 care facility; or

21 (iii) use for a non-medical purpose;

22 (4) an estimate of the increase to transpor-
23 tation costs with respect to medical care for individ-
24 uals who receive at the medical treatment facility

1 that would arise from a reduction in mission capa-
2 bilities or staffing;

3 (5) a list of non-Department of Defense medical
4 facilities located within 20 miles of the medical
5 treatment facilities that provide medical care that is
6 substantially similar to the medical care provided by
7 the medical treatment facility;

8 (6) a plan for the disposition of medical equip-
9 ment and other Department-owned assets pursuant
10 to a reduction in mission capabilities or staffing; and

11 (7) an assessment of the effects of such a re-
12 duction on military readiness.

13 (b) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.—Not later
14 than 30 days after any date on which the Secretary sub-
15 mits a cost-benefit analysis under subsection (a), the
16 Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on
17 Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the
18 Senate an independent assessment of the cost-benefit
19 analysis.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. FALLON OF TEXAS

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 3 ____ . ADJUSTMENT AND DIVERSIFICATION ASSIST-**
2 **ANCE FOR STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS**
3 **AFFECTED BY ARMY TRANSFORMATION INI-**
4 **TIATIVE.**

5 (a) PROVISION OF ASSISTANCE.—Beginning not later
6 than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act,
7 subject to the availability of appropriations for such pur-
8 pose, the Secretary of Defense may provide adjustment
9 and diversification assistance, pursuant to section 2391(b)
10 of title 10, United States Code, to State and local govern-
11 ments affected by the actions taken under the Army
12 Transformation Initiative with respect to—

13 (1) Red River Army Depot, Texas;
14 (2) Pine Bluff Arsenal, Arkansas; and
15 (3) such other Army installations as are identi-
16 fied by the Secretary.

17 (b) REPORT.—Not later than 60 days after the date
18 of the enactment of the Act, the Secretary of Defense shall

1 submit to the congressional defense committees a report
2 that includes—

3 (1) an identification of the amount of adjust-
4 ment and diversification assistance anticipated to be
5 provided during fiscal year 2026 to State and local
6 governments for each Army installation; and

7 (2) an identification of options to address any
8 capability gaps of the Army that could be filled
9 through the Army organic industrial base.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Carbajal

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Radar Commercial Layer

The committee recognizes that Synthetic aperture radar (SAR) satellites provide continuous global intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) capabilities regardless of weather or lighting conditions and directly supports global combatant commanders with critical intelligence in concert with national technical means. The U.S. commercial SAR industry has demonstrated proven operational capabilities that support warfighters, intelligence agencies, allies, and partners. The committee strongly encourages the Director of National Intelligence and Director of the National Reconnaissance Office to establish the Radar Commercial Layer (RCL) as a Program of Record, and request consistent funding for these technologies, as this capability could improve combatant commands' strategic advantage.

Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence and the Director of the National Reconnaissance Office, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by January 15, 2026, on the feasibility and advisability of establishing RCL as a Program of Record.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. BACON OF NEBRASKA

Section 1833(a), in the matter proposed to be amended as section 4401(f)(1) of title 10, United States Code, in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), insert “that is not a commercial product and that is” after “a system”.



AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3838
OFFERED BY MR. SORENSEN OF ILLINOIS

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following new section:

1 SEC. 3____. PILOT PROGRAM FOR ARSENAL WORKLOAD
2 SUSTAINMENT.

3 (a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PILOT PROGRAM.—Not
4 later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this
5 Act, the Secretary of Defense shall establish a pilot pro-
6 gram to incentivize public-private partnerships at arsenals
7 of the Department of the Army, to be known as the “Arse-
8 nal Workload Sustainment Pilot Program” (in this section
9 referred to as the “pilot program”).

10 (b) PRIORITIZATION.—

11 (1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the pilot
12 program, the Secretary shall prioritize the award of
13 a contract to a non-public partner that will use a
14 Government owned, Government controlled arsenal
15 of the Department of the Army.

16 (2) SELECTION.—The Secretary shall select to
17 participate in the pilot program non-public partners
18 that the Secretary determines demonstrate that the
19 performance of any work under the pilot program

1 shall be performed equally by employees of the De-
2 partment of Defense and the non-public partner.

3 (c) REGULATIONS.—Not later than 90 days after the
4 date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall issue
5 regulations to implement subsection (b).

6 (d) REPORT.—

7 (1) SUBMISSION.—Not later than one year after
8 the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary
9 shall submit to the congressional defense committees
10 a report on the activities conducted under the pilot
11 program, including a description of any operational
12 challenges identified in the course of such conduct.

13 (2) ELEMENTS.—The report under paragraph
14 (1) shall include the following:

15 (A) A breakout, by relevant budget ac-
16 count, of the workload achieved during the
17 prior fiscal year at each Government owned,
18 Government controlled arsenal of the Depart-
19 ment of the Army for which a contract was
20 awarded under the pilot program, whether di-
21 rectly or pursuant to such contract.

22 (B) An assessment of relevant budget ac-
23 counts where any such arsenal may be used to
24 meet future procurement needs of the Depart-
25 ment of Defense, irrespective of cost.

1 (C) An outlook of expected workload at
2 each such arsenal during the period covered by
3 the future-years defense program submitted to
4 Congress under section 221 of title 10, United
5 States Code.

6 (D) The capital investments required to be
7 made at each such arsenal to ensure compliance
8 and operational capacity.

9 (e) TERMINATION.—The authority to carry out the
10 pilot program shall terminate on the date that is five years
11 after the date of the establishment of the pilot program.

12 (f) NON-PUBLIC PARTNER DEFINED.—In this sec-
13 tion, the term “non-public partner” means an entity, indi-
14 vidual, university, or nonprofit organization that is not
15 part of the United States Government.



Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Norcross of New Jersey

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Briefing on Skilled Technical Workforce Readiness to Support Navy Shipbuilding

The committee is concerned that a shortage of available workforce in the skilled trades is one of the fundamental issues to Navy shipbuilding. Workforce challenges are straining industrial capacity and limiting the Navy's ability to scale production to meet strategic demands. These trades include welders, fitters, electricians, and other specialized labor vital to shipbuilding. Ensuring a pipeline of qualified workers is critical to sustaining the national defense industrial base and accelerating ship delivery timelines.

Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment, in coordination with the Secretary of the Navy, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than December 1, 2025, on the state of the skilled technical workforce supporting Navy shipbuilding. The report shall include:

- (1) An inventory of critical trade skills needed across public and private sector shipyards that perform work for the U.S. Navy;
- (2) An assessment of current and projected workforce shortfalls;
- (3) A review of existing workforce development programs operated by the Department of Defense, Department of Labor, and Navy; and
- (4) Recommendations for improving training pipelines, expanding apprenticeship and pre-apprenticeship models, and increasing regional and national workforce capacity.

Amendment to H.R. 3838

Offered by: Mr. Khanna

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 3838, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Costs Incurred by Operation Midnight Hammer

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than December 31, 2025, detailing the costs associated with Operation Midnight Hammer. The report shall be submitted in an unclassified form but may include a classified annex. The report shall include the following:

- (1) total cost of the operation;
- (2) costs associated with the deployment of personnel and equipment in support of the operation;
- (3) costs associated with logistics requirements, including but not limited to weapon systems, munitions, and fuel; and
- (4) any other associated costs the Secretary of Defense deems should be included.