En Bloc Amendments to
H.R. 1540
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012
Wednesday, May 11, 2011

Strategic Forces Subcommittee
En Bloc Package # 1

Log #	Sponsor	Description
26	Rep. Andrews (NJ)	Provide additional funds to expand the engagement capability of Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense in furtherance of national security objectives.
140	Rep. Lamborn (CO)	Provide additional funds to acquire additional Operationally Responsive Space capabilities to meet commanders' urgent needs, further develop and demonstrate a modular architecture, and support enabling technologies and infrastructure in furtherance of national security objectives.
151	Rep. Heinrich (NM)	Provide additional funds for expanding research for space technology in furtherance of national security objectives.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1540

OFFERED BY MR. ANDREWS OF NEW JERSEY

In section 4201 of division D, relating to Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-wide, Line 081 for Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense, insert a new budget item in the amount of $5,000,000.

In section 4501 of division D, relating to the Mission Force Enhancement Transfer Fund, reduce the amount by $5,000,000.

At the end of title II, add the following new section:

1 **SEC. 2___. BUDGET ITEM RELATING TO AEGIS BALLISTIC**
2 **MISSILE DEFENSE.**

3 (a) ADDITIONAL, DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHOR-
4 ITY.—In the budget submitted to Congress under section
5 1105 of title 31, United States Code, for fiscal year 2012,
6 the President requested $960,267,000 for Aegis ballistic
7 missile defense. Of the amounts authorized to be appro-
8 priated by section 201, as specified in the corresponding
9 funding table in division D, the Director of the Missile
10 Defense Agency shall obligate an additional $5,000,000
11 for expanding the engagement capability of the Aegis bal-

1 listic missile defense in furtherance of national security
2 objectives.
3 (b) MERIT-BASED OR COMPETITIVE DECISIONS.—A
4 decision to commit, obligate, or expend funds referred to
5 in the second sentence of subsection (a) with or to a spe-
6 cific entity shall—
7 (1) be based on merit-based selection proce-
8 dures in accordance with the requirements of sec-
9 tions 2304(k) and 2374 of title 10, United States
10 Code, or on competitive procedures; and
11 (2) comply with other applicable provisions of
12 law.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1540

OFFERED BY MR. LAMBORN OF COLORADO

(Defense Authorization Bill)

In section 4201 of division D, relating to Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force, for Operationally Responsive Space, insert a new budget item in the amount of $20,000,000.

In section 4501 of division D, relating to the Mission Force Enhancement Transfer Fund, reduce the amount by $20,000,000.

At the end of title II, add the following new section:

1 **SEC. 2___. BUDGET ITEM RELATING TO OPERATIONALLY**
2 **RESPONSIVE SPACE.**

3 (a) ADDITIONAL, DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHOR-
4 ITY.—In the budget submitted to Congress under section
5 1105 of title 31, United States Code, for fiscal year 2012,
6 the President requested $86,500,000 for operationally re-
7 sponsive space. Of the amounts authorized to be appro-
8 priated by section 201, as specified in the corresponding
9 funding table in division D, the Secretary of the Air Force
10 shall obligate an additional $20,000,000 for the acquisi-

1 tion of additional operationally responsive space capabili-
2 ties to meet the urgent needs of commanders, further de-
3 velop and demonstrate a modular architecture, and sup-
4 port enabling technologies and infrastructure in further-
5 ance of national security objectives.

6 (b) MERIT-BASED OR COMPETITIVE DECISIONS.—A
7 decision to commit, obligate, or expend funds referred to
8 in the second sentence of subsection (a) with or to a spe-
9 cific entity shall—

10 (1) be based on merit-based selection proce-
11 dures in accordance with the requirements of sec-
12 tions 2304(k) and 2374 of title 10, United States
13 Code, or on competitive procedures; and

14 (2) comply with other applicable provisions of
15 law.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1540

OFFERED BY MR. HEINRICH OF NEW MEXICO

(Defense Authorization Bill)

In section 4201 of division D, relating to Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force, Space Technology, Applied Research, PE 0602601F, insert a new budget item in the amount of $3,000,000.

In section 4501 of division D, relating to the Mission Force Enhancement Transfer Fund, reduce the amount by $3,000,000.

At the end of title II, add the following new section:

1 **SEC. 2___. BUDGET ITEM RELATING TO SPACE TECH-**
2 **NOLOGY.**

3 (a) ADDITIONAL, DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHOR-
4 ITY.—In the budget submitted to Congress under section
5 1105 of title 31, United States Code, for fiscal year 2012,
6 the President requested $115,300,000 for space tech-
7 nology. Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by
8 section 201, as specified in the corresponding funding
9 table in division D, the Secretary of the Air Force shall
10 obligate an additional $3,000,000 for expanding research

1 for space technology in furtherance of national security
2 objectives.
3 (b) MERIT-BASED OR COMPETITIVE DECISIONS.—A
4 decision to commit, obligate, or expend funds referred to
5 in the second sentence of subsection (a) with or to a spe-
6 cific entity shall—
7 (1) be based on merit-based selection proce-
8 dures in accordance with the requirements of sec-
9 tions 2304(k) and 2374 of title 10, United States
10 Code, or on competitive procedures; and
11 (2) comply with other applicable provisions of
12 law.

En Bloc Amendments to
H.R. 1540
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012
Wednesday, May 11, 2011

Strategic Forces Subcommittee
En Bloc Package # 2

Log #	Sponsor	Description
85	Rep. Ruppersberger (MD)	Directive report language directing the Secretary of Defense to provide a report on increasing competition for space launch.
139	Rep. Lamborn (CO)	Directive report language requiring the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report that details the remaining Air Force Satellite Control Network Electronic, Scheduling and Dissemination 3.0 program costs, associated fiscal year funding profile, and an updated integrated master schedule.
141	Rep. Lamborn (CO)	Sense of Congress expressing concern that gaps in joint missile defense training must be identified and rectified.
251	Rep. Turner (OH)	Modifies section 3113(a), relating to pension reimbursements of title XXXI, to clarify the transfer authority pertains only to FY12 through FY16 funds.
255	Rep. Turner (OH)	Directive report language requiring the commander, Air Force Global Strike Command to update the committee on the current capabilities to monitor the status of Air Force intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs) and a summary of potential technologies to improve the status monitoring of ICBMs.

En Bloc Amendments to
H.R. 1540
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012
Wednesday, May 11, 2011

Log #	Sponsor	Description

Amendment Offered by Rep. Ruppersberger

H.R. 1540—National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012

To be inserted in the appropriate place the report:

Directive Report Language for Title 9

Report on Increasing Competition for Space Launch

The Committee is pleased that highly reliable space launch vehicles in the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle program have resulted in over 30 successful launches since 2002. However, the Committee believes that the Department of Defense should provide expanded opportunities for competition in the support of its space launch requirements, including competition in the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle program. The Committee further believes that Department should establish clear criteria that new providers of space launch capabilities would be expected to meet in order to become qualified competitors for launching defense payloads.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide the congressional defense committee with a report detailing how it intends to incorporate new providers of space launch capabilities into its space launch acquisition plans while preserving mission assurance, identify potential cost savings, and identify the criteria required for new entrants wishing to bid on opportunities to provide launch services for defense payloads.

Amendment Offered by Rep. Lamborn

H.R. 1540—National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012

To be inserted in the appropriate place in the report:

Electronic, Scheduling and Dissemination Upgrade

The committee is aware that the current electronic, scheduling and dissemination (ESD) system for the Air Force Satellite Control Network (AFSCN) faces several sustainment challenges. The ESD system allows satellite operators at 40 geographically separated locations to request contact time on 16 shared AFSCN antennas and allows schedulers to deconflict overlapping requests to create and publish a schedule. The ESD system must accommodate some 1300 different vehicle configuration for over 160 supported satellites to manage an average 410 satellite contracts per day, to include up to 120 real time mission changes per day. The ESD hardware is largely commercial-off-the-shelf technology based on 1980s era technology including the disk operating system and 286-equivalent computers. For example, a majority of these items are not available through either government supply systems or commercial vendors, as the components and software are technologically obsolete. The committee understands, based on information provided by the Air Force, that the current ESD system will only be fully sustainable through 2014. The committee has learned from the Air Force that sufficient funding is available to continue development of the ESD upgrade through fiscal year 2011 and that the Air Force will seek approval of a $20.7 million reprogramming request in fiscal year 2011 to continue development though fiscal year 2012. The committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report that details the remaining ESD program costs and associated fiscal year funding profile as well as an updated integrated master schedule to the congressional defense committees by December 1, 2011.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1540

OFFERED BY MR. LAMBORN OF COLORADO

At the appropriate place in the bill, add the following new section:

1 **SEC. 5___. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING INTEGRA-**
2 **TION OF BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TRAIN-**
3 **ING ACROSS AND BETWEEN COMBATANT**
4 **COMMANDS AND MILITARY SERVICES.**

5 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that ballistic missile
6 defense is an inherently joint operation that requires close
7 coordination between combatant commands and military
8 services at all levels, from the strategic to the operational
9 to the tactical. Since the time available to identify, track,
10 and intercept ballistic missiles will be less than 30 min-
11 utes, joint training to improve the ability of the military
12 departments and combatant commands to work together
13 is essential for successfully planning and conducting bal-
14 listic missile defense operations. Congress has previously
15 expressed concern that gaps in joint missile defense train-
16 ing, from the lowest sensor or shooter operator level to
17 the highest levels of decision-making on combatant com-
18 mand staffs, must be identified and rectified.

1 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
2 gress that—
3 (1) improving the integration of ballistic missile
4 defense training across and between combatant com-
5 mands and military services and fully identifying the
6 training requirements, capabilities, and resources
7 that the Department of Defense needs to effectively
8 train for this complex mission is vital to the protec-
9 tion of the United States against ballistic missile at-
10 tacks;
11 (2) identifying and addressing training gaps in
12 integrating missile defense training is essential for
13 successfully employing the Ballistic Missile Defense
14 System; and
15 (3) identifying the capabilities and funding
16 needed to effectively and adequately integrate train-
17 ing across and between the combatant commands
18 and military services is important to ensure that
19 training priorities are being met and that resources
20 are aligned to support the training.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1540

OFFERED BY MR. TURNER OF OHIO

In section 3113(a) (relating to pension reimbursements) of title XXXI, strike paragraph (2) and insert the following:

1 (2) FUNDS DESCRIBED.—The funds described
2 in this paragraph are amounts appropriated pursu-
3 ant to a DOE national security authorization for
4 any of fiscal years 2012 through 2016 that are
5 made available (including by transfer) for contribu-
6 tions to defined-benefit pension plans for employees
7 of management and operating contractors of—
8 (A) the National Nuclear Security Admin-
9 istration; or
10 (B) the Office of Environmental Manage-
11 ment of the Department of Energy.

Amendment Offered by Rep.Turner

H.R. 1540—National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012

To be inserted in the appropriate place the report:

Title 2 DRL – Air Force missile field monitoring technology

In October 2010, an incident occurred at a Minuteman-III intercontinental ballistic missile (ICBM) missile field at F.E. Warren Air Force Base whereby for approximately one hour, the ability of the Air Force to monitor the status of one squadron's ICBMs was interrupted. In subsequent briefings to the committee, the Air Force described its corrective measures as being largely based on human-in-the-loop checklists and procedure improvements. The committee believes the Air Force should also consider improvements that leverage modern technology, including modern automated systems and remote sensing technologies, to monitor the status of Air Force ICBMs. The committee therefore directs the commander of Air Force Global Strike Command to provide a briefing by September 6, 2011, to the congressional defense committees on the current capabilities to monitor the status of Air Force ICBMs; a summary of potential technologies to improve the status monitoring of ICBMs; the benefits, risks, technical maturity costs, and schedules to implement such technologies; and any recommendations for specific technologies the Air Force plans to pursue.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1540

OFFERED BY MR. LAMBORN OF COLORADO

(Defense Authorization Bill)

In section 1053(a)(1), strike "2017" and insert "2019".

At the appropriate place in title X, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 10___. LIMITATION ON NUCLEAR FORCE REDUC-**
2 **TIONS.**
3 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:
4 (1) As of September 30, 2009, the stockpile of
5 nuclear weapons of the United States has been re-
6 duced by 84 percent from its maximum level in 1967
7 and by more than 75 percent from its level when the
8 Berlin Wall fell in November 1989.
9 (2) The number of non-strategic nuclear weap-
10 ons of the United States has declined by approxi-
11 mately 90 percent from September 30, 1991, to Sep-
12 tember 30, 2009.
13 (3) The President of the United States, in a
14 letter dated December 18, 2010, declared that, "I
15 recognize that nuclear modernization requires invest-

1 ment for the long-term, in addition to this one-year
2 budget increase. That is my commitment to the Con-
3 gress that my Administration will pursue these pro-
4 grams and capabilities for as long as I am Presi-
5 dent. In future years, we will provide annual updates
6 to the [report required under section 1251 of the
7 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
8 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2549)].".
9 (4) On March 29, 2011, the Assistant to the
10 President for National Security Affairs stated, "As
11 we implement New START, we're making prepara-
12 tions for the next round of nuclear reductions.
13 Under the President's direction, the Department of
14 Defense will review our strategic requirements and
15 develop options for further reductions in our current
16 nuclear stockpile, which stands at approximately
17 5,000 warheads, including both deployed and reserve
18 warheads. To develop these options for further re-
19 ductions, we need to consider several factors, such
20 as potential changes in targeting requirements and
21 alert postures that are required for effective deter-
22 rence.".
23 (b) IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW START TREATY.—
24 (1) LIMITATION.—

1 (A) Except as provided by paragraph (2),
2 the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of
3 Energy may not obligate or expend amounts ap-
4 propriated or otherwise made available to the
5 Department of Defense or the Department of
6 Energy for any of fiscal years 2011 through
7 2017 to retire any covered nuclear system of
8 the United States as required by the New
9 START Treaty.
10 (B) Nothing in subparagraph (A) shall be
11 construed to limit any action (including
12 verification) required by the New START Trea-
13 ty other than retiring any covered nuclear sys-
14 tem of the United States.
15 (2) WAIVER.—The Secretary of Defense and
16 the Secretary of Energy may jointly waive the limi-
17 tation under paragraph (1)(A) for a covered nuclear
18 system if—
19 (A) the Secretaries submit to the congres-
20 sional defense committees written notice of the
21 status of carrying out the modernization plan
22 described in the most recent report required by
23 section 1053; and
24 (B) with respect to such notice—

1 (i) if the notice describes that such
2 plan is being carried out, a period of 30
3 days has elapsed following the date on
4 which the President submits to the con-
5 gressional defense committees such report
6 that includes written notice of the pro-
7 posed retirement of such nuclear system,
8 as required by subsection (a)(1)(D) of such
9 section 1053; or
10 (ii) if the notice describes that such
11 plan is not being carried out, a period of
12 180 days has elapsed following the date on
13 which the President submits to the con-
14 gressional defense committees the report
15 described in clause (i).
16 (3) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:
17 (A) The term "covered nuclear systems"
18 means the following:
19 (i) B–52H or B2 bomber aircraft and
20 nuclear air-launched cruise missiles.
21 (ii) Trident ballistic missile sub-
22 marines, launch tubes, and Trident D–5
23 submarine-launched ballistic missiles.
24 (iii) Minuteman III intercontinental
25 ballistic missiles and associated silos.

1 (iv) Nuclear warheads or gravity
2 bombs that can be delivered by the systems
3 specified in clause (i), (ii), or (iii).
4 (v) Nuclear weapons delivered by
5 means other than the systems specified in
6 clause (i), (ii), or (iii).
7 (B) The term "retire", with respect to a
8 covered nuclear system, includes retiring, dis-
9 mantling, eliminating, removing from deployed
10 status or preparing to retire, dismantle, elimi-
11 nate, or remove from deployed status.
12 (c) PROHIBITION ON REDUCTION OF STOCKPILE
13 HEDGE.—
14 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense
15 and the Secretary of Energy may not obligate or ex-
16 pend amounts appropriated or otherwise made avail-
17 able to the Department of Defense or the Depart-
18 ment of Energy to retire, dismantle, or eliminate, or
19 prepare to retire, dismantle, or eliminate, any non-
20 deployed strategic or non-strategic nuclear weapon
21 until the date that is 90 days after the date on
22 which the Secretary of Energy submits to the con-
23 gressional defense committees written certification
24 that—

1 (A) the Chemistry and Metallurgy Re-
2 search Replacement nuclear facility (in this
3 paragraph referred to as the "nuclear facility")
4 and the Uranium Processing Facility (in this
5 paragraph referred to as the "processing facil-
6 ity") are fully operational;
7 (B) the nuclear facility and the Plutonium
8 Facility–4 are together able to deliver to the
9 nuclear weapons stockpile not less than a total
10 of 80 pits per year;
11 (C) the processing facility is able to deliver
12 to the nuclear weapons stockpile not less than
13 80 refurbished or new canned subassemblies per
14 year; and
15 (D) the nuclear security enterprise has a
16 capacity that supports two simultaneous life ex-
17 tension programs.
18 (2) EXCEPTION.—The limitation in paragraph
19 (1) shall not apply with respect to the dismantle-
20 ment of legacy warheads that are awaiting dis-
21 mantlement on the date of the enactment of this
22 Act.
23 (d) PROHIBITION ON UNILATERAL REDUCTION OF
24 NUCLEAR WEAPONS.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 3 of title 10, United
2 States Code, is amended by adding at the end the
3 following new section:

4 **"§ 130e. Prohibition on unilateral reduction of nu-**
5 **clear weapons**

6 "(a) IN GENERAL.—The President may not retire,
7 dismantle, or eliminate, or prepare to retire, dismantle, or
8 eliminate, any nuclear weapon of the United States (in-
9 cluding such deployed weapons and nondeployed weapons
10 and warheads in the nuclear weapons stockpile) if such
11 action would reduce the number of such weapons to a
12 number that is less than the level described in the New
13 START Treaty unless such action is—

14 "(1) required by a treaty or international agree-
15 ment specifically approved with the advice and con-
16 sent of the Senate pursuant to Article II, section 2,
17 clause 2 of the Constitution; or

18 "(2) specifically authorized by an Act of Con-
19 gress.

20 "(b) NEW START TREATY DEFINED.—In this sec-
21 tion, the term 'New START Treaty' means the Treaty be-
22 tween the United States of America and the Russian Fed-
23 eration on Measures for the Further Reduction and Limi-
24 tation of Strategic Offensive Arms, signed on April 8,
25 2010.".

1 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—The table of sec-
2 tions at the beginning of such chapter is amended
3 by inserting after the item relating to section 130d
4 the following new item:

"130e. Prohibition on unilateral reduction of nuclear weapons.".

5 (e) NEW START TREATY DEFINED.—In this sec-
6 tion, the term "New START Treaty" means the Treaty
7 between the United States of America and the Russian
8 Federation on Measures for the Further Reduction and
9 Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms, signed on April
10 8, 2010.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1540

OFFERED BY MR. TURNER OF OHIO

(Defense Authorization Bill)

At the appropriate place in title XII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 12___. NON-STRATEGIC NUCLEAR WEAPON REDUC-**
2 **TIONS AND EXTENDED DETERRENCE POLICY.**
3 (a) POLICY ON NON-STRATEGIC NUCLEAR WEAP-
4 ONS.—It is the policy of the United States—
5 (1) to pursue negotiations with the Russian
6 Federation aimed at the reduction of Russian de-
7 ployed and non-deployed non-strategic nuclear
8 forces;
9 (2) that non-strategic nuclear weapons should
10 be considered when weighing the balance of the nu-
11 clear forces of the United States and Russia; and
12 (3) that any geographical relocation or storage
13 of non-strategic nuclear weapons by Russia does not
14 constitute a reduction or elimination of such weap-
15 ons.
16 (b) POLICY ON EXTENDED DETERRENCE COMMIT-
17 MENT TO EUROPE.—It is the policy of the United States
18 that—

1 (1) it maintain its commitment to extended de-
2 terrence, specifically the nuclear alliance of the
3 North Atlantic Treaty Organization, as an impor-
4 tant component of ensuring and linking the national
5 security interests of the United States and the secu-
6 rity of its European allies;
7 (2) forward-deployed nuclear forces of the
8 United States shall remain based in Europe in sup-
9 port of the NATO nuclear alliance; and
10 (3) the presence of nuclear weapons of the
11 United States in Europe—combined with NATO's
12 unique nuclear sharing arrangements under which
13 non-nuclear members participate in nuclear planning
14 and possess specially configured aircraft capable of
15 delivering nuclear weapons—contributes to the cohe-
16 sion of NATO and provides reassurance to allies and
17 partners who feel exposed to regional threats.
18 (c) LIMITATION ON REDUCTION, CONSOLIDATION, OR
19 WITHDRAWAL OF NUCLEAR FORCES BASED IN EU-
20 ROPE.—In light of the policy expressed in subsections (a)
21 and (b), no action may be taken to effect or implement
22 the reduction, consolidation, or withdrawal of nuclear
23 forces of the United States that are based in Europe un-
24 less—

1 (1) the reduction, consolidation, or withdrawal
2 of such nuclear forces is requested by the govern-
3 ment of the host nation in the manner provided in
4 the agreement between the United States and the
5 host nation regarding the forces; or
6 (2) the President certifies that—
7 (A) NATO member states have considered
8 the reduction, consolidation, or withdrawal in
9 the High Level Group;
10 (B) NATO has decided to support such re-
11 duction, consolidation, or withdrawal; and
12 (C) the remaining nuclear forces of the
13 United States that are based in Europe after
14 such reduction, consolidation, or withdrawal
15 would provide a commensurate or better level of
16 assurance and credibility as before such reduc-
17 tion, consolidation, or withdrawal.
18 (d) NOTIFICATION.—Upon any decision to reduce,
19 consolidate, or withdraw the nuclear forces of the United
20 States that are based in Europe, the President shall sub-
21 mit to the appropriate congressional committees a notifi-
22 cation containing—
23 (1) the certification required by subsection
24 (c)(2);

1 (2) justification for such reduction, consolida-
2 tion, or withdrawal; and
3 (3) an assessment of how NATO member
4 states, in light of such reduction, consolidation, or
5 withdrawal, assess the credibility of the deterrence
6 capability of the United States in support of its com-
7 mitments undertaken pursuant to article 5 of the
8 North Atlantic Treaty, signed at Washington, Dis-
9 trict of Columbia, on April 4, 1949, and entered into
10 force on August 24, 1949 (63 Stat. 2241; TIAS
11 1964).
12 (e) NOTICE AND WAIT REQUIREMENT.—The Presi-
13 dent may not commence a reduction, consolidation, or
14 withdrawal of the nuclear forces of the United States that
15 are based in Europe for which the certification required
16 by subsection (c)(2) is made until the expiration of a 180-
17 day period beginning on the date on which the President
18 submits the report under subsection (d) containing the
19 certification.
20 (e) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—
21 In this section, the term "appropriate congressional com-
22 mittees" means—
23 (1) the Committees on Armed Services of the
24 House of Representatives and the Senate; and

1 (2) the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
2 House of Representatives and the Committee on
3 Foreign Relations of the Senate.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1540

OFFERED BY MR. FLEMING OF LOUISIANA

(Defense Authorization Bill)

At the appropriate place in title X, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 10____. NUCLEAR EMPLOYMENT STRATEGY.**

2 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

3 (1) Section 1057 of H.R. 5136, as passed by
4 the House of Representatives during the 111th Con-
5 gress, included a requirement that any future reduc-
6 tions of the nuclear forces of the United States
7 below the level described in the New START Treaty
8 be contingent on the certification by the Secretary of
9 Defense that "such reduction does not require a
10 change in targeting strategy from counterforce tar-
11 geting to countervalue targeting".

12 (2) On March 29, 2011, the Assistant to the
13 President for National Security Affairs stated, "As
14 we implement New START, we're making prepara-
15 tions for the next round of nuclear reductions.
16 Under the President's direction, the Department of
17 Defense will review our strategic requirements and
18 develop options for further reductions in our current

1 nuclear stockpile, which stands at approximately
2 5,000 warheads, including both deployed and reserve
3 warheads. To develop these options for further re-
4 ductions, we need to consider several factors, such
5 as potential changes in targeting requirements and
6 alert postures that are required for effective deter-
7 rence.".

8 (b) CHANGES TO STRATEGY.—The President may
9 not make any changes to the nuclear employment strategy
10 of the United States unless—

11 (1) the President submits to the appropriate
12 congressional committees a report on such proposed
13 changes, including—

14 (A) the implication of such changes on the
15 flexibility and resilience of the strategic forces
16 of the United States and the ability of such
17 forces to support the goals of the United States
18 with respect to nuclear deterrence, extended de-
19 terrence, assurance, and defense;

20 (B) certification that such proposed
21 changes do not require a change in targeting
22 strategy from counterforce targeting to
23 countervalue targeting; and

24 (C) certification that such proposed
25 changes preserve the nuclear force structure

1 triad composed of land-based intercontinental
2 ballistic missiles, submarine-launched ballistic
3 missiles, and strategic bomber aircraft; and
4 (2) a period of 90 days has elapsed after the
5 date on which such report under paragraph (1) is
6 submitted.
7 (c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—
8 In this section, the term "appropriate congressional com-
9 mittees" means—
10 (1) the congressional defense committees; and
11 (2) the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
12 House of Representatives and the Committee on
13 Foreign Relations of the Senate.
14 **SEC. 10___. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON NU-**
15 **CLEAR WEAPON CAPABILITIES AND FORCE**
16 **STRUCTURE REQUIREMENTS.**
17 (a) COMPTROLLER GENERAL STUDY REQUIRED.—
18 The Comptroller General of the United States shall con-
19 duct a study on the strategic nuclear weapons capabilities,
20 force structure, employment policy, and targeting require-
21 ments of the Department of Defense.
22 (b) MATTERS COVERED.—The study conducted
23 under subsection (a) shall, at minimum, cover the fol-
24 lowing:

1 (1) An update to the September 1991 report of
2 the Comptroller General (GAO/NSIAD-91-319FS)
3 titled "Strategic Weapons: Nuclear Weapons Tar-
4 geting Process" that addresses—
5 (A) the relationship between the strategic
6 nuclear targeting process and the determination
7 of requirements for nuclear weapons and re-
8 lated delivery systems;
9 (B) the level of civilian oversight;
10 (C) the categories and types of targets;
11 and
12 (D) any other matters addressed in such
13 report or are otherwise considered appropriate
14 by the Comptroller General.
15 (2) The process and rigor used to determine the
16 effectiveness of nuclear weapons capabilities, force
17 structures, employment policies, and targeting re-
18 quirements in achieving the goals of deterrence, ex-
19 tended deterrence, assurance, and defense.
20 (3) An assessment of the requirements of the
21 Department of Defense for strategic nuclear bomber
22 aircraft and intercontinental ballistic missiles, in-
23 cluding assessments of the extent to which the Sec-
24 retary of Defense has—

(A) determined the force structure and capability requirements for nuclear-capable strategic bomber aircraft, bomber-delivered nuclear weapons, and intercontinental ballistic missiles;

(B) synchronized the requirements described in subparagraph (A) with plans to extend the service life of nuclear gravity bombs, nuclear-armed cruise missiles, and intercontinental ballistic missile warheads; and

(C) evaluated long-term intercontinental ballistic missile alert posture requirements and basing options.

(c) REPORTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees one or more reports on the study conducted under subsection (a).

(2) FORM.—Any report submitted under this subsection may be submitted in classified form, but if so submitted, an unclassified version shall also be submitted with such submission or at a later date.

(d) COOPERATION.—The Secretary of Defense and Secretary of Energy shall provide the Comptroller General full cooperation and access to appropriate officials and in-

1 formation for the purposes of conducting this study under
2 subsection (a).
3 (e) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—
4 In this section, the term "appropriate congressional com-
5 mittees" means—
6 (1) the congressional defense committees; and
7 (2) the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
8 House of Representatives and the Committee on
9 Foreign Relations of the Senate.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1540

OFFERED BY MS. LORETTA SANCHEZ OF CALIFORNIA

In section 4101 of division D, relating to National Guard and Reserve Equipment, insert a new budget item in the amount of $100,000,000.

In section 4201 of division D, relating to Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-wide, reduce the amount for Line 073 for Ground-Based Midcourse Defense by $100,000,000, to be derived from PE 0603882C.

At the end of title I, add the following new section:

1 **SEC. 1___. BUDGET ITEM RELATING TO NATIONAL GUARD**
2 **AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT.**

3 (a) ADDITIONAL, DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHOR-
4 ITY.—In the budget submitted to Congress under section
5 1105 of title 31, United States Code, for fiscal year 2012,
6 the President requested $_____for Na-
7 tional Guard and Reserve Equipment. Of the amounts au-
8 thorized to be appropriated by section 101, as specified
9 in the corresponding funding table in division D, the Sec-
10 retary of Defense shall obligate an additional

1 $100,000,000 for the same purpose in furtherance of na-
2 tional security objectives.
3 (b) MERIT-BASED OR COMPETITIVE DECISIONS.—A
4 decision to commit, obligate, or expend funds referred to
5 in the second sentence of subsection (a) with or to a spe-
6 cific entity shall—
7 (1) be based on merit-based selection proce-
8 dures in accordance with the requirements of sec-
9 tions 2304(k) and 2374 of title 10, United States
10 Code, or on competitive procedures; and
11 (2) comply with other applicable provisions of
12 law.

AMENDMENT

OFFERED BY MR. TURNER OF OHIO TO THE AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MS. LORETTA SANCHEZ OF CALIFORNIA

(Amendment to Amendment Log #227)

Strike the second amendment instruction and insert the following amendment instruction:

"In section 4101 of division D, relating to Aircraft Procurement, Army, reduce the amount for line 003 for Aerial Common Sensor (ACS) (MIP) by $100,000,000, to be derived from the Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System.".

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1540

OFFERED BY MR. TURNER OF OHIO

(Defense Authorization Bill)

At the appropriate place in title XII, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 12___. INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS RELATING TO**
2 **MISSILE DEFENSE.**

3 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

4 (1) Prior to signing the New START Treaty,
5 on April 7, 2010, the Russian Federation made the
6 unilateral statement that "the Treaty can operate
7 and be viable only if the United States of America
8 refrains from developing its missile defense capabili-
9 ties quantitatively or qualitatively.".

10 (2) In the understanding under subsection
11 (b)(1)(A) of the Resolution of Advice and Consent to
12 Ratification of the New START Treaty, the Senate
13 declared that "the New START Treaty does not im-
14 pose any limitations on the deployment of missile de-
15 fenses other than the requirements of paragraph 3
16 of Article V of the New START Treaty. . .".

17 (3) In the understanding under subsection
18 (b)(1)(B) of such resolution, the Senate further de-

1 clared that "any additional New START Treaty lim-
2 itations on the deployment of missile defenses be-
3 yond those contained in paragraph 3 of Article V,
4 including any limitations agreed under the auspices
5 of the Bilateral Consultative Commission, would re-
6 quire an amendment to the New START Treaty
7 which may enter into force for the United States
8 only with the advice and consent of the Senate, as
9 set forth in Article II, section 2, clause 2 of the Con-
10 stitution of the United States.".
11 (4) In the understanding under subsection
12 (b)(1)(C) of such resolution, the Senate further de-
13 clared that "the April 7, 2010, unilateral statement
14 by the Russian Federation on missile defense does
15 not impose a legal obligation on the United States.".
16 (5) In the declaration under subsection
17 (c)(2)(F) of such resolution, the Senate further de-
18 clared that "the United States is committed to im-
19 proving United States strategic defensive capabilities
20 both quantitatively and qualitatively during the pe-
21 riod that the New START Treaty is in effect, and
22 such improvements are consistent with the Treaty.".
23 (b) POLICY.—In light of the findings under sub-
24 section (a), it is the policy of the United States—

1 (1) that any further limitations on the missile
2 defense capabilities of the United States are not in
3 the national security interests of the United States;
4 (2) to improve the strategic defensive capabili-
5 ties of the United States both quantitatively and
6 qualitatively during the period that the New START
7 treaty is in effect and such improvements are con-
8 sistent with the Treaty; and
9 (3) that no future agreement with Russia on
10 cooperative missile defense, non-strategic nuclear
11 weapons, further strategic weapons reductions, or
12 any other matter shall include any restrictions on
13 the missile defense options of the United States in
14 Europe or elsewhere.
15 (c) LIMITATIONS ON MISSILE DEFENSE.—
16 (1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 3 of title 10, United
17 States Code, is amended by adding at the end the
18 following new section::
19 **"§ 130e. International agreements relating to missile**
20 **defense**
21 "(a) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with the under-
22 standing under subsection (b)(1)(B) of the Resolution of
23 Advice and Consent to Ratification of the New START
24 Treaty of the Senate, any agreement with a country or
25 international organization or amendment to the New

1 START Treaty (including an agreement made by the Bi-
2 lateral Consultative Commission established by the New
3 START Treaty) concerning the limitation of the missile
4 defense capabilities of the United States shall not be bind-
5 ing on the United States, and shall not enter into force
6 with respect to the United States, unless after the date
7 of the enactment of this section, such agreement or
8 amendment is—
9 "(1) specifically approved with the advice and
10 consent of the Senate pursuant to Article II, section
11 2, clause 2 of the Constitution; or
12 "(2) specifically authorized by an Act of Con-
13 gress.
14 "(b) ANNUAL NOTIFICATION.—Not later than Janu-
15 ary 31 of each year, beginning in 2012, the President shall
16 submit to the congressional defense committees and the
17 Committee on Foreign relations of the Senate and the
18 Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representa-
19 tives a notification of—
20 "(1) whether the Russian Federation has recog-
21 nized during the previous year the sovereign right of
22 the United States to pursue quantitative and quali-
23 tative improvements in missile defense capabilities;
24 and

1 "(2) whether during any treaty negotiations or
2 other Government-to-Government contacts between
3 the United States and the Russian Federation (in-
4 cluding under the auspices of the Bilateral Consult-
5 ative Commission established by the New START
6 Treaty) during the previous year a representative of
7 the Russian Federation suggested that a treaty or
8 other international agreement include, with respect
9 to the United States—
10 "(A) restricting missile defense capabili-
11 ties, military capabilities in space, or conven-
12 tional prompt global strike capabilities; or
13 "(B) reducing the number of non-strategic
14 nuclear weapons deployed in Europe.
15 "(c) NEW START TREATY DEFINED.—In this sec-
16 tion, the term 'New START Treaty' means the Treaty be-
17 tween the United States of America and the Russian Fed-
18 eration on Measures for the Further Reduction and Limi-
19 tation of Strategic Offensive Arms, signed on April 8,
20 2010.".
21 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—The table of sec-
22 tions at the beginning of such chapter is amended
23 by inserting after the item relating to section 130d
24 the following new item:

"130e. International agreements relating to missile defense.".

1 (d) NEW START TREATY DEFINED.—In this sec-
2 tion, the term "New START Treaty" means the Treaty
3 between the United States of America and the Russian
4 Federation on Measures for the Further Reduction and
5 Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms, signed on April
6 8, 2010.

LOG 278

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1540

OFFERED BY MR. BROOKS OF ALABAMA

In section 4201 of division D, relating to Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army, Line 121 for PATRIOT/MEADS COMBINED AGGREGATE PROGRAM (CAP), insert a new budget item in the amount of $149,500,000.

In section 4301 of division D, relating to OAN - DW, reduce the amount for _____ by $ 149,500,000, to be derived from _____.

In TITLE II-RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION, strike Section 232 and replace with the following:

This section would express the sense of Congress on the Medium Extended Air Defense System (MEADS). This section would also provide a limitation that no funds made available in fiscal year 2012 for MEADS may be obligated or expended until the Government Accountability Office provides a report to the Congress on the cost-benefit analysis between MEADS and the Patriot system, including life cycle cost for what it will cost to upgrade, maintain, and deploy Patriot vs. MEADS and an analysis of the costs associated with harvesting MEADS technology to integrate into Patriot.

The Department of Defense has spent $1.5 billion on MEADS with another $ 1.0 billion contributed by Germany and Italy, the MEADS international partners. The additional planned $800 million over the next two years would allow the flight testing necessary to demonstrate MEADS' capabilities as planned by the Department.

The alternative is to restructure or cancel MEADS which could result in contractual penalties in the sum of $846 million. Under a restructure or cancellation, viable technology from MEADS would be "harvested" and applied to another system of record, namely Patriot. The costs of the integration of MEADS technology into Patriot are unknown. The feasibility of doing so is also unknown.

What is known is that the Patriot system is costly to man and maintain. That's why the nations agreed to develop an improved system in the first place. In fact, the U.S. has spent more than $3 billion in contracts in the past six years to support, repair and upgrade U.S. Patriot systems while investing only $1.5 billion in development of next-generation MEADS.

An important component of the ongoing budget debate is that development and procurement costs are minuscule compared to current operations and support costs associated with the aging Patriot system. MEADS will cut these costs in half. No one knows what it will cost to continue to "upgrade" Patriot within the limits of its 40-year-old design. Germany studied this issue and decided to adopt MEADS because it produced better performance for a fraction of the price compared to Patriot.

A GAO report on the cost-benefit analysis and the proposed technology harvest and integration would provide Congress with much needed independent information and analysis on this important issue. This will ensure the best decision is made for the future.

117 R

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1540

OFFERED BY MR. BROOKS OF ALABAMA

At the end of subtitle C of title XII of division A, add the following:

SEC. 12xx. LIMITATION ON FUNDS TO PROVIDE THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION WITH ACCESS TO UNITED STATES MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY.

(a) LIMITATION ON FUNDS FOR SENSITIVE TECHNOLOGY AND DATA.—No funds made available to carry out this Act may be used to provide the Russian Federation with access to—

(1) sensitive missile defense technology of the United States, including hit-to-kill technology; or

(2) sensitive data, including sensitive technical data, warning, detection, tracking, targeting, telemetry, command and control, and battle management data, that support the missile defense capabilities of the United States.

(b) LIMITATION ON FUNDS FOR OTHER TECHNOLOGY AND DATA.—No funds made available to carry out this Act may be used to provide the Russian Federation with access to missile defense technology or technical data not described in subsection (a) as part of a defense

1 technical cooperation agreement between the Russian Fed-
2 eration and the United States unless, not less than 30
3 days prior to providing the Russian Federation with access
4 to any such technology or technical data, the President
5 submits to the appropriate congressional committees the
6 report described in subsection (c) and the certification de-
7 scribed in subsection (d).

8 (c) REPORT.—The report referred to in subsection
9 (b) is a report that contains a description of the following:

10 (1) The specific missile defense technology or
11 technical data to be accessed, the reasons for pro-
12 viding such access, and how the technology or tech-
13 nical data is intended to be used.

14 (2) The measures necessary to protect the tech-
15 nology or technical data.

16 (3) The specific missile defense technology or
17 technical data of the Russian Federation that the
18 Russian Federation is providing the United States
19 with access to.

20 (4) The status and substance of discussions be-
21 tween the United States and the Russian Federation
22 on missile defense matters.

23 (d) CERTIFICATION.—The certification referred to in
24 subsection (b) is a certification of the President that pro-

1 viding the Russian Federation with access to the missile
2 defense technology or technical data—
3 (1) includes an agreement on prohibiting access
4 to such defense technology or technical data by third
5 parties;
6 (2) will not enable the Russian Federation or
7 any third party that may obtain access to such de-
8 fense technology or technical data by means inten-
9 tional or otherwise to develop counter-measures to
10 any United States missile defense system or other-
11 wise undermine the effectiveness of any United
12 States missile defense system; and
13 (3) will correspond to equitable access by the
14 United States to missile defense technology or tech-
15 nical data of the Russian Federation.
16 (e) FORM.—The report described in subsection (c)
17 and the certification described in subsection (d) shall be
18 submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classi-
19 fied annex, if necessary.
20 (f) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DE-
21 FINED.—In this section, the term "appropriate congres-
22 sional committees" means—
23 (1) the Committee on Armed Services and the
24 Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and

1 (2) the Committee on Armed Services and the
2 Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Rep-
3 resentatives.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1540

OFFERED BY MR. AKIN OF MISSOURI

(Defense Authorization Bill)

At the appropriate place in title II, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 2___. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR**
2 **CONVENTIONAL PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE**
3 **PROGRAM.**

4 Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this
5 Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2012 for
6 research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide,
7 for the conventional prompt global strike program, not
8 more than 50 percent may be obligated or expended until
9 the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to
10 the congressional defense committees a report con-
11 taining—

12 (1) the results of the Johns Hopkins University
13 Applied Physics Lab Mission Capability Assessment
14 Tool that was designed to analyze various conven-
15 tional prompt global strike concepts;

16 (2) an explanation of how a competitively
17 awarded process will be used for all future work on
18 the conventional prompt global strike program; and

Log204

(3) an examination of the results of the Hypersonic Technology Vehicle–2 test flight failure.

☒

229r

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1540

OFFERED BY MR. GARAMENDI OF CALIFORNIA

Strike section 3123 and insert the following:

1 **SEC. 3123. REPORTS ON ROLE OF NUCLEAR SITES AND EF-**
2 **FICIENCIES.**
3 (a) DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY REPORT.—
4 (1) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than Feb-
5 ruary 1, 2012, the Secretary of Energy shall submit
6 to the congressional defense committees, the Com-
7 mittee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Rep-
8 resentatives, and the Committee on Foreign Rela-
9 tions of the Senate a report assessing the role of the
10 nuclear security complex sites in supporting a safe,
11 secure, and reliable nuclear deterrent, nuclear weap-
12 ons reductions, and nuclear nonproliferation, and op-
13 portunities for efficiencies and cost savings.
14 (2) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The report under
15 paragraph (1) shall include the following:
16 (A) The role of the nuclear security com-
17 plex sites, including the national security lab-
18 oratories, in maintaining a reliable, safe and se-
19 cure nuclear deterrent, improving verification

1 and detection technology, and supporting non-
2 proliferation.
3 (B) An assessment of any opportunities for
4 further efficiencies and how these efficiencies
5 could contribute to cost savings and strength-
6 ening safety and security.
7 (C) An assessment of duplicative functions
8 at the nuclear sites, and description of which
9 duplicative functions remain necessary. An as-
10 sessment of these functions shall include an
11 analysis of potential for shared use or develop-
12 ment of high explosives research and develop-
13 ment capacity, supercomputing platforms and
14 infrastructure maintained for Work for Others
15 programs.
16 (D) A long-term strategic plan for the nu-
17 clear complex.
18 (b) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.—Not later
19 than 180 days after the report under subsection (a)(1) is
20 submitted, the Comptroller General of the United States
21 shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the
22 Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representa-
23 tives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Sen-
24 ate a report assessing the report.

1 (c) FORM.—The reports required by subsection (a)
2 and (b) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may
3 include a classified index.
4 (d) NUCLEAR SECURITY COMPLEX DEFINED.—In
5 this section, the term "nuclear security complex" means
6 the physical facilities, technology, and human capital of
7 the following:
8 (1) The national security laboratories.
9 (2) The Kansas City Plant, Kansas City, Mis-
10 souri.
11 (3) The Nevada Nuclear Security Site, Nevada.
12 (4) The Savannah River Site, Aiken, South
13 Carolina.
14 (5) The Y-12 National Security Complex, Oak
15 Ridge, Tennessee.
16 (6) The Pantex Plant , Amarillo, Texas.

☒

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1540

OFFERED BY MR. GARAMENDI OF CALIFORNIA

At the appropriate place in title XXXI, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 31____. NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES REVIEW OF**
2 **NUCLEAR WASTE REPROCESSING AND NU-**
3 **CLEAR REACTOR TECHNOLOGY.**

4 (a) STUDY.—Not later than 60 days after the date
5 of the enactment of the Act, the Administrator for Nuclear
6 Security shall enter into an agreement with the National
7 Academy of Sciences to conduct a study on waste reproc-
8 essing and Generation IV nuclear reactor technology.

9 (b) ELEMENTS.—The study required under sub-
10 section (a) shall include—

11 (1) a review of previous studies related to the
12 subject of nuclear waste reprocessing as a point of
13 reference;

14 (2) a determination of the feasibility of using
15 nuclear reactor technology, particularly proven Gen-
16 eration IV nuclear reactor technology, created at the
17 national labs at a site charged with meeting inter-
18 national agreements to dispose or decommission nu-
19 clear weapons which has substantial legacy waste in

1 order to reprocess and reuse the materials in a pro-
2 liferation-resistant process that will generate elec-
3 tricity;
4 (3) a determination of the resulting waste
5 streams;
6 (4) an analysis of the nuclear proliferation
7 risks, including effects on the nuclear nonproliferation
8 efforts of the United States;
9 (5) a comparison to nuclear waste reprocessing
10 technologies used in other countries and a compari-
11 son to the direct disposal of nuclear waste; and
12 (6) a detailed analysis of the feasibility of large-
13 scale deployment of such technology at military in-
14 stallations.
15 (c) REPORTS.—
16 (1) NNSA.—The National Academy of Sciences
17 shall submit to the Administrator for Nuclear Secu-
18 rity a report containing the results of the study and
19 any recommendations resulting from the study.
20 (2) CONGRESS.—Not later than 18 months
21 after the date on which the contract is awarded
22 under subsection (a), the Administrator for Nuclear
23 Security shall submit to the appropriate congres-
24 sional committees the report submitted under para-

1 graph (1) and any comments or recommendations of
2 the Administrator with respect to the report.
3 (3) FORM.—The report under paragraph (2)
4 shall be submitted to the appropriate congressional
5 committees in unclassified form, but may include a
6 classified annex.
7 (4) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMIT-
8 TEES.—In this section, the term "appropriate con-
9 gressional committees" means the following:
10 (A) The Committee on Armed Services, the
11 Committee on Energy and Commerce, and the
12 Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of
13 Representatives.
14 (B) The Committee on Armed Services,
15 the Committee on Energy and Natural Re-
16 sources, and the Committee on Foreign Rela-
17 tions of the Senate.